AMPORSHA-SHAPED GLASS AND CORAL BEADS
DISTANT CULTURAL CONNECTIONS IN THE CARPATHIAN BASIN
AT THE BEGINNING OF THE LATE IRON AGE

The territory defined as the Carpathian Basin mostly by archaeologists and less by geographers was in the past, and also today, a melting pot in which different cultural influences came together and became entangled, to create new cultural trends and features. The interaction of different groups and communities from this region is frequently illustrated archaeologically by the circulation of certain artefacts. For the beginning of the Late Iron Age, one excellent example is provided by the distribution of amphora-shaped beads made of glass or of those made of branches of red coral.

The amphora-shaped beads were produced in Mediterranean workshops or in those from the Black Sea region between the end of the 5th century and the beginning of the 3rd century BC. They were usually made of translucent glass paste, while the examples made of cobalt blue or turquoise glass paste are rare, though occasionally found both in the areas from the vicinity of the Mediterranean workshops (for example in Slovenia) and in more distant places (in Slovakia or Moravia). The glass beads imitate artefacts with a similar morphology, but made of gold, which were already used in Greece and Macedonia during the Late Archaic period (fig. 1). Moreover, the pendants made of precious metals were copied in bronze or amber both in Greece and in other areas from the Balkans (fig. 2).

The amphora-shaped glass beads circulated to the north, reaching some populations from the temperate Europe, but they are more commonly found in the Carpathian Basin, the north-western Balkans and the Lower Danube region (see list 1). The beads discovered in the Carpathian Basin were analysed by a series of specialists who mostly discussed the problems related to their distribution and chronology. Amongst them can be noted the older studies of J. Meduna, N. Venclová and M. Čižmař, or the more recent ones of P. Popović and M. Schönfelder. Taking over from them, my initial attempt was to update the distribution map provided by the last two cited authors, albeit more recently the list of finds became far larger.

As concerning the beads made of branches of red coral (Coralium rubrum), they come from the Mediterranean, coral harvesting being attested both by literary sources and archaeological discoveries. One volume focusing on the Mediterranean coral, which was published more than a decade ago and in which B. Schmid-Sikimić analysed the coral harvesting and trade in the Adriatic, represents another important contribution to this field of study.

As a consequence, previous studies concerning both the amphora-shaped glass beads and those made of coral allow now a comprehensive discussion about the means and ways of circulation of these objects amongst the communities from the Carpathian Basin.

Fig. 1 Row of amphora-shaped beads shown on a Late Archaic statue from the rural cemetery at Myrrhinous (Merenda) in eastern Attica (middle of the 6th century BC). – (After Hurwit 2007, 270 fig. 30).
Fig. 2  
The distribution map of the glass beads indicates the presence of two major areas of circulation: one to the west and another to the east (fig. 3A-B). Their presence underlines the existence of different supply sources. Thus, the western area consists of the north-western Balkans and most of the Carpathian Basin, the find distribution indicating the impact of the Greek cities and workshops from the Adriatic and Greece on their circulation northward (fig. 3A). The eastern area consists of the Lower Danube region and eastern Transylvania, suggesting that in this case the beads’ circulation was influenced by the workshops from the Greek Pontic cities (fig. 3B).

The most numerous discoveries are attested in the Carpathian Basin. However, they are quite clearly concentrated in a series of geographic and cultural areas. These concentrations are chronologically relevant and they also allow the identification of some inter-community networks of communications from the beginning of the Late Iron Age.
The first group of finds includes beads coming from tumulus burials in the south-eastern Alpine region, in modern Slovenia (fig. 3A, 1). The majority of them originate from the area of the Dolenjska group, from funerary inventories belonging to the Negova phase, which is contemporaneous with the La Tène A-B1 period (second half of the 5th century-first two thirds of the 4th century BC)¹². However, amphora-shaped glass beads are also present later in this region, for example the one from a La Tène grave of the 3rd century BC from Novo mesto-Kapiteljska njiva (SLO)¹³. Besides that, the beads from the south-eastern Alpine region are the earliest-dated ones from the entire territory in question.

The second group includes the finds from Lower Austria and Moravia (fig. 3A, 2). They come from Celtic graves usually dated to the La Tène B1¹⁴.

The third group consists of finds coming from Celtic cemeteries in south-western Slovakia and northern Hungary (fig. 3A, 3). The majority of the contexts containing such beads are dated to the La Tène B1.¹⁵ Sometimes they are also used at the beginning of the La Tène B2, as some local discoveries from the east of the Danube are showing¹⁶. Lastly, the beads found in the Celtic oppidum at Budapest-Gellérthegy-Tabán¹⁷ (fig. 2, 4) illustrate the perpetuation of such objects over a longer period until the Late La Tène.

The fourth group consists of grave goods recovered from the southern Carpathian Basin, the middle and lower valleys of the Drava and Sava rivers, and the Romanian Banat (fig. 3A, 4). In general the beads in question belong to the inventories of some graves dated to the La Tène B1 and the beginning of the La Tène B2. They are part of a »pre-Celtic« cultural horizon (the so-called Čurug phase¹⁸) which had numerous contacts with the Central European La Tène area¹⁹. It has to be noted that amphora-shaped glass beads associated with bits of coral branches and amber pearls also appear in burials belonging to the early phases of some Celtic cemeteries (dated to the end of the La Tène B1 and the beginning of the La Tène B2), for example at Aradu Nou (jud. Arad) in the Romanian Banat²⁰.

With the exception of the south-eastern Alpine zone, in all of the mentioned groups of finds the amphora-shaped glass beads were sometimes accompanied by beads made of coral (see list 2), as part of some complex jewellery sets incorporating other types of beads (fig. 3). This is the case of the finds from Brno-Horni Heršpice (okr. Brno-město/CZ; fig. 2, 2), Dubník (okr. Nové Zámky/SK; fig. 2, 3), Kosd (Kom. Pest/H; grave no. 55), Sremska Mitrovica (Sremski okrug/SRB) or Aradu Nou. In other rarer situations, for example at Kosd (grave no. 56), coral beads were not combined with glass beads. The contexts in which coral beads were found belong to the La Tène B1 and the beginning of the La Tène B2 sub-phase. This contemporaneity with the chronology of the amphora-shaped glass beads indicates that in general coral beads arrived in the Carpathian Basin from the Adriatic coast and the north-western Balkans together with glass beads. In this context, it is also significant that in grave no. 39 from Kosd one row of coral beads also contains three bi-truncated silver beads²¹. Such artefacts were produced in workshops from Macedonia and the north-western Balkans at the end of the Early Iron Age and the beginning of the Late Iron Age²².

The spatial distribution of the aforementioned four groups of finds, as well as their chronology, also underlines the routes of communication on which these objects circulated inside the Carpathian Basin. From this point of view, it is relevant that in all of these groups the amphora-shaped glass beads are sometimes associated with amber beads (see list 1). Thus, it can be concluded that the well-known Amber Route of the eastern Alpine region played an important role in the circulation of the amphora-shaped beads from the Adriatic and the south-eastern Alpine region (where the earliest discoveries are attested) to the north and up to Moravia. At the same time, starting from this route, similar objects were distributed downstream the Danube, from the Lower Austrian crossing point of the pan-European (north-south and west-east) routes of communication to the Celtic communities from south-western Slovakia and northern Hungary. From the south-eastern Alpine region to the east, these beads were distributed alongside other important routes following the course of the Drava and Sava rivers and down to the Danube²³.
The discovery of some amphora-shaped beads along the Vardar-Morava corridor (at Skopje-Ždanec [MK] and recently at Kale-Krševica [Pčinjski okrug/SRB]\(^\text{24}\), an important manufacturing and trading centre) could also indicate a circulation from Macedonia to the north along this route which was also intensively used during the Early Iron Age\(^\text{25}\). Lastly, glass beads could have come from the southern Carpathian Basin to the north along the Danube, up to the Budapest region. The cultural contacts between these two areas during the 4\(^{\text{th}}\) century BC are also confirmed by other discoveries. For example, the hoard of silver jewellery of local origin from Ćurug (Južnobački okrug/SRB) also contains a brooch of the early Dux type\(^\text{26}\). On the other hand, brooches with a spiral bow decorated with chains and axe-shaped pendants of the type included in the hoard from Ćurug\(^\text{27}\) are also attested in the cemetery at Pilismarot (Kom. Komárom-Esztergom/H)\(^\text{28}\), in the area of the Danube’s bent.

Thus the distribution of the amphora-shaped glass beads in the Carpathian Basin seems to indicate that they tend to concentrate in four distinct geo-cultural areas. Moreover, the beads circulated between these groups along the traditional routes of communication which facilitated economic and social inter-community connections across wide areas. The origin of these artefacts in the Mediterranean workshops is also confirmed by, amongst other things, their association with coral beads within these groups.

As concerning the second main area of distribution, consisting of the finds from the Lower Danube region and eastern Transylvania (fig. 3B), it suggests other directions and mechanisms of distribution. First, it has to be noted the almost complete absence of coral beads. The single exception is a small hoard of jewellery made of gold, silver and bronze, which also contains a row of 70 coral beads, 75 amber and glass beads and two cowry shells. The hoard was discovered in the fortified settlement at Buneşti (jud. Vaslui), in eastern Romania, and was dated to the second half of the 4\(^{\text{th}}\) century to the beginning or the first half of the 3\(^{\text{rd}}\) century BC\(^\text{29}\). The absence of Mediterranean coral items indicates the orientation of the communities from the Lower Danube region towards the workshops from the Greek cities on the western and north-western Pontic coast, which produced amphora-shaped glass beads. Furthermore, this orientation is also con-
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integrated in garment assemblages of the fig. 5, 10-11), four blue glass beads having different shapes and dimensions (fig. 5, 6, 12, 14-15), seven beads made of bronze wire or sheet (fig. 5, 2-5, 7-9), one bronze pendant (fig. 5, 17), one amber bead (fig. 5, 16) and one amphora-shaped bead made of yellowish translucent glass paste (fig. 5, 13). The presence of a brooch of the »Thradian« type belonging to a variant dated to the first half of the 3rd century BC amongst the garment accessories (fig. 5, 26) indicates some connections with the communities from the Lower Danube basin where such objects are common. The same cultural contacts are probably behind the presence of the amphora-shaped glass bead included amongst the other beads. On the opposite way, the coral beads discovered in the fortified settlement at Bunești, eastward the Carpathians, could have come from the Carpathian Basin as a result of such distant connections. Aside from that, a series of other artefacts of the La Tène B2 type came to light in the same settlement, of which the early Dux brooches are worth mentioning.

The mechanisms through which both the amphora-shaped glass beads and the coral ones circulated were diverse and complex. Albeit the hypothesis that at least some of these artefacts were traded cannot be excluded, the social inter-community networks very probably played a far more important role. These networks implied a higher degree of individual mobility and less the migration of large groups. The establishing of such social networks was based on a variety of strategies of inter-community communications. Amongst these strategies can be listed the diplomatic agreements between the leaders of different communities, the gift or/and hostage exchanges, matrimonial alliances, etc. All of these practices facilitated the circulation of some cultural goods from one area to another, sometimes across wider spaces.

K. Kristiansen has noted that exogamy and also polygamy were important strategies involved in the establishing of external alliances and distant networks of interaction during the expansion stages of some communities dominated by warlike elites (the importance of these elites is illustrated by the funerary inventories containing panoplies of weapons which define their social functions and status). Precisely in the La Tène B1-B2 (4th century-beginning of the 3rd century BC), the Carpathian Basin experienced a process of gradual colonisation that lasted several generations across wider areas. Some northern territories were initially occupied along the Danube and in Transdanubia, while later the colonisation process extended in the northern and eastern parts of the Great Hungarian Plain, in Transylvania, and lastly in the southern Carpathian Basin. Thus, at least some of the garments accessories containing rows of glass, coral or amber beads might be the result of the mobility of some women involved in external marriages. Furthermore, a series of funerary discoveries from the Carpathian Basin seem to sustain the existence of such practices within the La Tène communities. The burial no. Cx 50 from the La Tène cemetery at Aradu Nou, without weapons, could illustrate another possible example. Its inventory includes a rich jewellery set belonging to a woman, consisting of a pair of earrings made of twisted silver wire, two bronze bracelets of a local type, several rows of more than 400 glass beads having various shapes and colours (including amphora-shaped beads), coral and amber beads and two Greek silver necklace fasteners with filigree decoration. The silver earrings are specific to the end of the Early Iron Age in the north-western Balkans, while in the La Tène B2a such artefacts were integrated in garment assemblages of the La Tène type, for example at Belgrade-Karaburma. As concern-
Fig. 5  Fântânele-Dâmbovița (jud. Bistrița-Năsăud/RO), grave no. 79: 1. 31-32 ceramic. – 2-5, 7-9, 17-22, 25-29 bronze. – 6. 10-15 glass. – 16 amber. – 23. 30 iron. – 24 silver. – (After Rustoiu 2008, 55 fig. 22). – 1-29 scale 1:1; 30-32 scale 1:2.
ing the row of beads consisting of elements made of different materials and having different shapes, similar assemblages for bodily ornamentation were also identified in the north-western Balkans in burials belonging to the »pre-Celtic« horizon, for example at Novo mesto\textsuperscript{39} in Slovenia, or at Velika\textsuperscript{40} (Požeško-slavonska županija) in Croatia (fig. 6). The presence of a costume decorated with this kind of ornaments in a burial from a La Tène cemetery, like the one at Aradu Nou, could indicate that the deceased came from a community of the north-western Balkans and arrived in the Celtic community upon the conclusion of a matrimonial alliance.

The analysis of the female garment assemblages from different cultural areas also indicates that there is a strong connection between their distribution and the communication routes\textsuperscript{41}. Therefore, it can be presumed that the practice of exogamy was used as a means of social communication, to conclude political, social and economic alliances between communities which controlled access along different segments of these routes. The distribution of the aforementioned four groups of finds consisting of coral and amphora-shaped glass beads, to which those made of amber can be added, is an argument sustaining this idea.

Lastly, it has to be also noted the »practical« role of these beads. They were not simple bodily ornaments of Mediterranean origin, but also had an apotropaic function, being associated with other artefacts having a
similar role or even healing qualities, as in the case of coral. Thus, these richly decorated rows of beads were also powerful protective instruments against all sorts of menacing, evil forces that threatened the life of various individuals. Moreover, there is no coincidence that they were mostly worn by women and children, the most vulnerable members of the community. Precisely these apotropaic characteristics of the glass beads contributed to their preservation and long-lasting use. This also led to the presence of some amphora-shaped beads in later archaeological contexts, for example in the Celtic oppidum at Budapest-Gellérttheyeg-Tabán (fig. 2, 4). At the same time, similar artefacts appeared even in cemeteries of the Avar period. For example, one row of beads that also included two amphora-shaped glass beads was found in grave no. 154 from the cemetery at Kisköre (Kom. Heves) in Hungary\(^4\) (fig. 2, 5). These beads were very probably reused when a Celtic burial was accidentally destroyed upon digging the new funerary pit.

It can be therefore concluded that the analysis of the coral and amphora-shaped glass beads indicates that, in spite of their Mediterranean origin, these artefacts circulated amongst the communities of the Carpathian Basin as part of some complex social connections. Amongst them, the practice of exogamy seems to have played an important role.

**LIST 1: AMPHORA-SHAPED GLASS BEADS FROM THE BALKANS AND THE CARPATHIAN BASIN**

Contexts with associations of glass and amber beads in *Italic* (after Popović 1997; Schönfelder 2007, 318-321; Rustoiu 2008, 171; 2011a, fig. 4, with additions)

Macedonia: Delogožda; Skopje-Židanec. – Montenegro: Podgorica-Momirići. – Bosnia-Herzegovina: Rudine-Rusanovići (III); Sanski Most; Tuzla; Vratnica. – Serbia: Kale-Krševica (Popović 2007, fig. 3, 13); Sremska Mitrovica. – Croatia: Donja Dolina; Dracevac; Gradac (Potrebica-Dizar 2002, 114 pl. 5); Osijek; Pleternica; Velika; Vičja luka (Bobovišća, island of Brač). – Slovenia: Kranj-Lajh; Magdalenska gora; Novo mesto-Kapiteljska niva; Stična; Sv. Vid. – Hungary: Budapest-Gellérttheyeg-Tabán; Hatvan-Boldog; Keszthely-Csóregődőr; Kös; Pilismarot. – Austria: Au am Leithagebirge-Kleine Hutweide; Bad Deutsch Altenburg-Carnuntum; Mannersdorf am Leithagebirge (Ramsl 2011, 131 pl. 60, 8). – Slovakia: Dubník; Michal nad Žitavou. – Czech Republic (Moravia): Blúčina-Hbitovní ulice; Brno-Horni Heršpice; Mutěnice; Přítluky. – Romania (Banat and Transylvania): Aradu Nou (Rustoiu/Ursuţiu 2013a); Făntânele-Dâmbovi Popii. – Romania (Lower Danube region): Berteştii de Jos; Chişcăni; Pietroasele-Gruu Dârri; Radovanu; Zinnicea. – Bulgaria: Pitsiros (Bouzek 2014, fig. 26, 3).

**LIST 2: CORAL BEADS FROM THE CARPATHIAN BASIN (AFTER SCHMID-SIKIMIĆ 2000, WITH ADDITIONS)**
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