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Fig. 1. Ibbankatuwa, Sri Lanka. View of the survey area. In front some excavated graves (Photo. H.-J. Weißhaar)
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Magnetic Prospection of a Megalithic Necropolis at Ibbankatuwa (Sri Lanka)

In a cooperation with the Bavarian State Conservation Office, an international research project of the Kommission für Allgemeine und Vergleichende Archäologie (KAVA) and of the Unesco (Sri Lanka Cultural Triangle Dambulla Project, and the "Archaeology and Research University of Kelaniya Archaeological Team" a geophysical prospection was carried out at a protohistoric megalithic necropolis at Ibbankatuwa (Sri Lanka).

Introduction

The granite stones of the megalithic graves at Ibbankatuwa (Ibbankatuwa = translated from the language of the people of Sri Lanka: field of the turtles), are distributed over an area of 300 x 250 meters. Some of them were visible above the ground but it was believed that many others were covered by soil. Between
1988 and 1991 21 graves of the necropolis were excavated by the KAVA. It was suggested that the graves formed clusters belonging to different settlements or periods. The aim of the prospection (in the year 1991) was therefore first to find all graves, to make a detailed plan of the necropolis to avoid further excavation and to find out whether there is a clustering among the graves or do we have a random distribution. From the excavation we know that the undisturbed graves consisted of four site shapes (0.7-1.6 meter) and a covestone. Some graves, one of which was extremely long, were divided into three parts. In the undisturbed graves were found one or two urns. Some urns were also found outside the graves.

**Instruments**

For the survey we used the cesium magnetometer system from Varian/Scintrex (VI01, Canada). This consists of two magnetometer probes with an automatic data log on a handheld computer, the sensitivity ± of 0.1 Nanotesla and gives 10 readings per second. The sensitivity of the total earth magnetic field at Ibbankatuwa ranges from 36,000 by ± 1,000 Nanotesla. For the survey we used the instrument in a gradiometer configuration (Fig. 1). The reason was by using the variometer configuration we measured local disturbances of the magnetic field intensity of > 200 Nanotesla caused by the underlying granite rocks. The limitation of the computer equipment however allowed only the dynamics of the original field data ± 99.9 Nanotesla. Readings were taken with a density of 0.5 x 0.5 meter in a 20 x 20 meter grid at a sensor height of 0.3 meter and 1.3 meter respectively.

The use of the portable computers or Laptops offers the opportunity of rough data processing right in the field. There are programs for prints of the 20 x 20 meter block data and a graphic display as a symbol density plot, both on a battery driven printer. By this method, the main archaeological features can be made already visible in the field. For final data processing in the laboratory, the field computer is interfaced to the digital image computer. It is possible to present the magnetic data as a digital image however (Fig. 3). The measured point in the field is considered as a picture point (a pixel) and the magnetic intensity values are transformed to gray values ranging from 0 (black) to 255 (white). After statistical analysis and depending on the intensity of the magnetic anomaly, we chose a window (normally from -10.0 to +15.5 Nanotesla) for a linear transformation of the magnetic intensity value into the gray value of the digital image to preserve the highest sensitivity (± 0.1 Nanotesla) of the survey. All data corrections and enhancements were done in the interactive digital image processing technique. Filtering procedures, contrast enhancement and false color transformation allow easy identification of the archaeological features in the magnetic image.

The archaeological relevant structures are marked by hand on a transparency over the hardcopy (photograph of the screen) and are transformed as vectorial data to the graphic computer or directly on the screen. The result which is given to the archaeologists is the plan drawn by a plotter with china ink (Fig. 4).

**Magnetic properties of the soil**

The use of magnetic prospecting techniques (Aitken, 1974; Becker, 1990) for the mapping of buried features, such as pits, ditches, posts and palisades as well as walls and tombs is possible when there is a magnetic contrast between the archaeological structures and the underlying sediment.

The magnetic properties of the soils differ from those of the underlying sediments or rocks and are therefore of great importance for the interpretation of magnetometer readings. Enhancement of the ferrimagnetic minerals magnetite and maghemite is frequently observed in the top layer of soil horizons (Mullins 1977). While the formation of maghemite in soils is due to natural or man made fires (Le Borgne 1955), pedogenic e.g. in situ formed magnetite may be ascribed to the magnetofossils of magnetic soil bacteria (Fassbinder et al. 1990). The concentration of ferrimagnetic iron oxide was measured in terms of the susceptibility of soil samples, the susceptibility of the pottery and the susceptibility of the granite rocks of the grave stones. The granite rock showed an unexpected high susceptibility of 30 x 10^6 SI units. Table (1) shows the mean volume susceptibility (SI-units) of the A, B and C-horizons, the susceptibility of the pottery and of the underlying granite rocks. The side shapes and covestones of the graves consists of the same rocks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample mean volume susceptibility (10^6 SI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-horizon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-horizon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-horizon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pottery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granite rock</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.

**Results**

Magnetic prospection has been carried out in an area of 100 x 180 meters. Parts of the area cannot be measured because of the thick underbrush and the cobras hanging from the trees. The magnetic picture of the ground reveals some quadru or multipole...
anomalies resulting from the extraordinary remanent magnetization of the granite rocks. The graves consists of four site shapes (0.7–1.6 meter) and a sometimes big coverstone, each forming a magnetic dipole. This configuration forms sometimes a rather complicated magnetic anomaly pattern, which causes problems for a valid interpretation.

The natives of Sri Lanka use every, and even the smallest piece of metal as well as the caps of our soft drink bottles to make some tools for themselves. This is the reason why we had absolutely no noise due to modern scrap or waste in the magnetic survey. The magnetic picture reveal groups of graves. Most features in the prospection plan describe rather the whole grave than single stones. Beside some graves we found single anomalies which may be ascribed to the occurrence of pithoi as they where found by the excavation but these anomalies may also be caused by single granite rocks.

Conclusion

The magnetic survey at the megalithic necropolis Ibbankatuwa results in the identification and recording of several clusters of site shapes and cover stones of the necropolis. The results demonstrate that magnetic contrast between the granite rocks and the underlying soil is strong enough to detect all stone features. Together with the results of the excavation, it was possible to complete a detailed plan of the whole necropolis.
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Fig. 4. Ibbankatuwa. Plan of the whole necropolis at Ibbankatuwa based on the interpretation of the magnetic prospecting data and on the excavation plan.