THE JOURNAL

OF THE INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF **BUDDHIST STUDIES**

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

A. K. Narain University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA

EDITORS

Heinz Bechert Universität Göttingen, FRG

Lewis Lancaster

B. J. Stavisky WNIIR, Moscow, USSR

Leon Hurvitz UBC, Vancouver, Canada

Alexander W. MacDonald University of California, Berkeley, USA Université de Paris X, Nanterre, France

> Alex Wayman Columbia University, New York, USA

ASSOCIATE EDITOR

Stephen Beyer University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA

Volume 2

1979

Number 2

CONTENTS

I. ARTICLES

1.	Dharmaśri on the Sixteen Degrees of Comprehension	
	by Leon Hurvitz	7
2.	Indrabhūti's 'Confession of Errors in the Fundamental	
	Teachings of the Vajrayāna', A Critical Edition, English	
	Translation and Discussion, by Nathan Katz	31
3.	Non-duality of the Two Truths in Sinitic Mādhyamika: Origin	
	of the 'Third Truth', by Whalen Lai	45
4.	Transpersonal Psychological Observations on Theravada	
	Buddhist Meditative Practices, by James Santucci	66
5.	Bodhicaryāvatāra 9:2 as a Focus for Tibetan Interpretations	
	of the Two Truths in the Prāsangika Mādhyamika	
	by Michael Sweet	79

II. SHORT PAPER

1.	Some Buddhist Poems in	Tamil, by G.	Vijayavenugopal	93
----	------------------------	--------------	-----------------	----

III. BOOK REVIEWS AND NOTICES

Ret	views:	
1.	The Prajñāpāramitā Literature, by Edward Conze	99
2.	Two Ways to Perfection: Buddhist and Christian	
	by Shanta Ratnayaka	103
3.	An Introduction to the Buddhist Tantric Systems	
	by F. D. Lessing and A. Wayman	104
4.	Religion and the Legitimation of Power in South Asia;	
	Religion and Legitimation of Power in Sri Lanka;	
	Religion and Legitimation of Power in Thailand, Laos	
	and Burma, by Bardwell Smith	107
5.	Studies in Pali and Buddhism, Edited by A. K. Narain	109

Notices:

1.	Buddhist Wisdom. The mystery of the self, by George Grimm	111
2.	Buddhism. A select biography, by Satyaprakash	112
3.	Living Buddhist Masters, by Jack Kornfield	112
4.	Mysticism and Philosophical Analysis, by Steven T. Katz	112

IV. NOTES AND NEWS

1. On Buddhist Research Information (B.R.I.)	
of the Institute for Advanced Studies	
of World Religions (IASWR), New York	113
OBITUARY	116
LIST OF IABS MEMBERS	117

The Editor-in-Chief wishes to express thanks to Roger Jackson and Rena Crispin for their assistance in the production of this issue.

Indrabhūti's "Confession of Errors in the Roots and Branches of the Vajrayāna": A Critical Edition, English Translation and Discussion*

by Nathan Katz

Introduction

I. The Text

The Vajrayāna mūlāngāpatti dešanā (Tibetan: rDo rje theg pa'i rtsa ba dang yan lag gi ltung ba'i bshags pa), or Confession of Errors in the Roots and Branches of the Bajrayāna, by the tantric master Indrabhūti (also known as Indrabodhi), is found in two editions of the bsTan 'gyur, namely the sNar thang and Peking editions. To the best of our information,¹ it is absent in the Co ne and sDe rge editions. We have found neither commentary nor specific reference to it in other tantric writings, although the issues involved in tantric confessions have been discussed by such authors as Kong sprul,² the Sa skya Pandita,³ the Seventh Dalai Lama⁴ and Tsong kha pa,⁵ and we shall employ some of their observations in our discussion of themes in the present text.

Our text is quite short. In the sNar thang edition it covers fascicles 114b-115b of volume Pu, and in the Peking edition it is found from fascicle 116b to 118a of volume Pu. The Otani catalogue numbers it 4626, and it is not found in the Tohuku catalogue.

Our critical edition is made from a comparison of the Peking and sNar thang texts. Almost all divergences seem to involve errors in transcription alone, although there seems to be one significant difference⁶ where the Peking edition makes reference to the *dkyil 'khor* (*mandala*) and the sNar thang edition is of ambiguous reference.

II. The Author of the Text

The present text was written by one Indrabhūti or Indrabodhi, an eighth-century tantric author from Urgyen (currently Swat state of Pakistan). According to his hagiography,⁷ he was king of his region and an extremely accomplished tantric master. At some point during his reign. Indrabhūti became inspired by the commitment to Buddhist practice by his sister, Lakshminkara, another in the traditional listing of the eighty-four grub chen (mahasiddhas). Feeling a life dedicated merely to one's own self-aggrandizement not to be worthwhile, Indrabhūti handed the government over to his son and began practicing Buddhism seriously but secretively. Having attained phyags chen (mahāmūdra) after twelve years' arduous practice, Indrabhūti appeared in the sky to his subjects, who attained the first bhumi on seeing this miracle. Remaining in the sky for seven days, he preached about the inaccessibility of Dharma to mundane thought. His hagiography (rnam thar) concludes with the attainment of religious ecstacy by his audience, expressed through the metaphor of sky-walking (mkha' spyod).

In the Tibetan tradition, especially the rNying ma and bKa' brgyud lineages, Indrabhūti's importance exceeds that of many of the other of the eighty-four grub chen. He is paid great homage by the tradition, which ascribes to him the role of teacher of Nāgārjuna (kLu sgrub), and that of foster-parent of Padmasambhava.⁸ Probably for these reasons, B. Bhattacharyya⁹ claims he was the very founder of the Vajrayāna itself, a claim we find exaggerated although not totally without support.

Several of Indrabhūti's works have been included in *bsTan* 'gyur, including some *sādhana* and commentorial writings.

III. Analysis of the Text

As the title indicates, our text is concerned with a confession $(desan\bar{a}; bshags pa)$ of errors $(\bar{a}patti; ltung ba)$ in tantric Buddhist practice. This is significant for any understanding of Vajrayāna Buddhism, since a clear notion of what is taken to be an error in practice would suggest a great deal about the tantric *Weltanschauung* in general. In order to get a clear picture of the tantric usages of "error" and "vow" (sdom pa), we propose to compare them with similar structures found in the Theravāda and Mahāyāna Buddhist traditions, in particular the 32

Theravāda Pāțmokkha (or 'sāvakayāna-prātimoksa, as it would be known in Mahāyāna texts) and the Bodhisattva Prāțimoksa Sūtra. By so doing, we should be able to get some notion as to the continuities and divergences among these three styles (yāna; theg pa) of Buddhism.

A traditional Vajrayāna hermeneutical device has been to speak about these three yānas in terms of body, speech and mind, and we wish to employ this indigenous tool to assist in clarifying the issues involved in these three types of vows—a topic about which we find a good deal of secondary literature from the Tibetan Buddhist tradition. We offer that the 'sāvakayāna prāțimokşa, as found in the Pali Pāțimokkha texts, contains vows regarding the body, especially when 'body' (kāya; sku) is taken in the sense of intentionality or embodiment of volitions; that the bodhisattva prāțimokşa deals essentially with vows about speech, when 'speech' (vāca; ngag) is taken in the sense of our communicative abilities in terms of teaching others, the major concern of the bodhisattvayāna in general; and that tantric vows, the type found in our present text, deal with the mind (citta; sems), especially that mind which indulges in conceptualizations (vikalpa; rtogs pa) as a style of avoiding what is actually given in a situation (dngos po).

The Tibetan commentorial tradition generally sees restraint from misdeeds as the distinguishing feature (*mtshan gzhi*) of the *śrāvakayāna prāțimokṣa*, and in reading through the *Bhikkhupāțimokkha*¹⁰ one sees that all of the *āpatti* or errors involve certain behaviors understood as misdeeds, and that the vows undertaken by a *bhikkhu* are essentially restraints against these misdeeds. Citing the *Abhidharmakośațākā*, Kong sprul¹¹ gives this etymology for *śrāvakayāna prāțimokṣa*. "As it restrains body and speech (from misdeeds), thus it is called *prāțimokṣa* vows or restraints."

Although the Sa skya Pandita, in his sDom gsum,¹² tells us that all three types of vows are harmonized in the tantric path, and that these three vows are likened to the three jewels, nevertheless the restraining character of the srāvakayāna prātimoksa is understood as being at a lower level than the bodhisattva or tantric (vidyādhāra) vows, and it is potentially subject to abrogation by these vows understood as higher.

Similarly, Kong sprul¹³ says that the distinguishing feature of the *srāvakayāna prātimokṣa* is: "... morality which is not merely aspiring for the refuge of protection from fear and the wish for something good, but the thought of gaining peace for oneself (*rang nyid shi ba*) and developing a strong feeling of disgust (*nges par 'byung pa*) for the whole cycle of *samsāra*." Of course, in Tibetan Mahâyāna literature the idea of 33

rang shi or 'self-pacification' is thought to be of a much lower order than the noble aspiration (*pranidhāna*) of the bodhisattva to save all sentient beings, so the nature of the *srāvakayāna prātimokṣa* is understood as lower than that of the bodhisattva.

The vows undertaken by the bodhisattva chiefly deal with the cultivation and maintainence of *bodhicitta*, which is thought of attaining complete enlightenment for the welfare of all sentient beings. The *Bodhisattva Prāțimokṣa Sūtra*¹⁴ rather self-consciously distinguishes itself from the *śrāvakayāna prāțimokṣa*. It says that the distinguishing feature of the bodhisattva *prāțimokṣa* is that the bodhisattva works for the good (*artha; don*) of all sentient beings, while the *śāvaka*, who need not do so, is basically concerned with his own goal.

Kong sprul¹⁵ tells us that the bodhisattva vows involve four aspects: "(1) a special objective, namely being motivated by the attitude of acquiring samyaksambodhi (rdzogs pa'i byang chub) for the benefit of others; (2) trying to practice the factors conducive to samyaksambodhi (mthun phyogs); (3) abandoning all vulgar behaviors (nyes spyod) which are adverse to samyaksambodhi; and (4) morality of renunciation (nges 'byung), together with a mind in accord with all of the above." Thus, according to both Kong sprul and the Bodhisattva Prātimoksa Sūtra, what is essentially different about the bodhisattva vows is a concern for others, and it is in this sense that we maintain the relevance of the speech-communicativeness metaphor for the bodhisattva vows. That this concern is higher than the concerns of the 'sravāka is indicated by the Sa skya Pandita's maintaining¹⁶ that the 'srāvaka vows are binding only for a single lifetime, while the bodhisattva vows carry from birth to birth.

The tantric or vidyādhāra vows deal with obscurations of mind $(j\bar{n}ey\bar{a}varana; shes sgrib)$. Kong sprul¹⁷ tells us that the nature (ngo bo) of tantric vows is: ". . . to be restrained (sdom) from the preconceptions of subject/object with its instincts ('pho ba'i bag chags), and to resolve to hold the wisdom (ye shes; $j\bar{n}\bar{a}na$) of great bliss (mahāsukha; bde chen) which restrains, and the mind which trains itself by this method." He also gives this etymology for 'vidyādhāra vows' (rig 'dzin sdom):¹⁸ Vidyā means "the excellent wisdom of the non-duality of subject/object, called 'the wisdom of the great bliss'"; dhāra means "though this vidyā is unborn, we re-call it" (slar gsal gtap pa); and vow means "the method of re-calling it through the aspect of the deity (lha'i rnam par), or by being blessed (adhisthāna; byin rlabs)."

Our text begins with a dedication to the vajrācārya, the tantric guru,

to the $v\bar{v}ra$ and $v\bar{v}ra$, embodiments of the heroic deeds of one striving for enlightenment, and to the lord of the practice of yoga. There are then fourteen specific confessions, followed by three verses of entreaty which serve both to summarize the fourteen specific confessions and to pray for the accomplishment of *siddhi*. It is to the fourteen specific confessions that we wish to call attention.

Of these fourteen errors, eleven are clearly problems of conceptualization. Of the remaining three, two deal with vows of secrecy involved in tantric training, and one deals generally with the breaking of vows. To summarize, the eleven errors of conceptualization are: (1) undervaluing the guru; (2) disregarding Buddhist doctrines; (3) not adequately respecting the intimacy of the 'tantric family' or teaching situation; (4) not generating sufficient loving thought ('byams sems) for all beings who are potential Buddhas; (5) losing bodhicitta by indulging in such conceptions as 'relative' and 'absolute'; (6) insufficiently regarding the integrity of all philosophic systems (siddhanta; grub mtha'), whether Buddhist or not; (7) regarding the five skandhas as problematic, whereas in reality they are the five wisdoms: (8) the prejudice of imposing affirmation or negation and moral judgements onto one's experience; (9) infatuation with those who are opposed to the Vajrayana; (10) imposing one's own personal affirmation or negation onto the wisdom of sūnyatā; and (11) because of the klesa, not sufficiently regarding one's own insight into the Buddhist path.

Clearly, then, the predominant notion of an 'error' in Indrabhūti's texts involves the conceptualizing mind, and perhaps the harmony of these three vows which the Sa skya Pandita maintains is that of the harmony of body, speech and mind, a harmony which is the goal of all Buddhist practice.

English Translation

Hum Vajrācārya, the one who is peerless in the fundamentals of the mandala to the $v\bar{v}ra$ and the $v\bar{v}r\bar{a}$,¹ the Yogesvara² and so forth, I beg you to think of me compassionately.

I beg forgiveness from the *trikāya* of the gurus³ because, being intoxicated by the great disease of arrogance, I have, by my recklessness, held the Vajrācārya, who is the root of all *siddhi*, in contempt.

I sincerely declare and confess having transgressed and disregarded, by underestimating its worth, the speeches from the holy mouths of the indescribable Dharmakāya, the Sambhogakāya and Nirmāṇakāya, which exist for the benefit of others.

I sincerely declare and confess being anrgy with and rebuking the tantric family,⁴ which by one vow⁵ is conjoined of one guru, one wisdom consort⁶ and one *mandala*, especially in other times.

I sincerely declare and confess, because I have been unskillful and totally influenced by hatred, the giving up of all beneficial and loving thought⁷ for all sentient beings, who are endowed with *Buddhagarbha*,⁸ the ability to become the holy, exalted offsprings of the Jīna.⁹

I sincerely declare and confess, by having sucumbed to the influence of mundane preconceptions,¹⁰ the abandonment of the blissful *bodhicitta*, which is the nature of all phenomena, beyond the ideas of relative and ultimate.¹¹

I sincerely declare and confess abusing the philosophic systems¹² of outsiders,¹³ śrāvakas,¹⁴ pratyekabuddhas,¹⁵ mahāyānists¹⁶ and peerless secret mantrayānists, those either seeking or having already entered the path.¹⁷

I sincerely declare and confess the promulgation of secret mantras, mūdras, signs, mantra, profound secrets and so forth, to improper containers, those degenerated from the rituals, immature and not suitable receptacles for the profound mantrayana. I sincerely declare and confess killing, hindering, bashing, mortifying, abusing and regarding as inferior, the five *skandhas*,¹⁸ which are in reality the five Buddha-families which are of the nature of the five wisdoms.¹⁹

I sincerely declare and confess to the stainless Dharmadhātu²⁰ my prejudices which prevented me from realizing that, although all phenomena are by nature pure, they are labelled into two as 'yes/no' and 'good/evil'.

I sincerely declare and confess to the guru, the *triratna*,²¹ my failure to convert, in fact, my infatuation with, the people who abuse, cast aspersions upon and disregard the secret *mantra* and Vajrayāna.

I sincerely declare and confess the labelling as 'yes' and 'no' the great wisdom of the vacuity of constructed thought,²² in which there is not the least predicament as all names are merely projections.²³

I sincerely declare and confess to those who are the devoted ones and who follow the transmission my being responsible for the loss of faith of those who possess the initial disposition, who are childlike, by disclosing the profound words and tantric practices.

I sincerely declare and confess with a mind filled with extreme regret, my not keeping by belittling all branches of the vows which should be known, safeguarded, engaged in and kept, despite my knowing better.

I sincerely declare and confess to the common and special peerless wisdom consort abusing and seeing the shortcomings and faults of the holy insight²⁵ into the external, internal and secret (levels),²⁶ having been motivated by the defilements.²⁷

Though confession and what is to be confessed are non-objectifiable,

in order to eliminate the instinctive thought-constructs,

as I sincerely confess to the exalted object of the holy vows, so may I have happiness.

Please grant forgiveness, O compassionate protector! (I have) cultivated the wisdom-consort which has no vow, argued in the offering-circle²⁸ and so forth, taken the elixir²⁹ and the inferior wisdom-consort, not preached to the right containers who aspire to the secret Dharma, and misled the faithful ones with non-Dharmic talks, passed more than seven days among the *srāvakas*,³⁰ claimed to be a tantrika only in name, and wrongly disclosed mantra to improper containers, contradicted and made mistakes in meditation and recitation and committed errors of impure views and practice.

Please grant the siddhi of Brahmā³¹ quickly.

I declare and confess the degeneration of the vows of body.

I declare and confess the degeneration of the vows of speech.

I declare and confess the degeneration of the vows of mind.

I declare and confess the degeneration of the root vows.

I declare and confess the degeneration of the branch vows.

I declare, declare, declare to the wisdom deity.³²

I confess, confess, confess to the assembly of the *dakinis*³³ of the vows. By offering this declaration and confession,

please grant me the siddhi of Brahma.

Critical Edition

rDo rje theg pa'i rtsa ba dang yan lag gi ltung ba'i bshags pa by Indrabhūti (Indrabodhi)

sNar thang edition: sNgags, vol. Pu, fascs. 114b-115b. Peking edition: rGyud 'grel, vol. Pu, fascs. 116b-118a. Otani number: 4626.

hung rdo rje slob dpon rig mar mtshungs' pa dang rtsa ba dkyil 'khor² la bla na med³ pa dang dpa' bo dpa' mo rnal 'byor dbang phyug sogs mkha' 'gro'i tshogs rnams brtse bas dgongs su gsol

dngos sgrub rtsa ba rdo rje slob dpon la nga rgyal mthon po'i nad kyis bdag gzer te bag med tshul gyis brnyas pa gang bgyis pa bla ma rnam gsum sku la bzod par gsol

chos sku⁴ spros dang bral bde nyid la longs spyod rdzogs dang sprul skus gzhan don mdzad de yi⁵ zhal nas gsungs pa'i bka' rnams las 'das shing khyad du bsad pa 'thol lo bshags

bla ma gcig dang rig ma gcig hyid dang dkyil 'khor gcig dang bye brag dus gzhan du dam tshig gcig gis bsdus pa'i spun rnams la khros shing nyis par brjod par⁶ 'thol lo bshags

sems can thams sangs rgyas snying po can rgyal ba'i sras mchog dam par gyur pa la bdag ni mi mkhas zhe sdad dbang du⁷ gyur te byams sems phan bde spangs pa mthol lo bshags

chos rnams thams cad byang chub sems yin⁸ te kun rdzob don dam cha lam rten cing 'jig rten rnam rtog dbang du gyur pa yis bde chen byang sems spangs pa 'thol lo bshags

phyi rol pa dang nyan thos rang rgyal dang theg pa che dang gsang sngags bla na med lam tshol⁹ ba dang de bzhin lam chen zhugs grub pa'i mtha' rnams smad pa 'thol lo bshags

smod min chog nyams dang ma smin dang zab mo gsang ba'i smod du mi rung¹⁰ la gsang sngags phyag rgya brda dang sngags la sogs zab mo gsang ba bsgrags pa 'thol lo bshags phung po lnga ni rgyal ba rigs lnga'i dngos ye shes lnga yi rang bzhin yin pa la gsad bcad bngeg dang dkar thub bsnyung ba sogs smad cing dam na par brtags pa mthol lo bshags

chos rnams kun gyi rang bzhin dag pa la yin dang min dang bzad¹¹ gnyis su btags rang bzhin dag pa'i¹² chos kyi dbyings nyid la the tshom som nyi zos pa 'thol lo bshags

bla ma dang ni dkon mchog gsum rnams dang gsang sngags rdo rje theg la mi mos zhing smod cing bkur pa 'debs pa'i mi rnams la tshar¹³ ma bcad cing byams bgyis 'thol lo bshags

ming rnams thams cad rnam par rtog¹⁴ pa ste¹⁵ rtog pas stong pa'i ye shes chen po nyid 'de le zug rdu rdul tsam med pa la yin dang min¹⁶ du btags pa 'thol lo bshags

dang po'i las can gyis pa'i dang tshul can dang ldan lung gi rjes su 'brang rnams la zab mo'i tshig brjod brtul zhugs spyod bstan pas pha rol sems sun phyung ba 'thol lo bshags

mi spings spyad cing bsrung bar bya ba dang shes par bya ba'i dam tshig yan lag bcas shes bzhin khyad de gsang nas mi rten pa rab tu 'gyod pa'i sems kyis 'thol lo bshags

phyin ngag sang ba'i shes rab dam pa dang thun mongs gyad par rig ma bla med la skyon dang nyes pa'i sgo nas smad gyur pa nyon mongs kun nas blad ba 'thol lo bshags

bshags dang bshags par bya ba migs kyang rnam par rtog pa'i bag chags sbyan dya'i phyir rje btsun dam pa yul gyi 'chog rnams la rab tu bshags pas bde ba thob gyur cig dam tshig mi ldan rig ma brten pa dang tshogs 'khor la sogs nad du brcod pa dang rig ma dman la 'dud rtsi blangs pa dang gsang chos 'dod pa'i smod la ma bshags dang ldan chos min gtam la sbyar ba dang nyan thos nad du zhag bdun 'das pa dang ming tsam la rten sngags pa yin smra dang smod min pa la sngags bshad nyes pa dang lta sbyod la sogs ma dag nyes pa rnams mgon po thugs rje¹⁷ can la bzod par gsol tshangs pa'i dngos grub myur du stsal du gsol sku'i dam tshig nyams pa 'thol lo bshags gsung gi dam tshig nyams pa 'thol¹⁸ lo bshags thugs kyi dam tshig nyams pa 'thol¹⁸ lo bshags rtsa ba'i dam tshig nyams pa 'thol lo bshags yan lag dam tshig nyams pa 'thol lo bshags¹⁹ 'thol lo 'thol lo ye shes lha la 'thol bshags so bshags so²⁰ dam can mkha' 'gro tshigs 'thol bshags phul nas tshangs pa'i dngos grub stsol zhes bshags so

rdo rje theg pa'i rtsa ba yan lag gi²¹ ltung ba'i bshags pa slob dpon in dra bo dhis mdzang pa rdzogs so

NOTES TO THE INTRODUCTION

Williams College, Williamstown, Massachusetts

* A paper presented to International Seminar on Tibetan Studies, St. John's College, Oxford University, July 1-7, 1979.

1. Personal communication from Professor Lewis Lancaster, University of California at Berkeley, dated October 26, 1978.

2. Kong sprul, Theg pa'i sgo kun las btus pa gsung rab rin po che'i mdzod bslab pa gsum legs par ston pa'i bstan bcos shes bya kun khyab (Shes bya kun khyab), ed. Lokesh Chandra, intro. E. Gene Smith, Kongtrul's Encyclopaedia of Indo-Tibetan Culture, Parts I-III, New Delhi: International Academy of Indian Culture, Sata-Pitaka Series, Vol. 80, 1970.

3. Sa skya Pandita, Rang bzhin rdzogs pa chen po'i lam gyi cha lag sdom pa gsum rnam par nges pa zhes bya ba'i bstan bcos bzhugs so, n.p.: The Press of the Indestructable Sacred Word, n.d.

4. dGe slong bLo bzang bskal bzang rgya mtsho (the Seventh Dalai Lama), gSang chen rdo rje theg pa'i smin byed kyi dbang rjes gnang sogs kyi sngon 'gro'i ches kyi gtam do bya ba gzhan phan ra ster bzhugs, Tibetan wood-block text, Library of Tibetan Works and Archives accession no. 1081.

5. Tsong Kha pa, sNgags rim chen mu, in The Collected Works of Tsong kha pa, Peking ed., Otani no. 6210. Partially translated by Jeffrey Hopkins, et. al., Tantra in Tibet: The Great Exposition on the Secret Mantra, London: George Allen & Unwin, 1977.

6. See note 2 to the critical edition.

7. bLa ma chen po Mi'jigs pa sbyin pa dpal, 'Phags yul grub chen brgyad cu rtsa bzhi'i byin rlabs skor lus/ lo rgyus rnam par thar pa rnams bzhugs so, Varanasi: E. Kalsang, 1972, translated by Nathan Katz, "A Translation of the Biography of the Mahāsiddha Indrabhūti with Notes," Gangtok: Bulletin of Tibetology, XII, 1, 1975.

8. W.Y. Evans-Wentz (ed.), *The Tibetan Book of the Great Liberation*, London and New York: Oxford University Press, 1959.

9. B. Bhattacharyya, *The Indian Buddhist Iconography*, Calcutta: Firma K.L. Mukhopad hyay, 3rd edition, 1968, p. 57f.

10. Nānamoli Thera, ed. & tr., The Pātimokkha: The Rule for Buddhist Monks, Bangkok: King Mahā Makuta's Academy, 1969.

11. Kong sprul, *op. cit., 'grel*, II: 38. lus ngag sdom pa'i phyir so so thar pa'i sdom pa shes bya'o.

12. Sa skya Pandita, op. cit., fasc. 55a.

13. Kong sprul, op. cit., 'grel, 11: 37.

14. Nalinaksha Dutt, ed. & tr., "Bodhisattva Prāțimoksa Sūtra," Indian Historical Quarterly, VII, 1931, pp. 259-286, p. 278.

15. Kong sprul, op. cit., 'grel, 11: 101.

16. Sa skya Pandita, op. cit., fasc. 2b.

17. Kong sprul, op. cit., 'grel, 11: 136-137.

18. ibid., 'grel, 11: 137.

NOTES TO THE TRANSLATION

1. Vira and $v\bar{i}r\bar{a} = dpa' bo dpa' mo$, literally "hero" and "heroine," or the heroic quality of striving for enlightenment, as indicated by the Tibetan translation of 'bodhisattva' by byang chub sems dpa'.

2. The 'lord of yoga' = yoges'vara = rnal 'byor dbang phyug.

3. The 'trikāya of the gurus' = bla ma rnam gsum sku.

4. 'Tantric family' means vajrabandhu, or that which is joined in the Vajrayana. In this case, the vajrabandhu is composed of one guru, one wisdom-consort (rig ma) and one mandala, brought together by the very nature of the vows, which are always described as mutually binding between the guru and disciple.

5. 'Vow' in this instance is our translation of dam tshig or samaya.

6. The 'wisdom-consort' or rig ma (prajnā) is the embodiment of the wisdom principle in a feminine form. Of course, this symbol is found repeatedly in tantric literature and iconography, and some dimensions of its usage are explored in my essay, "Anima and mKha' 'gro ma: A Critical, Comparative Study of Jung and Tibetan Buddhism," Dharamsala: *The Tibet Journal*, 11, 3, 1977, pp. 18-43.

7. 'Loving thought' is 'byams sems or maitri, which Kong sprul ('grel, 11:101) says is "the root of all virtue".

8 .Buddhagarbha or sangs rgyas snying po is the potentiality for awakening in all sentient beings.

9. *Jina* or rGyal ba means 'the conqueror', an epithet of the Buddha and of the highest Tibetan lamas, such as the Dalai Lama and the leaders of the other three lineages.

10. 'Mundane preconceptions', 'jig rten mam rtog or vikalpa, are conceptual devices employed by ego to avoid confronting its own insubstantiality.

11. Bodhicitta, according to bodhisattvayāna texts, is said to be either relative or ultimate. Aryadeva, in his bZhi rgya pa, chapter five, tells us that this distinction is based on whether or note the individual's bodhicitta is suffused by the pure perception of sūnyatā (ngon sum du mi byed pa).

12. The philosophic systems (siddhānta; grub mtha') are summarized in Herbert V. Guenther, tr. and ann., Buddhist Philosophy in Theory and Practice, Baltimore: Penguin Books, 1972.

13. 'Outsiders' = phyi rol pa, or non-Buddhists.

14. The 'śrāvakas', or nyan thos, are literally the 'hearers' of the Buddha's teachings. The term śrāvakayāna (theg pa'i nyan thos) is generally an equivalent to the derisive hīnayāna'. On the use of this term in Maāyāna literature, see my doctoral dissertation, "The Concept of the Arahant in the Sutta Pitaka, with Reference to the Bodhisattva and the Mahāsiddha," Temple University, 1978, esp. pp. 448-479.

15. The pratyekabuddha, rang rgyal or rang sangs rgyas, originally was one who, according to the Buddha, was able to resolve the multi-lemma of samsāra outside of the Buddist system. Gradually this term came to be used rather vaguely to discuss a type of Buddhist practicioner. A fine discussion of its original use in the Pali texts is M.A.G.T. Kloppenborg, *The Paccekabuddha*, Leiden: E.J. Brill, 1974.

16. Mahāyānists (theg pa chen po) are followers of the bodhisattva method.

17. "Those either seeking or having already entered the path" indicates the traditional notion that each stage of spiritual growth has both a generative and resultant aspect. The earliest use of this type of idea is found in the Pali Buddhist notion of the four paths and the four fruitions (maggā and phalāni); in the bodhisattvayāna it gets expressed through the ideas of wishing and engaging types of bodhicitta vows (smon pasems bskyed kyi sdom pa and 'jug pa sems bskyed kyi sdom pa); and in the Vajrayāna it is expressed as the general classification of tantras into causal and resultant, and which has been discussed by Tsong kha pa in his sNgags rim chen mo.

18. The five skandhas or phung po are the aggregates of form, sensation, recognition, volition and consciousness which make up what we call the 'individual'. These are often expressed as the 'five aggregates of clinging' (upāndānakkhandā) and are seen in Pali Buddhism as essentially problematic. Tantric Buddhism tends toward an intrinsic valuation of the person, and thus the five skandhas are here said to be of the nature of the five wisdoms.

19. See note 18. According to tantric Buddhism, the five wisdoms are none other than the five *skandhas* transmuted.

20. The Dharmadhātu (chos kyi dbyings nyid) or 'realm of Dharma' is none other than one's ordinary experiencing without conceptualizations.

21. The triratna or dkon mchog gsum means the Buddha; Dharma and Sangha, and is identical with the triple refuge of Buddhism.

22. 'Constructed thought' (rtog pa; vikalpa) has been discussed in note 10 above.

23. See note 10 above; 'projections' is an alternate translation of the same term.

24. 'Practices' is our translation of the difficult term, spyod or cārya, which could alternatively be rendered as 'behavior', 'carrying-out', 'coursing', etc.

25. 'Insight' = shes rab or prajnā.

26. The 'external, internal and secret (levels)' is one way of talking about the three yanas.

27. The 'defilements' (klesa, sgrib) are ignorance, aversion and attraction; essentially, that which keeps one from enlightenment.

28. 'Offering-circle' = ganacakra = tshogs 'khor.

29. 'Elixir' = bdud rtsi = amrta.

30. Passing seven days among the śrāvakas is understood in the bodhisattvayāna as one of the potential causes for losing bodhicitta. According to sGam po pa (Jewel Ornament of Liberation, tr. H.V. Guenther, Berkeley: Shambhala, 1971, p. 4), the śrāvaka mentality is characterized by: (1) fear of samsāra; (2) desire for nirvāna; and (3) interest in one's welfare alone. Insofar as Buddhism is not merely a device for avoiding unpleasantness, such an attitude would be equally deleterious to one practicing the Theravāda as for one engaging in the *bodhisattvayāna* or *tantrayāna*.

31. The 'siddhi or Brahmā' is tshangs pa'i dngos grub, a way of saying 'the highest siddhi', I am grateful to Professor David L. Snellgrove, University of London, for clarifying this reference.

32. The 'wisdom deity' (ye shes lha) is, according to Tsong kha pa, the distinguishing characteristic of the tantric path. In his sNgags rim chen mo (op. cit., p. 142) he says that the practices of visualization associated with this deity are the most direct method for the attainment of a Buddha-body or Buddha-intentionality. The Seventh Dalai Lama (op. cit., fasc. 8b) characterizes the Vajrayāna simply as a 'short way' (myur lam) for actualizing the principles of the bodhisattuayāna.

33. See note 6.

NOTES TO THE EDITION

- 1. sNar thang: mchungs
- 2. sNar thang: 'de in place of dkyil 'khor
- 3. Peking: mad
- 4. sNar thang: sku'i
- 5. sNar thang: li
- 6. Peking: pa
- 7. sNar thang: "du" is absent
- 8. Both texts are unclear; "yin" is a conjecture.
- 9. sNar thang: chol
- 10. Both texts are nearly illegible; "rung" is a conjecture.
- 11. Both texts are unclear, but "bzad" seems appropriate.
- 12. Peking: pi'
- 13. sNar thang: char
- 14. sNar thang: rtag
- 15. sNar thang: sta
- 16. sNar thang: men
- 17. Both texts read rji, but rje is preferrable.
- 18. sNar thang: thol
- 19. sNar thang: gshangs
- 20. sNar thang: bshagso
- 21. sNar thang: lagi

AUTHOR'S NOTE

My appreciations to: Mr. Lozang Gyaltshan of the Library of Tibetan Works and Archives, Dharamsala, for previewing this text with me; Mr. Sherab Ebin, Lecturer in Sanskrit at Naropa Institute, for checking over my critical edition; and Dr. Mark J. Tatz, University of British Columbia, for making available to me a copy of the Sa skya Paṇḍita's text.