# CIUS - NEW ROMAN FINDS ON THE DANUBIAN LIMES IN SCYTHIA (DOBRODJA) 

BY<br>COSTEL CHIRIAC, CARMEN UNGUREANU

The Roman fort from Cius (being in our days near Gârliciu village, Constanta County) is placed not far from the right bank of the Danube, on the edge of Hassarlic Lake. In the vicinity of the Roman fortification, to its southern side, a large rural Getian settlement of Hellenistic epoch and one belonging to the Roman epoch (wicus?) from the 2th-4th centuries, were active in the past. Cius fortification is settled on the Lower Danube's limes, between the large centers from Carsium (Hâŗ̧ova), at the south and the ex-residence of the 5th Legion Macedonica, Troesmis (Turcoaia), at the north (see the map)'. Cius was mentioned in the ancient literary sources ${ }^{2}$ and at the same time, from the same site are published many inscriptions, already known'. It seems that during the Principate it was the residence of cohors I Lusitanorum Cyrenaica4 and in the year 369 it was rebuilt by Valens ${ }^{5}$. Notitia Dignitatum, Or. XXXIX, 6, 14 mentions Cius as the stationary place of the cavalry unit cuneus equitum Stablesianorum ${ }^{6}$. A stamped brick found here mentions the name of $F l$. Rumoridus who was magister militum under Theodosius I (379-395) ${ }^{7}$. Among other accidental finds we mention that some time ago, a bronze monetary hoard from the 4 th century was found ${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$.

Herejnafter, follows the presentation of a lot of 31 bronze coins and two lead seals found hy chance at the beginning of the 9 th decade within the territory and in the immediate vicinity of the fort Cius. All these pieces belonged to a private collection from Bucharest. Recently, the owner has donated them to the Museurn of Archaeology from Constanta, where an inventory will be performed. Having the agreement of the former owner we have identified and stadied the above mentioned coins and seals and therefore, our observations were committed to the printing procedures'. We have considered that there is an imperious need to make these materials known to the interested researchers, mostly if we consider the fact that they are unpublished and therefore they bring an additional information regading an archaeological site which is not much known.Generally, the structure of the coins' lot is not different when compared to the situation of similarly finds from other sites of the Scythian limes. The reffered seals are considered novelties for the above mentioned area.

[^0]
## THE CATALOGUE OF THE ROMAN COLNS RECENTLY FOUND AT CIUS

(The numbers in the catalogue are the same on the illustrations. Fig. 2, 3)

## Aurelianus

Siscia

1. Antoniniamus; AE; $7 ; 3,04 \mathrm{~g} ; 21 \times 22 \mathrm{~mm} . \quad * \mathrm{P}$ RIC, V/1, p. 289, no. 220 (period II, officina 4).

Constantinus I
Siscia
2. Follis; AE; $1 ; 2,98 \mathrm{~g} ; 24 \times 20 \mathrm{~mm}$. RIC, VII, p. 423, no. 3, years 313-315. Cyzicus
3. Follis; AE; $\eta ; 2,16 \mathrm{~g} ; 18 \mathrm{~mm}$.

LRBC, I, no.1158, years 324-330.
RIC, VII, p. 647, no. 24, years 324-325.

## Licinius I

Thessalonica
4. Follis; AE; $\eta ; 2,34 \mathrm{~g} ; 22 \times 20 \mathrm{~mm}$. -TS. A• RIC, VII, p. 498, no. 2 var., years 313-316
(IOVI CONSERVATORI AVGG NN).

## Constantinopolis

Cyzicus
5. Follis; AE; $\eta ; 2,40 \mathrm{~g} ; 17 \times 18,5 \mathrm{~mm}$.
LRBC, I, no. 1233 , years $330-335$.

RIC, VII, p. 656, no. 92, years 331, 333-334.

## Urbs Roma

Heraclea
6. Follis; AE; $\eta ; 2,11 \mathrm{~g} ; 19 \times 18 \mathrm{~mm}$.

- SMHE $\cdot$

LRBC, I, no. 912, years 330-335.
RIC, VIl, p. 558, no. 124, years 330-333.
Crispus
Roma
7. Follis; AE; $\eta ; 2,77 \mathrm{~g} ; 19 \times 18,5 \mathrm{~mm}$.

RIC, VII, p. 316, no. 181, years 318-319.

## Licinius II

## Roma

8. Follis; AE; $\eta ; 2,85 \mathrm{~g} ; 19 \times 18 \mathrm{~mm}$.

RIC, VII, p. 316, no. 189, years 318-319.
Cyzicus
9. Follis; AE; $\eta ; 2,94 \mathrm{~g} ; 21 \times 19 \mathrm{~mm}$.

RIC, VII, p. 646, no. 18, years 321-324.

## Constantius II Caesar

Heraclea
10. Follis; AE; $\eta ; 1,03 \mathrm{~g} ; 16 \times 15 \mathrm{~mm}$; Incision Obv.

SMHB
LRBC, 1, no. 937, years 335-337.
RIC, VII, p. 561, no. 153, years 336-337.

## Constans

Constantinopolis
11. AE4; $\eta$; $1,28 \mathrm{~g} ; 15 \times 13,5 \mathrm{~mm}$.

LRBC, I, no. 1056, years 337-341.

RIC, VIII, p. 450, no. 45, years 337-340 (group II).
Constantius II
Heraclea
12. AE2; $1 ; 4,82 \mathrm{~g} ; 22,5 \times 20 \mathrm{~mm}$.

LRBC, II, no. 1893, years 351-354.
RIC, VIII, p. 436, no. 82, years 351-355
(group ).
Nicomedia
13. AE3; $\eta ; 2,15 \mathrm{~g} ; 18 \times 16,5 \mathrm{~mm} ; \quad \overline{\text { SMN } \triangle}$
Bad preserved

LRBC, II, no. 2309, years 351-354 or no. 2311, years 355-361.
RIC, VIII, p. 479, no. 96, years 351-355
(group III) or p. 481, no. 104, years
355-361 (group 1).
Cyzicus
14. AE3; $\eta ; 1,94 \mathrm{~g} ; 16 \times 15 \mathrm{~mm}$; SMKA

LRBC, II, no. 2496, years $351-354$ or no. 2498, years 355-36I.
RIC, VIII, p. 498, no. 104, years 351-354
or p. 499, no. 110, years 355-361.
15. AE3; $; 2,16 \mathrm{~g} ; 18 \mathrm{~mm}$.

LRBC, II, no. 2500, years 335-361. RJC, VIIl, p. 499, no. 113, years 355-361: (first series).
Unidentified mint
16. AE4; $\eta$; $1,31 \mathrm{~g} ; 15 \times 14 \mathrm{~mm}$; Bad preserved Type VOT/XX/MVLT/XXX RIC, VIII, years 347-348.
17. AE4; 1; $1,08 \mathrm{~g} ; 13 \mathrm{~mm} ;$ Bad preserved Type VOT/XX/MVLT/XXX RIC, VIII, years 347-348.
18. AE; $\eta ; 1,93 \mathrm{~g} ; 17 \mathrm{~mm}$; fragment. Type FEL TEMP REPARATIO ( FH 3 or FH 4 ).
19. AE3; $\varphi ; 2,32 \mathrm{~g} ; 17,5 \times 16 \mathrm{~mm}$; Bad preserved Type FEL TEMP REPARATIO (FH3).
20. AE3; t; 2,19 g; 17ㄸ15 mm; Bad preserved Type FEL TEMP REPARATIO (FH3).

21. AE3; $\eta ; 2,06 \mathrm{~g} ; 16 \mathrm{~mm}$; Bad preserved Type FEL TEMP REPARATIO (FH3).
22. AE3; $\eta ; 2,16 \mathrm{~g} ; 16 \times 15 \mathrm{~mm}$;

Bad preserved
Type FEL TEMP REPARATIO (FH3 or FH4).



Constantius Gallus or Iulianus II Caesar
Unidentified mint
23. AE3; $7 ; 1,79 \mathrm{~g} ; 14,5 \mathrm{~mm} ;$

Bad preserved
Type FEL TEMP REPARATIO (FH3).

## Iulianus II Caesar

Unidentified mint
24. AE3; $1 ; 3,30 \mathrm{~g}: 15,5 \mathrm{~mm}$;

Bad preserved
Type FEL TEMP REPARATIO (FH3)
25. AE4; t; $1,54 \mathrm{~g} ; 14 \times 14,5 \mathrm{~mm} ;$

Bad preserved
Type SPES REIPVBLICE

## Valens

Thessalonica
26. AE3; $\mu$; $1,98 \mathrm{~g} ; 16 \times 16,5 \mathrm{~mm}$.

LRBC, II, no.1705, years 364-367.
RIC, IX, nо. 16 (b), years 364-367 or
no. 26 (b), years 367-375.

Cyzicus
27. AE3; $\eta ; 2,30 \mathrm{~g} ; 17 \times 16 \mathrm{~mm}$.

LRBC, II, no. 2518, years 364-365 or no. 2527, years 367-375.
RIC, IX, no. 8 (b), years 364-367 or no. 12 (b), years 367-375.
Nicomedia
28. AE3; $; 2,24 \mathrm{~g} ; 17 \times 16 \mathrm{~mm}$;

LRBC, II, no. 2327, years 364-365
or no. 2335, years 367-375.
RIC, IX, no. 9 (c), years 364-367.
Unidentified emperor and mint
29. AE3; $\kappa ; 2,60 \mathrm{~g} ; 14 \times 14,5 \mathrm{~mm}$;

Bad preserved
Type GLORIA ROMANORVM (8)
30. AE3; $\mathfrak{i}$; $2,04 \mathrm{~g} ; 14,5 \times 15 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Type GLORIA ROMANORVM (8)
31. AE; $0,69 \mathrm{~g} ; 14,5 \mathrm{~mm}$; fragment.

Av. [...]O[...]VG; head to the right, diadem of pearls.


Fig. 1. The map of Roman Dobrodja.


Fig. 2. Roman coins from Cius.

## LEAD SEALS

The two lead seals, found by chance, are coming from the same area where the coins previously presented were found. These two unpublished seals are the first pieces of this kind found at Cius. Further on, we proceed to describing and commenting the two pieces.

No. 1. Commercial seal bearing the name of Smyrna (Asia Minor) - Fig. 4, $a$ and $b$
Description: Lead almost hemispherical, of dark colour, fractured in two halves. The sealing channel is well represented. The diameter of the punched surface $=1.8 \mathrm{~cm}$; lead height $=0.9 \mathrm{~cm}$; weight $=8.4 \mathrm{gr}$. On the flat surface of the seal, above the sealing channel, a quadratum incusum with sides of $1.4 \times 1.2 \mathrm{~cm}$ was punched. Within the quadratum incusum, on two horizontal rows, we find reproduced with Greek characters, in relief, the name of the micro-Asian town Smyrna in the graphy: CMY/PNA ( $\Sigma \mu \nu \rho v a$ ).


Fig. 3. Roman coins from Cius.
Observations: The same kind of commercial seals from the 4th-6th centuries, bearing the name of Smyrna, found in public or private collections, were also descovered in Dobrodja, at Sucidava (Izvoarele- Pârjoaia, Constanta County) and Tomis (Constanta) ${ }^{10}$. They are clearly attesting, as it was already pointed out, the strong
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Fig. 4 ( $a$ and $b$ ). The seal of the town Smyrna.
commercial connections, of ancient tradition, of the province of Scythia with the towns of Asia, among which, with the exception of Smyrna, we would like to mention: Ephesus, Metropolis, Hypaipa, Koloé, Laodicea, Pamphylia, Magnesia, Meanter, Tereie, etc. ${ }^{\text {I }}$. Unfortunately, we are not able to specify, in this moment, what kind of goods, stamped and sealed in the custom-offices of Asia Minor, arrived in Scythia (Dobrodja), mostly in the military centers, situated on the Danubian limes ${ }^{12}$. The period of maximum presence of commercial leads of such kind seems to be the 4 th century, especially after the peace established by Valens and Athanaricus, the king of Goths, in the year $369^{13}$.

Our seal belongs to the 4th-5th centuries.
No.2. Privatc seal- Fig.5, $a$ and $b$.
The second seal presented below, was found in similar conditions and in the same area as the previous one.


Fig. 5 ( a and b ). Roman private seal.
Description: The seal, with an approximate oval shape, is flat, has a whitish colour and cast imperfections. The seal is double faced and one of the faces (the obverse) is crossed through the middle and on the surface

[^2]by the traject of the sealing channel. This means that either the application of the seal over the wire-cord stretching the package and the merchandise itself was superficial, or the piece was abandoned after casting, being considered a waste.

Diameter of the seal $=2 \mathrm{~cm}$; lead thickness is $0.2-0.5 \mathrm{~cm}$, weight $=5.9 \mathrm{gr}$. Preservation condition: bad.
Obverse: To the right, the bust of a feminine character, with diadem and loop. One can observe the traces of three or four letters of a circular legend on the left upper side, but they are difficult to read under the actual preservation condition of the piece.

Reverse: The frontal image of a lion head within a circular frame. The mane is shown by sinall lines radially disposed. The animal's head, cheek bones and mouth are made in high relief.

Observalions: Even though the image of the feminine character from the obverse resembles the ones from many monetary pieces from the 3 th-4th centuries, we are not able to specify the name of this character or her social or political position. We do not know, at least in Dobrodja, similar pieces to the one presented above. Our opinion leads to a seal dating of 3th-4th centuries, based mainly on certain iconographic considerations.
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