FELIX MARCU

WHO BUILT THE ROMAN FORTS?

This review aims to examine the relationship between the army and the construction of fortifications*. Were the auxiliaries able to build their forts, which had to follow the principles of military architecture, or, as commonly understood, were the legionaries the ones really trained to build? The paper also aims to survey the evidence on the construction of Roman auxiliary forts, to pinpoint interpretation difficulties and, though it has no pretence to comprehensiveness, hopefully to provide a fair cross-section of the evidence.

The prevalent assumption concerning military constructions in the provinces is that the legionaries were the builders of most of the forts. The Roman army was self-sufficient inasmuch as possible, building roads, canals, aqueducts, defensive works, designing tools and weapons. However, we do not know who was in fact responsible for all the necessary work and how the principles of military architectural design were formulated and executed inside a Roman auxiliary fort. The appearance of the forts agrees with a general trend, therefore pattern identification is rather difficult. If such patterns existed, it would be much easier to recognise the builders. The buildings inside the forts appear to conform to a kind of rule concerning their plan, however, this is not the case with their internal details.

It is hard to assess the army's degree of self-sufficiency, but one would imagine that it tried to ensure its needs. The legions surely did not fail to do so.

Most scholars believe that legionaries had a significant role in the construction of *auxilia* forts. However, the evidence should be reassessed, as the case of legionary military equipment discovered inside auxiliary forts shows[1].

THE BUILDING SYSTEM AND LEGIONS' RANGE OF ACTION

Many scenes on Trajan's Column depict legionaries as builders of fortifications (scenes xi; xiii; xvi; xx; lx; lxv; lxviii-lxix; cxxvii-cxxix), but panels show them also harvesting grain (scenes cix-cx) or carrying out wood-clearing activities (scene xv), which is highly unlikely[2]. Auxiliaries are usually ensuring protection[3]. Are these representations only propaganda art? If not, why would they show legionaries armed and wearing *lorica segmentata* while building[4]? However, as we shall see, legionaries will be the first involved in large building projects and the construction of the frontier defences even though certain auxiliaries were also involved.

When wondering about a central system related to constructions, T. Bechert concluded that a panel, some sort of advisors to the emperor, governor or legionary legate must have existed[5]. Occasionally, when on inscriptions the emperor's name is in the nominative or ablative, the emperor is the construction's initiator,

1 Maxfield (1986, 64-72) has proven that *lorica segmentata* or even the gladius were also used by auxiliaries.

2 Cichorius 1900. See for construction scenes Coulston 1990, 39. 42: »construction scenes were concerned less with the actual activities than with advertising skill of the citizen troops«, or the idea that they used in the construction scenes a »few stock figures [...] which have their parallels elsewhere in Roman art«.

3 Ps.-Hyg. 24 records auxiliary troops as protection for the marines who built the roads.

4 With the exception of one man they wore *tunicae* when building a road: scene xcii.

5 This is based on the letters exchanged between Pliny the Younger and Trajan, and also the building inscriptions referring first of all to large construction designs, road construction, for instance, relying on »einer zentralen Baukommission« (Bechert 1971, 205ff.).

this being in fact the standard until the Flavians, the governor and the legate being executives only[6]. The inscription at Risingham (RIB 1234), dated to the beginning of the 3rd century AD, proves that responsibilities separated at some point, the legionary legate representing the emperor directly, while the governor was responsible for auxiliary forts[7].

The issue of the command areas of legions (»Kommandobereiche der Legionen«) has been debated at length, however, no commonly accepted view has been reached[8]. Most authors concluded that auxiliaries constructions as well, at least the important ones, are based on the legionary »Planungsbüros«. In 1973, D. Baatz argued that a sort of »Baubüro« must have existed for each legion when dealing with large construction plans, hence different styles must have developed[9]. Accordingly, when auxiliaries wished or had to build something, they would depend on these »Baubüros«, which would decide how to proceed with the construction[10]. Therefore, a specific style by auxiliaries could not have developed, since everything had to be supervised by legionaries[11]. Later, A. Radnóti, followed by B. Oldenstein-Pferdehirt, suggested that the auxiliaries were not tactically independent and that they depended on a legion[12]. Perhaps by the 2nd century the legions no longer had areas of influence, but they could have worked in different parts of the province, even two in the same place. This is now considered a false theory and there is a clear distinction of the areas controlled by the 22nd and 8th legions, one in the north and the other in the south of Upper Germany on the basis of the record of their *praepositi* and centurions[13]. When referring to administrative and supply buildings, C. V. Walthew maintained that »because of their official character, [they] were more likely to have been based on drawing-office blueprints issued from Rome« and that a »greater freedom [...] was perhaps allowed in the planning of barracks and other residential buildings [...]«[14]. Meanwhile, A. Johnson claimed that, although the auxiliaries were able to build, they had insufficient specialists and were involved only in less important construction designs; larger constructions, as for instance important buildings, were made in the *fabrica* of a legion, where a standard plan of auxiliary forts was drawn up[15]. A few years later they were partly contradicted by the same D. Baatz, who this time argued that the auxiliaries were tactically independent and one could not speak about areas of influence of the legions, even if the administrative role of the legionary legate was real and he would have decided, for example, which centurion would be the *praepositus* of a unit[16]. Obviously, the *auxilia* were suordinate to the legions, in situations of crisis, when certain *auxilia* were »assigned« to certain legions[17] under the command of the highest rank. However, normally one cannot speak about »übergeordnete Legionen« or »parent legions«. On the contrary, more recently O. Stoll, agreeing with

---

6 Therefore, constructions are made upon imperial orders *ex auctoritate imperatoris*, according to Bechert 1971, 206 f. On the other hand, the same was supposed by the RIB editors because of the use of the genitive on milecastles' building inscriptions along Hadrian's Wall, RIB 1638.

7 The formula »*iussu Alfeni Senecionis v(iri) c(larissimi) / co(n)s(ularis) curante Oclatinio Advento proc(uratore) / Augg(ustorum) nn(ostrorum)*« being conclusive, according to Bechert 1971, 206. The same view in Reuter 1995, 46.

8 For a short history of the issue see Baatz 1989.

9 Quoting Birley (1961, 251 ff.) and taking as example Hadrian's Wall: Baatz 1973, 132. However, D. Baatz previously contradicted Drexel (1922), who argued that a special style developed on the Odenwaldlimes specific to *Brittones Elantienses* (Baatz 1973, 128-131).

10 With or without legionaries' help, as proven by numerous legionary tiles found within auxiliary forts, according to Baatz 1973, 132.

11 Still Baatz (1973, 133 no. 364) suggested in a note that, although uncertain, it was possible that at some point the legionary »Baubüro« was supervised by the governor's staff.

12 Radnóti 1974, 138. It is suggested that the term *auxilia eorum* proves that the auxiliaries belonged to the legions, Oldenstein-Pferdehirt 1984, 417. 429. For the history of this issue see Baatz 1989, 169.

13 According to Oldenstein-Pferdehirt 1983, 413 fig. 12.

14 Contra Wilson (1980, 45) who, a year prior, argued that »there is no evidence [...] of a rigid plan or fixed set of dimensions for any type of building [...] no such thing as an unalterable blueprint which any fort-builder had to follow«, see Walthew 1981, 18.

15 Auxiliaries' ability to build is proven by a few inscriptions on Hadrian's Wall and the training camps at Haltwhistle (on Hadrian's Wall) and Llandrindod Common (Wales). However, the specialists were also from among the legionaries, according to Johnson 1987, 57 f. (and in the author's first edition of 1983, 43 f.).

16 He brings as arguments the inscriptions where commanders of auxiliary troops supervised construction works at the governor's disposal and, at least for Germany, where a legionary legate has never been named in inscriptions as responsible for buildings in an auxiliary fort (Baatz 1989, 170. 172).

17 I thank I. Piso for the explanations.

B. Oldenstein-Pferdehirt and A. Johnson, argued that *auxilia* belonged to those legionary »Planungsbüros« under whose authority they were, while fulfilling only an aid function (»Handlangerfunktion«)[18].

Nonetheless, views against the dominant role of the legions over the auxiliaries were fewer, although many authors suggested that the *auxilia* were decisive in the construction of their own fortifications.

C. S. Sommer concludes in an appendix about the construction of forts in Roman Britain that »during the second and earlier third centuries the defences of a new fort will have been erected by legionary construction-groups, which as soon as their task had been fulfilled might have left the building site. This then was taken over by auxiliary troops to complete the building of the fort […] the probability is relatively high that the interior buildings were constructed by the first garrison«, but »it is possible that forts were erected entirely by an auxiliary unit«[19]. The construction of the Antonine Wall followed a different pattern and »the forts seem to have been built almost completely by legionary soldiers«[20]. However, when W. Hanson refers to the construction techniques, he states that »since structural details in general in the Antonine period indicate a marked reduction in complexity, we might be seeing the results of a shortage of skilled carpenters, possibly as the result of increased building activity by auxiliary troops«[21]. But this seems to be also true for Hadrian's Wall after Hadrian left during the construction process[22]. W. Hanson maintains that, indeed, starting with the end of the 2nd and beginning of the 3rd century, auxiliaries increasingly placed more building inscriptions, are regularly but that these associated with reconstructions[23].

Therefore, is the different epigraphic and archaeological evidence indicative of different pictures or not? Most likely there were no fixed rules in military architecture and even in the construction of a fort's defensive circuit. Probably, a unified construction strategy has been applied in rare cases, as is the case with buildings on Hadrian's or the Antonine Wall. There was an overall identifiable directive, a kind of standardisation, for Hadrian's Wall, but there are also slight variations, meaning that the builders had a certain freedom of action[24]. But is it true what C. S. Sommer states that auxiliaries »were as capable of building forts and buildings in them as legionary soldiers; meaning they were not less skilled than the legionaries«[25]? If true, what is the evidence and who were those in charge, who led the auxiliaries in such a task? Why were the legionaries regarded as builders of the auxiliary forts, if someone else performed the task?

A STAFF OF TECHNICIANS: SURVEYORS AND ARCHITECTS

Agrimensores were a distinct social category and their presence in the army was manifest. The surviving manuals echo their importance and certain sources mention their proficiency[26].

18 Thus, clearly discernible »Legionstile« developed on Hadrian's Wall, according to Stoll 1998, 220. However, the given example of a *praefectus castrorum* responsible, together with the governor, for the construction of a basilica at Syene (CIL III 6025 = AE 1944, 85) is, to my knowledge, unique.

19 Sommer 1984, 59. Similar is the impression after the same author's analysis of the fort orientation in Germania and Raetia as a »unsystematische« method, without any implication of »Kommandobezirke« of the legions (Sommer 1988, 531).

20 The author later discusses the auxiliaries' involvement in other projects, Sommer 1984, 59f.

21 However, the author is not referring necessarily to the forts on the Antonine Wall (Hanson 1982, 172). At Castlecary »the standard [...] is notably inferior« (Hanson 2009, 34).

22 Breeze 2009, 99. P. Hill also shows that »more time and trouble was expended on the latter« structures and »the impression is of poorly trained men working under either poor supervision or great pressure«, with few exceptions (Hill 1981, 20), and then »after a second break in construction there that the quality dropped markedly« (Hill 2004, 149). However, especially in the case of the gates there are signs that the stonemasons, probably legionaries, were really trained and later on there are signs of poor work where auxiliary labour of lower standard could have been used (Hill 2004, 136f. 151).

23 The author seems to favour legionaries as the main builders (Hanson 2009, 34).

24 For instance, having either architects (Evans 1994, 146) or officers in charge (Breeze 2009, 88f.).

25 Sommer 1984, 60.

26 For this see Blume/Lachmann/Rudorff 1848; Thulin 1913; Campbell 1996; Campbell 2000; Dilke 1971; Dilke 1974. – Flaccus, a professional surveyor, mentions *proffesio nostra* (T 98.9), Campbell 1996, no. 18.

The majority of military surveyors were soldiers embodied among the *immunes* responsible for surveying the ground for a fortification, colony or civil settlement[27]. It is possible that Trajan's request addressed to Balbus, a civilian surveyor, had been made under emergency circumstances[28] and it is more likely that those in charge of surveying a fortification were enrolled in the army[29]. Their skill was certainly one of the best, as the *Corpus Agrimensorum Romanorum*, a collection of texts comprising surveying standards in accordance with Roman laws and edicts in force, shows[30]. Consequently, surveyors' activities were based on expressed rules established in compliance with laws, records and registers, maps, edicts, letters or other imperial decisions, definitions of territorial area and jurisdiction, lists of *subseciva* and the book of *beneficia*[31]. Evidently, military surveyors had to observe pre-established principles[32]. However, there is not a fixed system for each building inside a fort.

There is no account regarding the surveying of a fort's internal planning based on coherent rules and decisions, compared with the civil environment where everything was established by rules. The *lex Puteolana* from the 2nd century established, for instance, how large the spaces between the buildings or how large the walls and other similar principles should have been[33]. The same kind of rules survive in Justinian's Digest or the Theodosian Code[34].

There is certain guidance on setting out a fort in Pseudo-Hyginus and Vegetius, but few remarks on the internal planning. They were probably copied from official documents. Nonetheless, surveyors' manuals were based on definitions and explanations, emphasising advice and instructions, having a didactic final purpose[35]. According to their authors, the internal planning of a fort had to comply with certain rules. The space was set out for building plots, not for individuals. Yet, we do not know how definite the surveying principles were. It is certain, however, that every fort was unique in detail; therefore, many decisions may have met the good taste of the commander, centurions or the unit surveyor[36]. There is a clear distinction between the general rules or principles upon which the design process was based and the details expressed by each architect or mason as a matter of authenticity[37]. Surveyors were, as we learn from the *Corpus Agrimensorum Romanorum*, essential in setting out the fort and some of them in surveying a colony, as well[38]. Apparently, the buildings inside a fort mirrored the unit's strength at the very moment of construction, but such a number is hard to estimate.

Evidently, governors had a staff composed of specialists at their disposal, at least starting with the 2nd century. It seems that these specialists (official and not private) existed only in provinces with legions; therefore, their majority must have been recruited from legionary soldiers[39]. Not in vain, Pliny asked Trajan for

---

27 Campbell 2000, passim.

28 After Sherk 1974, 546-549.

29 Some of them involved in civil projects, Campbell 2000. But sometimes even the emperor was involved in selecting the best place for a fort, see SHA Hadr. X.6, where Hadrian said: »*locum castris caperet*«.

30 Thulin 1913 and Campbell 2000, with comments in Campbell 1996, passim.

31 See Campbell (1996, 88 no. 55), where the author wrote about Agennius Urbicus, Hyginus I, Siculus Flaccus and Hyginus II in referring to these documents.

32 There is no proof that there were laws on the internal planning of the fort, as there were for urban settlements where the centuriation was made according to the laws for *limites* or *limes*, such as access roads described by Siculus Flaccus (T122.21-3). Nevertheless, this centuriation is also hard to identify in reality and numerous variables probably existed.

33 See Taylor 2003, 16. During the Flavian period a unit placed a boundary stone at Henchir Meskine according to the instructions of an existent cartographical document; see Laporte 1996, 740 no. 105.

34 The latter with the rules limiting the buildings' height; see Taylor 2003, 61.

35 See Campbell 1996, 79.

36 The design of the building is not necessarily linked to the unit in garrison, but with the commander (or in the case of a fortress with *praefectus castrorum* or junior officers) the shape could have been changed not because of the replacement of the unit, but because of the replacement of the commander in charge; see the case of Housesteads in Hill 2004, 19.

37 Blagg 1982, 149.

38 Many of the ancient texts collected in *Corpus Agrimensorum Romanorum* were attributed to Sextus Iulius Frontinus, cos. III together with Trajan in AD 100, confirmation, if indeed so, of the importance of surveying and of those practicing it, according to Campbell 1996, 76. However, most of the surveyors were among the *immunes*; see Campbell 1996, no. 22.

39 However, Trajan answers Pliny at some point that »*mensores vix etiam iis operibus, quae aut Romae aut in proximo fiunt, sufficientes habeo*« (Plin. epist. 10, 18, 3), therefore he might in fact be referring to civilians.

a *librator* or an architect for building a canal in Bithynia[40]. Trajan, however, responded that he should ask the governor of the closest province (Moesia Inferior)[41], although he previously argued, in relation to a different construction, that »*Nulla provincia est, quae non peritos et ingeniosos homines habeat; modo ne existimes brevius esse ab urbe mitti, cum ex Graecia etiam ad nos venire soliti sunt*«, referring most likely to the civilians[42]. When the city of Saldae (Mauretania Caesariensis) wanted to build an aqueduct they asked the legate of *leg. III Augusta* (CIL VIII 2728/18122 = ILS 5795) in the neighbouring province (Numidia) for a *librator*. The facts are quite interesting[43]. First of all the governor asked the legate, then the *librator* in the person of Nonius Datus drew the plan and made the survey, returning later to the fortress. The governor thanked the legate, but, as they could not manage the task by themselves, the governor asked the *librator* again to supervise the construction of the tunnel. As the civilian workers made mistakes, Nonius Datus initiated a contest between the navy and *Gaesati* to finish the work. In the end Nonius Datus completed the job and the governor performed the opening. As far as we are concerned, it is important that the governor took responsibility without asking the emperor for help and that the technician was again only in the legions available over which the governor had no authority. Moreover, the auxiliary troops were available for work and acted most likely at his command.

According to the *Libri coloniarum*[44], soldiers' involvement in civil building projects, especially in surveying towns, is a fact. This is true for Frusino, Privernum or Casinum, for instance[45]. It is assumed that building teams were involved in civil projects in the 1st century in Britannia, when they built public edifices as the result of an official policy[46].

Military architects are recorded among the soldiers of the Praetorian Guard and the fleet at Misenum, the former being named in inscriptions as *architecti ordinati* or *architecti armamentarii imperatoris*, *architecti Augusti* and the latter *architectus classis* or *navalis*[47]. Several *architecti* are recorded in legions, but never in the plural. Hence, certain authors have supposed that each legion had only one architect. Only O. Stoll, when comparing them to the *medici*, supposed that there was an *architectus ordinatus* or an *architectus discens* (who was specifically trained); therefore, there were probably several architects in a legion[48].

Certainly, legionaries or teams of soldiers were specialised in different fields, however, little is known about the auxiliaries. It is agreed that architects, *mensores* or even builders (trained in building construction) were found among the *immunes*; however, there is insufficient evidence on the *auxilia*[49].

---

[40] Plin. epist. 10, 41, 3: »*Superest ut tu libratorem vel architectum si tibi videbitur mittas, qui diligenter exploret, sitne lacus altior mari, quem artifices regionis huius quadraginta cubitis altiorem esse contendunt*«. Hence, certain specialists (*artifices*) were available.

[41] It is the closest province with legions. Plin. epist. 10, 42: »*Poteris a Calpurnio Macro petere libratorem, et ego hinc aliquem tibi peritum eius modi operum mittam*« or that »*ut te libratore instruat, neque enim provinciae istae his artificibus carent*« (Plin. epist. 10, 52).

[42] Plin. epist. 10, 40, 3. – The technology transfer from the army to the civilian environment is well recorded by many architects and technicians connected to the latter, see Stoll 1998, 240 f. There are many inscriptions inferring the legionary involvement, occasionally even of auxiliaries in civil constructions: AE 1972, 677; AE 1973, 501; CIL III 1980 = ILS 2287; Schallmayer 1989, 35 fig. 20; CIL VIII 2728. See MacMullen 1959, 215 f., with the notes, especially no. 81 and the table at p. 218.

[43] The building is dated between AD 137 and 153/154. For the detailed story and comment see Laporte 1996, 740 f.

[44] For the translation see Campbell 2000, 164.

[45] *Liber coloniarum* 50, 232, 14.

[46] Tac. ann. 12, 32; Tac. Agr. 21: »*Hortari privatim, adiuvare publice, ut templa, fora, domos extruerent, laudando promptos, castigando segnes*«. For comments see Blagg 1984, 249.

[47] Donderer 1996, 51 f. – Stoll 1998, 204 ff. – For *ordinatus architectus*, see also Gilliam 1940, 145.

[48] There was a physician to 250 men in a legion and to 500 men in an *auxilia*, hence technicians must have been similarly numerous, cf. Stoll 1998, 230 f.

[49] See Tarruntenus Paternus, a praetorian prefect under Emperor Commodus, whose *immunes* lists of the legions were preserved in Digest (50, 6, 7, 6). The *immunes* are mentioned in Veg. mil. 2, 11; 11, 7, as well. In a legion there were ten or eleven *mensores*, probably one for each cohort, eventually two for the first cohort, as we learn from the surveyors' complete list found at Viminacium (CIL III 8112), dated early in the 3rd century (Sherk 1974, 546-549). Nevertheless, there was a great number of surveyors in the whole Empire, see Campbell 2000, no. 156 (on the case of Datus). For the *mensores* of the legions, see Arnaud 1995; AE 1904, 72.

Hadrian »had mustered into cohorts specialists in building activities, even architects, after the example of the legions«[50], but this is probably referring to the gangs of specialists that accompanied the emperor on Hadrian's Wall – and some of them may well have been civilians[51]. Nevertheless, we have very few accounts regarding their presence in the *auxilia*. Most of them are related to artillery[52]. There are only three cases recorded of an architect in connection with the *auxilia*, although they do not prove the existence of an *architectus* as a specialist enrolled among troop technicians. In the mid 2nd century the inscription at Lucrezia (AE 1983, 380) proves that the prefect of *coh. III Bracarum* in Syria Palaestina was also an architect[53]; hence one may suppose that he must have been involved in the building activities in the garrison's fort. Valerius Perimus from Aquae/Baden-Baden (Lupa 8257) could have been an architect of a legionary cohort, thus confirming the theory of O. Stoll that there were several architects in a legion. Yet, why is the cohort number not mentioned? If it was an auxiliary cohort, why is its name not mentioned, especially since the inscription is dedicated in a civil environment and Valerius Perimus dedicates an altar to Minerva together with *Vittalis lappidarius […] et sui lappidarii*? Nonetheless, in case he was an auxiliary it is possible that *lapidarii* also belonged to the cohort[54]. Thus, it is possible that *Hermeros lapidarius* recorded at Cristeşti (IDR-03-04, 133; Dacia), where an auxiliary unit was garrisoned, could have been among the auxiliaries as well. Another *lappidarius* is attested in a place named Aquae/Călan (CIL III 7895), again in Dacia, but he may well have been civilian. Among these specialists should be registered also the one from Micia (Dacia) remembered as *M. Cocceius Lucius lapi(darius)* with a praenomen used instead of the cognomen (IDR-03-03, 141). Another *lapid(arius)* (CIL III 1601) is known again from Dacia, but without any indication of the provenience.

From Britain three architects are attested at Carrawburgh and Birrens on two altars and a statuette, without knowing if they were civilian or military and whether they were auxiliaries or legionaries[55]. A similar architect is remembered on a stone from Gallia Narbonensis (CIL XII 186)[56].

These very few accounts about the architects have led to the conclusion that they were not really responsible for the detailed planning and the execution of the buildings, but that they were more involved in artillery expertise[57]. However, as we have seen, military architects were employed in civil projects, but none came from the auxiliaries or from the provinces without legions. Lately the authors dealing with Roman architects make a distinction between *architectus* and surveyor, the last a mere technician[58]. It may be true that there was a central office where the architect, or architects, established a certain plan for certain buildings, but the rules were not at all rigid and those who executed the construction could have set their own standards through custom.

Technician or architect functions were not military career stages, specialists being recognised as such also after becoming veterans. C. Cuppienus was an architect while also cohort *praefectus* (AE 1983, 380), and Nonius Datus was still *librator* after becoming a veteran (CIL VIII 2728).

50 »*Namque ad specimen legionum militarium fabros perpendiculatores architectos genusque cunctum extruendorum moenium seu decurandorum in cohortes centuriaverat*« (Epit. de Caes. 14, 5).
51 See MacMullen 1959, 215 no. 71.
52 Stoll 1998, 219.
53 Donderer 1996, 219 A 112. See also the discussions in Stoll 1998, 219-222.
54 Schallmayer (1989, 72) supposes that they were part of *coh. VII Raetorum* or *coh. XXVI Voluntariorum* mentioned on building inscriptions, and the latter even on tiles stamps dated to the end of the 1st century. At Aquae/Baden-Baden joint work of the auxiliaries together with *leg. I Adiutrix* and *leg. XI Claudia*

is also recorded, therefore Valerius Perimus and *lappidarii* may well have been from among the legionaries.
55 RIB 1542 (Carrawburgh); RIB 2091; RIB 2096 (Birrens). Some authors suggested that *Amandus* from Birrens could have been the same person as *Val(erius) Amandus* attested as *discens architectus* at Iversheim in AD 209 (CIL XIII 7945), see Birley 1986, 66 no. 342.
56 For the formula *architector* see Donderer 1996, A 120.
57 The statement is meant for legionaries (Evans 1994, 148), but, if so, it should be true for auxiliaries as well.
58 The first concerned with theoretical, the latter with utilitarian matters, after Humphrey/Oleson/Sherwood 1998, 218. See also Evans 1994, 143 f. 152-162 and Taylor 2003, 15.

Apparently, auxiliary units seem to lack real technicians, but they should have had surveyors. However, if there were just labourers and a handful of architects moving around to help anyone in need, or if there would have been a central building office, regular patterns would be easier to find. Nonetheless, in the end it was not essential who made the building plan, but who was building. In fact, the Romans were not interested in it either, hence the architect or technician who made the plan or set the duties was almost never mentioned, while the builders and those responsible were.

LEGIONARY INVOLVEMENT IN AUXILIARY BUILDING PROJECTS

Legionaries were definitely the main builders of the Empire. Evidently, they took part in large construction works, especially in the building of roads, canals, aqueducts, official buildings, temples or even towns[59]. In civil settlements or military installations surveyors were also used for land disputes[60]. An *evocatus* of *leg. III Augusta*, Nonius Datus, was employed, as we have seen, as *librator* at Saldae in Africa after a tunnel had been wrongly designed.

There is some evidence, especially in Britain, proving that legionaries built a series of forts or even the entire Hadrian's Wall[61]. However, most of the inscriptions with the names of the legions found inside the auxiliary forts record only the name of the legion, sometimes adding the name of the emperor, governor or centurion, but without any specification of what they had built[62]. Many of these inscriptions were placed for the officers' control, comparable to the distance slabs on the Antonine Wall[63].

Generally, on Hadrian's Wall there are three distinctive groups of building types, according to their overall dimensions[64]. As a consequence, the forts in the same group with Halton Chesters, for instance, were considered probably to have been built by the legion as well[65]. The construction techniques of Housesteads and certain milecastles point to *leg. II Augusta* as their builder[66]. At Chesters stones inscribed with the names of several centuries were discovered, however, it is uncertain whether legionaries or auxiliaries built something inside the fort[67]. The first inscription, which records the legionaries of Britain as builders in a fort, comes from Balmuildy (RIB 2191), but we do not know what they built. Another three inscriptions from Britain come from Corbridge, but this is not conclusive here, as this is a »strange« fort with legionary detachments forming the main garrison[68].

---

59 For instance Timgad, see Le Bohec 1989, 116 with notes.
60 See MacMullen 1959, 215 no. 72 or in general Campbell 2000.
61 Birley 1961, 251 ff.
62 As for instance the inscription from Halton Chesters (RIB 1427), many recordings on Hadrian's Wall, from Germania (CIL XIII 6583; CIL XIII 6548; CIL XIII 7613; CIL XIII 7613a; CIL XIII 11757) and from Dacia (AE 1994, 1484).
63 The first were visible only at the beginning, as afterwards they were plastered over together with the Wall, after Birley 1961, 252 f.
64 Cf. Breeze/Dobson 1969, 31 f. Maybe only the gate was rebuilt, as the inscription found in 1936 had »fallen from its position over the west gate (*porta principalis sinistra*)«, after RIB 1427. Other building inscriptions found at Halton Chesters were set up by *leg. II Augusta*, *leg. VI Victrix* or *leg. XX Valeria Victrix* (RIB 1428-1431). The groups were recognised according to the gate's position and shape. In the attempt to recognise a »house style« of architects, Evans (1994, 147 f.), quoting Davison (1989), shows that in the forts of *leg. XX* at Colchester, Wroxeter, Chester and Inchtuthil there were alleyways between the barracks and the centurions' quarters.
65 The groups were considered on the dimension basis of the barrack-blocks by Breeze/Dobson (1969, 32), an uncertain indicator.
66 Among others, *leg. XX Valeria Victrix* worked at the other milecastles, turrets and curtain walls in the central sector of Hadrian's Wall, after Breeze/Dobson 1969, 32.
67 See, for instance, RIB 1478-1479. Most of the inscriptions on the Wall were also set up by legionaries.
68 There are quite a number of sources attesting to the legionary activity here and only three recordings of some auxiliary units, one for each of the following: *ala Petriana* (RIB 1172; tombstone); *coh. I Vardullorum* (RIB 1128; dedicatory stone) and *coh. I Lingonum* (RIB 1186).

The second and fourth legions were responsible for some of the forts on Hadrian's Wall, but they were not necessarily in the vicinity of one another[69]. Similarly, the spread of building inscriptions of the 8th and 22nd legions in Germania Superior, as already mentioned, does not indicate separate areas of action[70]. Much evidence from Tripolitania suggests that legionary vexillations were involved in the construction of *burgi* or *castella* (*centenariae*)[71]. However, it is likely that they also formed the garrisons of those forts[72], so this is not relevant here. This is also the case of the fort at Bu Njem (Gholaia), where legionary vexillations set up building inscriptions recording two spectacular buildings. However, they were also the fort's garrison, at least at some point[73]. The same happened at Dimmidi after AD 198, when the *ala* left the fort and only the legionary vexillations remained in garrison there[74] or possibly at Brigetio in Pannonia Superior (CIL III 3653).

COMBINED WORKFORCE OF THE ARMY

Joint work of legionaries and auxiliaries is also registered in civilian projects of all kinds[75]. It is clear that the main task to build Hadrian's Wall and especially the Antonine Wall was undertaken by the legions, but there is evidence for joint auxiliary involvement.

Auxiliaries participated beside legionaries in building constructions. The earliest and most impressive inscription of joint workforce is CIL III 6627, revealing that vexillations formed of 120 legionaries, 788 auxiliary infantry and 424 auxiliary cavalry participated in large building works in Egypt: »*castra{m} aedificaverunt et refecerunt*«[76]. Additional to various civil tasks they built the fort at Coptos.

Under Septimius Severus *ala I Pannoniorum* participated alongside *leg. III Augusta* and vexillations of *leg. III Gallica* in the construction of the fort at Dimmidi[77]. Interestingly enough, the *curante* is the *ala praefectus* (AE 1948, 214). The entire *ala* was present there, hence it benefitted from the numerical advantage, this being the reason for which the cavalry troop was prioritised in the inscription[78]. However, in the next inscriptions found at Dimmidi (CIL VIII 8796. 18021), even if Flavius Superus, the *praefectus alae*, remains *curator, the ala* is no longer among the builders, and in the next text even the prefect is omitted (AE 1939, 213; AE 1948, 217), so the *ala* may have already left[79]. In other cases as well legionaries are subordinated to auxiliary troop commanders[80].

69 The second legion was responsible for the forts at Rudchester, Housesteads, South Shields and Greatchester, and the sixth legion for those at Wallsend, Benwell, Halton Chesters, Chesters, Birdoswald, probably Stanwix and Bowness, after Breeze/Dobson 2000, passim.
70 The building inscription does not indicate clear areas of action for the legions (Oldenstein-Pferdehirt 1983, 413 fig. 11) and the *praepositi* are in charge of the *numeri* and sometimes they were *curam agente*, but as commanders of an individual irregular unit when they built or rebuilt something on their own (CIL XIII 6502. 6592). Baatz (1989, 172 f.) makes it clear that the centurions and *praepositi* as *curam agentes* do not have anything to do with a legion's action radius.
71 For example CIL VIII 3 or maybe CIL VIII 1 and AE 1987, 994. But the same could be true for the inscription of Dimmidi after the *ala* had probably left (AE 1939, 213; AE 1948, 217).
72 See also Saxer 1967, 106. 127.
73 AE 1995, 1641; AE 1987, 994 or AE 1979, 645.
74 AE 1939, 213; AE 1940, 153; AE 1948, 217.
75 See MacMullen 1959, 218. Probably in the baths at Aquae/Baden-Baden, see Schallmayer 1989, 35. 72 fig. 20. At Gholaia, a *numerus conlatum* participated in construction work beside

legionary vexillations (AE 1972, 677). At Netherby the detachments of the *leg. II* and *XX* together with *coh. I Aelia Hispanorum* built a temple (CIL VII 964).
76 The inscription is dated prior to Antoninus Pius, when two legions were transferred to Egypt, and associated with the beginnings of the Empire (Augustus, Tiberius), when the road network in the area was developed, after Saxer 1967, no. 294.
77 Charles-Picard 1944, 45.
78 After ibidem 46.
79 Only legionary vexillations are *praetendentes Castellum Dimmidi* in the second inscription under the command of two centurions *praepositi* of the legionary detachments, but this is probably because the cavalry left for the Parthian campaign of AD 198, see Charles-Picard 1944, 46-48 nos 14-15. See also Saxer 1967, 106 nos 316-317.
80 Under Septimius Severus M. Caninius Adiutor Faustinianus *praefectus* of *coh. II Hamiorum* was *curante* for the buildings carried out by *vexillationes* of *leg. III* in Gebel, probably to establish a series of forts (IRT 868). At Netherby, where vexillations of *II Augusta* and *coh. I Aelia Dacorum* built a temple, the cohort tribune seems to be *curante* (RIB-01, 980). See also Saxer 1967, 129.

Elsewhere, legionary centurions appear as *praepositi* including the cohorts involved. One of the most interesting inscriptions is the one from Böhming (CIL III 14370,02), where legionary vexillations shared work with *auxilia*, first building the enclosure, while *coh. I Breucorum* built the tower-gates and the corner-towers[81].

AUXILIARIES AS BUILDERS AS REFLECTED IN INSCRIPTIONS

Occasionally, auxiliaries are the only ones involved in civil projects for building gates, towers, temples, baths, aqueducts or basilicas[82]. The most interesting description is from the mid 2nd century, when Nonius Datus set the lines or the plan of the aqueduct at Saldae. The utilisation of the auxiliaries is not an exception, as during the reign of Hadrian, *coh. VIII Voluntariorum* built the aqueduct towers at Tilurium in Dalmatia (AE 1941, 54). As it was probably an easier task than to make a tunnel, they were able to manage the building by themselves. It would not have been dissimilar inside the auxiliary forts, but it seems that we have no proof for that. Another interesting case for the association of two auxiliary units for civil projects is the navy built the roads, while Numidian/Mauretanian and Pannonian horsemen protected them[83].

This work does not include inscriptions dedicated by auxiliaries, when unclear in relation to the building projects, although it is possible that a part of the records of construction works is obvious, so that the Romans could have recognised what was built, since the inscriptions were placed on the buildings' facades[84]. For us though, given that the find spots are not *in situ* or are completely unknown, it is impossible to assign them to specific buildings. This is the case for many legionary inscriptions, but these relate to large building works, and the inscriptions on Hadrian's Wall, for instance, were placed only as a control over the construction teams (see above).

Out of 58 building inscriptions from auxiliaries, the structure built or rebuilt is mentioned in 38, or 64%, while in the majority of the rest only the predicate *fecit/fecerunt* is named, an indication that the inscription was set on the building itself. For these, the archaeological context would have been essential, but we have information for only a few of the discoveries.

One of the first buildings recorded to have been constructed by auxiliaries in the 1[st] century is a *praetorium* at Volubilis (Mauretania Tingitana), a »s[o]lo composuit et fecit« probably by *coh. Asturum et Callaecorum* (CIL VIII 21820). It fell under the jurisdiction of the unit commander, as it was not an official building, thus not needing the approval from central government. It would be interesting to know to which *praetorium* in which fort the inscription refers, Volubilis being the administrative capital of Mauretania Tingitana. The inscription states that by the mid 3rd century(?), a centurion, *praepositus* of a *numerus*, embellished a temple with statues (AE 1931, 113) with the aid of *coh. II Ulpia eq. c. R. sagittariorum*.

Another very early inscription recording auxiliaries as builders is AE 1991, 1251, according to which a cohort, possibly *coh. I Flavia Hispanorum*, built an *armamentarium* for e*quites et pedites singulares*[85]. This time, a cohort conducts the construction on behalf of the emperor, but under the jurisdiction of the governor; hence it was an official construction. The garrison of these *singulares* must have been somewhere in

---

81 Similar also at Pfünz and Ellingen, cf. Dietz 1983. See also Gnade 2010, 208.

82 AE 1941, 54; CIL III 1979; CIL VII 1003; CIL VII 287; CIL VII 445; CIL III 7450; AE 1944, 74; at Dura-Europos AE 1931, 113; CIL XIII 11758; CIL XIII 11759; Schallmayer 1989, 36 fig. 21; IDR-03-03, 47; Saxer 1967, 109 no. 332 (AE 1957, 176); IRT 896. – For the army as builder in urban space see Le Bohec 1992, 311-320; Horster 2001, 168ff.

83 Ps.-Hyg. 24.

84 See Horster 2001, 57. In the case of the inscriptions from North Africa, only 18% mention the building and only when it was new, since »one can see with one's own eyes what has been done« (Saastamoinen 2010, 156).

85 The troop was garrisoned in a fort far away from Cologne, see explanations in Eck 1990.

the *colonia* Claudia Ara Agrippinensium or nearby. However, it is hard to say why they did not build their own building. Possibly, like in other cases, they had other responsibilities at that time[86].

The evidence on auxiliaries is regarded by many authors as insufficient, however, there is quite a number of building inscriptions set up by auxiliaries in a fort, when compared with those placed by legionaries.

Probably between the two Dacian Wars (AD 102-105) *coh. I Antiochensium* constructed something on the direct order of the emperor (AE 1959, 309 = IDR-02, 14).

Among the earliest evidence that the auxiliaries built something in Britannia are dedications from the fort at Carrawburgh, where *coh. I Tungrorum* dedicated an inscription to Hadrian[87], and probably Benwell, where a detachment of the *classis* set up an inscription for Hadrian between AD 122 and 124[88]. At Carvoran a *centuria* probably built parts of the *vallum* on Hadrian's Wall under the charge of a prefect (RIB 1820), but they could have been legionaries as well.

The fact that soldiers of *coh. I Tungrorum* and *coh. I Aquitanorum* built something prior to the mid 2nd century is proven by the dedication slabs (RIB 2155. 1550) discovered at Castlecary and Carrawburgh[89], while *coh. VI Nerviorum* was involved in the construction of the *principia* at Rough Castle during AD 142-143 (RIB 2145)[90]. This is the first mention of a building built by an *auxilia* in a fort, but we should not forget that earlier they built the *armamentarium* at Cologne and also the aqueduct towers in Dalmatia or a tunnel near Saldae. Another similar inscription was found at Gherla, recording that in AD 143 the emperor had built a structure through an *ala* in garrison, probably the headquarters (AE 1906, 112).

From that point on, inscriptions dedicated by auxiliary troops are increasingly numerous. In the mid 2nd century, a cohort built a basilica at Syene in Egypt (CIL III 6025). Although the emperor is the »client«, the builders were the governor and, for the first and single time mentioned in connection with an auxiliary troop, the *praefectus castrorum,* the *curator* being a legionary centurion who was most likely also the troop commander. But this could have also been a civil building, the basilica having been constructed in the Egyptian city.

Under Marcus Aurelius construction works were carried out in the fort of Aalen under the direct responsibility of the governor, who is mentioned as the *curator*, while the *valetudinarium* from Stojnik seems to have been constructed without the need of approval from central government (CIL III 14537).

Sub cura of a legionary centurion, most likely the *praepositus* of the *numerus* involved, *coh. I Helvetiorum* together with *Brittones* and *numerus Aurelianenses* built something at Öhringen (CIL XIII 6542. 6543). In AD 182, *pedites singulares* rebuilt the wall and the gates of the fort at Ellingen with the permission of the governor (AE 1983, 730)[91].

Armamentariae are rebuilt in AD 197 at Roomburg (CIL XIII 8824) and in AD 238-244 in Lanchester (RIB 1092), the first with the commandant and the latter with the governor as *curator*.

Under the extraordinary command of Aelius Emeritus, decurion of *ala I Pannoniorum*, a *praepositus* of the »expeditionary« force of *coh. II Flavia Afrorum* and *numerus collatus* built a *praesidium* at Si Aioun in Tripolitania in AD 198 (ILAfr 9 = AE 1986, 704)[92]. This was followed by the building of a *nova praetentura* at Timziouin (CIL VIII 22602; Mauretania Caesariensis), a *castellum* at Qasr el-Hallabat (Kennedy 2000; Arabia),

---

86 *Leg. VIII Augusta* built something at Osterburken probably for the *numeri*: CIL XIII 6578. 6582.

87 Davies 1967.

88 However, it is not certain that this was a building inscription or just a dedicatory stone. However, it has been used by many archaeologists as one of the first pieces of evidence of building activities by auxiliaries (RIB 1340).

89 Davies (1967, 109 no. 25) asserted that it could have been possible that the unit built something at Carrawburgh and Brough-on-Noe more or less at the same time, but under different prefects.

90 See Davies 1967, passim. For the building activities in Britain and a suggested table of the building inscriptions from inside the province's forts see Sommer 1984, 58-60.

91 Dietz 1983, 497-500.

92 Le Bohec (1986, 233-241 especially 240), when discussing *numerus conlatus* (*collatus*), argues that it was a sort of vexillation formed of soldiers from *auxilia* of Tripolitania and which was used for special circumstances.

and a *centenarium* at Qasr Duwayb (AE 1950, 128; Africa Proconsularis). In AD 263 the auxiliary troops in the same area built the fort of the *coh. VIII Fida* (CIL VIII 22765 = AE 1895, 17)[93]. Some of the building inscriptions from Tripolitania at the end of the 2nd and the beginning of the 3rd century prove the involvement of auxiliaries in various constructions, sometimes beside vexillations of *leg. III Augusta*, other times by themselves, especially after the legion was disbanded in AD 238. Another *burgus* was built at Khirbat in Arabia (CIL III 88). As we can see, all of the fortifications were reduced in size and were located in the southern and eastern provinces, but it is important that the auxiliaries were allowed to build entire forts or fortlets. In three cases the *curator* is the commander of the troops, in two the governor and at Talalati the emperor himself. Curiously enough, only in the latter case are we dealing with the term *castrum*.

Concerning auxiliaries' involvement in the erection of the defences and towers, the earliest inscription recording them seems to be from Racovita in Dacia in AD 140 (CIL III 13796). There are also four inscriptions recording the building or rebuilding of the defences, and one also of the *portae*, from the turn of the 3rd century. *Coh. IIII Sygambrorum* built in AD 198-199 the wall of the fort at Taraess/Tatilti (AE 1995, 1790; Mauretania Caesariensis)[94]. A few years later, the *caespites* defensive circuit of Bumbesti (CIL III 14485a; Dacia) is reconstructed by *coh. I Aurelia Brittonum*, also under the supervision of the governor. These two were carried out under the direct supervision of the governors (AE 1995, 1790; CIL III 14485a) and the other two from Britannia on his orders, but under the charge of the troops' commander (CIL VII 269; RIB 1234). In the latter case the gates and the wall were a *solo restituit*. Interesting is the inscription from Zugmantel (CIL XIII 7612) which records only the ethnicon of a unit (*Treverorum*) without any other indication in the dedication for Severus Alexander, where the building of the wall is recorded a *solo*[95].

Principia, mentioned for the first time in AD 142, are also referred to as an object of rebuilding within forts in three other cases, each time beside other structures. It is first mentioned beside the *praetorium* at Aalen in AD 208 (AE 1989, 581)[96], then beside the *armamentarium* at Lanchester (RIB 1092) and the *balneum* at Birdoswald (RIB 1912). Only in the first case was work carried out directly by the governor, in the other two *curante* is the troop commander, but under the governor's authority. *Aedes* and the basilica as seemingly separate structures were reconstructed at Reculver in AD 225-230 (RIB 3027), probably under the responsibility of the governor, strangely without mentioning the emperor.

Basilicas of the *principia* or the baths are recorded four times during the 3rd century, in three cases together with other structures mentioned above (RIB 605. 1091. 3027). In the first recording, early in the 3rd century, it is mentioned alone in an interesting inscription from Dacia (CIL III 1343). This is an account of a joint action by some of the province's auxiliary troops[97].

The first record of an *armamentarium* is, as noted, from AD 100, but then in AD 197 another one was rebuilt under the authority of the governor, but with the commandant in charge as *curator* (CIL XIII 8824), and then together with the *principia* in the quoted RIB 1092 inscription.

The next buildings of importance as mirrored by the inscriptions are the baths, which are mentioned six times, but in only two instances alone. The earliest record is from Germania Superior, where the *numerus Brittonum Elantiensium* restored one in AD 158 (CIL XIII 6498); in Dacia the governor together with the commander *curator* were again responsible for the rebuilding of baths fin two cases (CIL III 1374; IDR-03-

---

93 It may be that the fort replaced the fort at Bu Njem (Gholaia), see Mattingly 1995, 83.

94 A fort of only 100 m², however with thick walls of 1.40 m, see Rushworth 2002, 349.

95 However, the inscription is fragmentary and there could have been mentioned at least the name of the commandant, or better of the governor. Reuter (2008, 85-88) questioned whether

they built the entire wall or just a part of it, as there are other inscriptions from Zugmantel mentioning *Treveri* building a *pedatura* of the wall (CIL XIII 7613).

96 For all the arguments see Alföldy 1989, 310-316: *Capi]tol[i] cum pri[ncipiis]*. Afterwards corrected in Dietz 1993 as *pr[aetor [i]um cum pri[ncipiis]*.

97 It may have been a *basilica exercitatoria*, see Marcu 2011.

03, 46). The other three records have already been mentioned in connection with *principia* and *basilica* (RIB 605. 1091. 1912). *Brittones* built the wall and carried out some restorations of the baths in another two undated instances (CIL XIII 6502. 6592).

The other two types of buildings recorded on inscriptions are in two cases the *praetorium* at Birdoswald and Aalen (RIB 1912; AE 1989, 581) and in one the *valetudinarium* at Stojnik (CIL III 14537). The latter is dedicatory, but as long as it registers the hospital of *coh. II Aurelia Nova,* we may suppose that it was attached to the building itself.

Other structures mentioned twice in AD 220 (CIL VII 1044) and AD 235 (CIL VII 1046) in relation with construction activities are *ballistaria*, both in the same fort of High Rochester under the governors and *sub cura* the commandants in charge. Even if they are not contemporaneous, they are very similar in formula.

Finally, the last known involvement of the *auxilia* concerned the water supply of Öhringen (CIL XIII 11758. 11759). For the first altar we do not know the unit, but at the instruction of the governor the water pipes were made *per* a centurion of *leg. VIII*, probably the *praepositus* of the unit in garrison[98]. In the latter the cohort built a new aqueduct in the *praetentura* for the baths. In both cases the governor is directly the *curator*, probably together with the commander of the unit in garrison.

Legions built occasionally instead of auxiliaries. They did so, not because of the incapability of the latter, but because legionary construction teams were most likely generally involved in large construction programmes. For instance, we know that *Brittones Elantienses* rebuilt essential parts of the baths at Neckarburken in AD 158, building a new apsis, reconstructing the vaulted roof and the boilers. A few years later (in AD 185-192) *leg. VIII Augusta* probably built (CIL XIII 6578. 6582) the defensive wall for the extension of the fort at Osterburken, where *Brittones* would form the garrison. In principle, the builders were the ones available, either legionaries or auxiliaries.

CONCLUSIONS

According to the amount of evidence we may no longer argue that auxiliaries were engaged in the construction of less important buildings; for important ones one required qualified legionary staff, as maintained by A. Johnson[99].

From over 60 inscriptions gathered here, a clear result is that the auxiliaries were the builders of the forts themselves and only in a few cases was this carried out by the legionaries. The latter appear either when they were garrisoned in the neighbourhood or when large construction programmes were to be instituted. In principle, the same is true for the combined workforce of legionaries and auxiliaries. In two cases, the task force of both types of units is involved in the construction of forts and in one case in the construction of defensive circuits and gates.

The majority of building inscriptions dates from the reign of Septimius Severus. Not by accident, Cassius Dio is severe in judging his building programme and his willingness to put his name on buildings only restored by him, »as if he had erected them himself from his private funds«[100]. Yet this does not mean that other emperors had no building programmes, one only has to consider Trajan, but especially Hadrian and Antoninus Pius, too. Hadrian, for instance, was the most active builder in the provinces[101], but probably his reluc-

---

[98] The altar was found in a well from the *principia*, see Stoll 1992, cat. no. 42 III 4.1.

[99] Johnson 1987, 57.

[100] Cass. Dio 76, 16, 1-4. – Cf. Birley 1971, 289.

[101] See Fraser 2006.

tance to inscribe his name on his buildings, except the Temple of Trajan (SHA Hadr. XIX, 9), was determinant for the relative lack of building inscriptions inside the forts as well. Anyway, the chronological sequence of building inscriptions does not necessarily reflect the realities of building activity, for there were maybe quite a number of projects completed without any building record[102].

The question nevertheless remains, who decided the internal planning of a fort and the building's construction and design? D. Baatz has shown that in Germania Superior the responsibility for the decision to build or rebuild lay with the governor and/or with the troop commander and not with any legionary legate[103]. It is true that the emperor himself, the governor or the unit's commander, who is sometimes the *praepositus* (centurions) of the auxiliary troops, could have been in charge of the building operations, as most of the building inscriptions regard them as *curatores* of the operation. Obviously, the supreme authority for the construction was the emperor, as it is possible that some of the requests to construct something reached him directly[104]. The intervention of the emperor or the governor has been proven in a few cases of frontier installations, as in the case of Hadrian's Wall and the straight line of the *limes* in Germania Superior[105].

A few early inscriptions from Britannia record constructions built by auxiliaries for the emperor (RIB 2145. 2155; Davies 1967). The dedications are simple, similar to those placed by legionary vexillations, and are most likely connected with large construction works in Britannia under Antoninus Pius.

As already noted the case of Dacia is special. Of nine building inscriptions placed by auxiliary troops, four mention the emperor in the nominative. Two of them (IDR-02, 14; AE 1906, 112) record Trajan and Hadrian respectively as the builders *per* the troops in garrison. Evidently, the emperor was interested directly in what was built, and constructions seem to have been erected following the emperor's direct order[106]. Even more interesting is that in the same year, in AD 205, the emperor appears as a donor rather than builder of a basilica for the *ala* in garrison at Slăveni and of some other, unmentioned structure (IDR-02, 499; CIL III 13800 = IDR-02, 496). To my knowledge this is the only known specific case in a fort[107]. In the other two cases from Micia, the baths are rebuilt, but under the charge of the governor and *sub cura* of the unit's commandant. Besides, most interesting are the building inscriptions found at Porolissum, where the emperor is the only recorded person and he might have been personally involved (AE 1958, 230; ILD 660)[108]. The only other case we know comes from Mauretania Caesariensis, where at *castellum Dianense* the emperor had examined the walls (AE 1895, 17).

This illustrates the character of both provinces and their importance for the central government. In two cases the inscriptions were probably dedicated on the occasion of the direct presence of Trajan and Caracalla in the province Dacia, and they might be dated to AD 105 and 213-214[109]. However, those were maybe the constructions made clearly at the direct order of the emperor[110].

---

[102] Or some of the records were on wooden tablets, see Birley 1961, 255.

[103] As proven by the inscriptions where the auxiliaries rebuilt part of the baths at Neckarburken: *[...] iubente [...] leg(ato) Aug(usti) pr. pr [...]*, but with *curam agente [...] (centurione) [...]* (CIL XIII 6498; Schallmayer 1984, 453-457; Speidel 1986) and the other inscriptions where the commandant of the troop is directly responsible, Baatz 1989, 172.

[104] See Evans 1994, 145.

[105] Governors should have had a certain freedom, see Breeze 2009, 88. Even if, C. Popilius Carus Pedo (Alföldy 1983) was not responsible for the new *limes* (Speidel 1986, 310), the shape suggests the intervention of a high-rank person.

[106] For cases where the emperor is mentioned in the nominative see Horster 2001, 39-45 or Saastamoinen 2010, 137-139.

[107] For the civil environment see Horster 2001, 49 and Saastamoinen 2010, 138.

[108] Marcu 2011, passim.

[109] The inscription from Dacia is dated to AD 213, but Caracalla might have arrived at Porolissum earlier than the beginning of AD 214 as recorded in Cass. Dio 78, 16, 7, as he did not pay much attention to Dacia anymore (implying, maybe, that he did earlier). Caracalla might have visited Dacia at the end of AD 213, but it is not sure that he spent the winter at Sirmium. For the dates of emperors' travels see in detail: Halfmann 1986, 184. 223.

[110] The emperor's involvement in building the forts is mentioned in CIL VIII 22765 and confirmed by SHA Hadr. X, 6, from where we learn that Hadrian, among other military things, »*locum castris caperet*«.

The emperor is mentioned in the nominative in other inscriptions as well, the *curator,* however, is the governor (AE 1995, 1790; CIL III 14485a; IRT 00880?). The few inscriptions from Africa are similar, as they do not refer to constructions and the emperor appears as the builder. However, *per legionem*, as the governor is mostly referred to, occasionally appears as the dedicator[111]. Yet, constructions are not mentioned, owing to the fact that the emperor's image was important.

In other cases the governor only is mentioned, while the *curator* is the troop commander (CIL III 1374; CIL XIII 8824; RIB 1092). A single case specifies that the governor, the *curator* being yet again the troop commander, performed work in accordance with the emperor's instructions. Options in assigning works are not accidental, but were made according to the structures to be constructed. Hence, where the emperor built with assistance of the governor, we are dealing with the question of whether constructions are mentioned, including the restoration of the defensive circuit or the construction of a fortlet. Instead, when the troop commander is the *curator*, structures are in two cases the baths and a basilica and in one case an *armamentarium.*

In general, the appointed authority seems to have been the governor and many of the constructions within auxiliary forts were made either under his authority or his direct care, the emperor being the »client«. Thus, in 48 % of the cases, the governor was the authority in charge, who appears as the *curator* of the constructions. Nevertheless, in 52 % of the cases the *curator* is the commander of the garrison troop, while the governor was always mentioned in additional. Therefore, for constructions within forts a sort of approval was required, even when performed under the commander's supervision and not directly by the governor. We do not doubt that actually the garrison commander was always the *curator*, however, the inscriptions' form, and definitely the legal procedure depended on the type of construction made.

Except in a few cases, when the governor was the *curator* and the commander was seldom mentioned, the structures built were as follows: the fortification itself, the defensive circuit and gate, the *principia,* the *aedes*, the *armamentarium,* the *ballistarium* and the aqueducts. However, when the *curator* was the commander, the structures were most often baths and basilicas, in three cases a *praetorium* and in one a *horreum.* In the basilica's case, it is most likely not the structure belonging to the *principia*, but one belonging to the baths as mentioned in connection with them. In two cases they seem to have been autonomous buildings: The first is at Syene, which is a special case, since the building concerned was not inside the fort; the inscription only mentions the governor, who had carried out the work. The second case most likely concerned a *basilica exercitatoria* (CIL III 1343).

There seem to be some more exceptions to this rule, i. e. the patterns observed above. The most interesting case is when the combined workforce of legionaries and auxiliaries built the defensive circuit and gatetowers at Böhming in AD 181, and the *curatores* are in both cases the vexillation and cohort commanders, centurions of *leg. III Italica* (CIL III 14370,02). The governor is only mentioned in passing; hence he most likely had not the same authority over the legions as over the auxiliaries. In the following cases of a combined workforce the governor is the builder, but *per* legionary *vexillationes,* while the *curator* is the prefect of the *ala* as a part of the activities setting up the *limes* in Numidia together with the legionary vexillations, all under the command of the *ala* prefect[112].

Concerning the auxiliaries individually, in only one case was a *praesidium* (most likely a fortlet) built *sub cura* of a *decurio* (ILAfr 00009), and a *burgus ex fundamento* (CIL III 88) was constructed under the care of a tribune, but upon a governor's orders, probably as they were small-sized fortifications. It is similar with the *burgus* from El Kantara erected by a *numerus* (CIL VIII 2494). By the middle and end of the 3rd century troop

---

111 BCTH 1932-1933, 307; CIL VIII 2694. 4203. 4204. 18509; AE 1955, 134. Only the emperor, who is *perfici curavit,* is recorded in AE 1963, 144; IRT 427-428. – See Horster 2001, 57; Saastamoinen 2010, 161.

112 However, from the early 3rd century onwards, the governor of Numidia was also the legate of the legion, after Mattingly 1995, 84.

commanders appear as *curatores* on the restoration of *principia*, once together with *armamentaria*, and this seems strange. Yet, in the first case (RIB 1092) the emperor appears in the nominative and the governor carried out the works. It is thus possible that the authority over most important constructions is increasingly transferred to the auxiliary troop commanders, as mentioned above, and as indicated by the second inscription dated to the beginning of the 4th century (RIB 1912). We must mention here the inscription recording that a *praepositus* built something at his own expense before the end of the 3rd century, but *sub cura* of the governor (AE 1980, 960)[113]. Thus, this might have well been a temple, as supposed by Y. Le Bohec[114]. Except for the fort itself (which is rarely mentioned), the defensive circuit, the *principia* with its annexes, baths and in one case only a *horreum*, other buildings are not referred to in building inscriptions. Additionally, the *praetorium* is mentioned three times and the *valetudinarium* once, the latter, however, not as a building construction, but as the property of *coh. II Aurelia Nova* (IMS-01, 116). Aqueducts appear three times and *balistaria* twice. In the first case, the *praetorium* might be regarded as the private construction of the cohort prefect (CIL VIII 21820), though in the second case, rather oddly, the commander's headquarters are mentioned first, together with the *principia* and the *balneum* (RIB 1912). However, this is a late inscription which represents an exception to the pattern observed above.

In conclusion we find that not all constructions required the approval of the central government, consequently there is no information relating to the construction of workshops, barracks, stables or other secondary buildings within forts. They are most likely built directly by the commander through the troop he led. This is precisely why these building plans must have varied considerably. On the other hand, civil inscriptions rarely mention utilitarian, industrial or rural constructions either[115]. Therefore, it might not be a problem of authorisation, but simply of propaganda, advertising or habit, soldiers bragging only where everyone could see the inscriptions. Nevertheless, the obvious distinction between the authorities supervising certain constructions may be suggestive.

Those who prepared the building designs were obviously the architects or surveyors, but the soldiers in garrison performed the actual job. Although some sort of control was exercised, there were no centralised »Planungsbüros«, hence the extremely high diversity of the plans. The same must have occurred in the case of civil buildings, since Nonius Datus, a *librator* under Antoninus Pius in *leg. III Augusta*, said: »I decided (that the work) should be done according to the plan which he gave to the procurator Petronius Celer« (CIL VIII 2728). Some of the ancient drawings and drafts have survived[116]. Therefore, the plans had been previously drawn by specialists and then sent to the authorities, emperor or governor. We may infer that, when the buildings were made *sub cura* of the governor, the specialists in the staff of the governor drew up the plans, but this is not always necessary, as it is not the case with Nonius Datus, who prepared the plan himself and sent it to the governor who, however, dedicated the final work. Nonetheless, when this is the case, we are dealing, as already mentioned, with the most important structures in the fort, most of the times built by the auxiliaries on their own. Troop commanders are proven as builders by the involvement of a *praefectus cohortis*, responsible for construction projects in a *colonia* in Asia (AE 1973, 501). Besides, one of the prefects we know had been an *architectus*[117]. We cannot chronologically establish whether at some point commanders' authority increased, except for the latest inscriptions, when the commander was included as a *curator* for a *principia* (RIB 1912). The transfer of the command of the troops from provincial governors to military commanders will have been an effect of Diocletian's administrative reforms, the reason for which building inscriptions became increasingly rare.

---

113 Although the restoration is not certain, for only *S[.]A[...]* is preserved.
114 See Le Bohec 1986, 234.
115 See Horster 2001, passim; Saastamoinen 2010, 56.

116 Taylor 2003, 28 ff.
117 For military involvement in civil constructions see MacMullen 1959, 215 nos 72-74.

Cohorts erected the majority of building inscriptions dedicated by auxiliary troops. Of 52 examples, only nine were placed individually by an *ala*, of which four were from the same *ala*, garrisoned in the fort at Aalen, and the another two were placed by the *ala* at Slăveni. Therefore, we have epigraphic evidence for the participation in building activities by only five individual cavalry units. *Alae* are recorded twice more working alongside cohorts in other constructions. Therefore, cavalry troops could undoubtedly be involved in building activities, but they had other tasks compared to the infantry.

In Dacia, and most likely elsewhere, records also provide evidence for the specialisation of certain auxiliary troops, for instance in manufacturing tiles[118], but the same cannot be said about constructions.

Most probably, as shown by the evidence, the person involved in the dynamics of design in a fortress was the *praefectus castrorum* and in the case of the auxiliaries the commandant or the centurions/decurions[119]. Tacitus describes how a *praefectus castrorum* was killed while commanding cohorts engaged in building forts (Tac. ann. 12, 38). Probably the plan of a building and regulations were decided in his office. The plans were theoretically simple in design, and should, therefore, have implied the same design methods for many buildings. But this is an assumption that cannot be proven. Most likely, an architect was not always necessary, the construction being often made according to a rule described by E. Evans as that of »successive proportions«[120]. But how did they put such a task into practice, regarding the problems connected not only with the plan, but also with the proportions of length, width and height? There were pre-established rules most likely recorded within manuals, buildings layouts being undeniably similar. However, »a system of permutation within clearly defined parameters« leads to »a considerable variety«[121]. This also results from a modular analysis of buildings, and, although the 12 p.M. module seems to be universally used in *auxilia* forts, there is a considerable variance[122], which would account for different construction teams. But this still needs to be proven by many other examples. Where differences in the design of the same building type existed, there is a strong probability that the centurion had the final decision, while the commandant's decision regarded only the area allotted. This could have been the case at Buciumi (Dacia) during the Trajanic phases[123]. On the other hand, it is quite interesting to observe the much more typical barrack buildings of the legionaries in a fortress. Thus, it is possible that the design was the prerogative of centurions and military surveyors with a greater cosmopolitan background. The first result would be the variability in the internal planning and the difficulty to establish patterns or find standard units of measurement, even in the same fort like at Crawford, Valkenburg or Hesselbach[124], or, in Dacia's case, in each construction phase at Bu-

---

118 Marcu 2004, passim.
119 See Veg. mil. 2, 10. Considering Agricola's building ability Breeze (1981, passim) concludes that the task of surveying the campsite and pitching camp was carried out, within a legion, by the tribunes and centurions, quoting Polybius (6, 26 and 41) and Vegetius (2, 10), who record the duties of the *praefectus castrorum*. Among them are included the setting out of the fortress and the inspection of the tents and rooms of the soldiers in a temporary camp. Probably in a permanent fort the situation was not much different. The same author (1981, 18) concludes that the design of the entrances in the marching camps in Scotland was carried out by a *praefectus castrorum* or even by various *praefecti castrorum*, each of them using »different defensive devices and possibly [...] different innovations, rather in the same way that the various legionary engineers on Hadrian's Wall employed slightly different plans«. Frontinus also emphasised the importance of the commands capacity to decide, maybe the design of a construction as well (Frontin. aqu., praef. 2), who »was reluctant to depend solely on the advice of subordinates«, see Campbell 1996, 76. Probably, the preference for *pes Drusianus* or *pes Monetalis* in the same fort,

but in different construction phases, depended on the buildings' designers as well. However, the distinction between the two types of measurements in the same fort during the same chronological period is rather interesting, after Walthew 1981, 15. The same in the case of Colonia Ulpia Traiana (Xanten) where in Insula 3 have been used both types of *pedes*, yet the construction phases could have been »slightly« different, see Bridger 1984, 97-98. Evans (1994, 153) argues that such differences are most likely due to different tools used by different building teams. C. J. Bridger argued ten years earlier the significance and yet the lack of different measuring-rods discoveries, stressing the importance of tools and building materials for understanding the builders, Bridger 1984, 98.
120 »[...] where in each successive element is related to a previous one«, cf. Evans 1994, 154.
121 Ibidem 163.
122 The author stressed the »apparent eccentricities in the planning of military buildings«, Walthew 2005, 294.
123 Marcu 2009, 44 f.
124 See Walthew 1981, 16. Unfortunately, detailed information in the case of Dacia's forts is scarce.

---

ciumi. Most of the internal buildings may not have necessarily complied aesthetically to the attributes of the architects, even if other architectural principles were used. Therefore, one cannot believe that an architect was always required, the auxiliaries being able to handle whatever came up. The existence of skilled soldiers is confirmed also by the *graffiti* at Drobeta mentioning a *miles* Aurelius Mercurius in command of some soldiers of *coh. I Sagittariorum*[125], and the one discovered at Caernarfon, where a soldier from an auxiliary unit worked in the brickyards of *leg. XX*[126]. Moreover, the auxiliaries could have had their own brickworks, as it was the case at Großkrotzenburg.

Nonetheless, those who planned the internal design of the forts were the surveyors, but under the command of the chief in charge (tribune, *praefectus* or centurion/decurion) using blueprints drawn up by architects, *librator*es or whomever. But the builders on the spot were the soldiers themselves and that is where inaccuracies emerged[127]. There were certainly specialists in construction projects, maybe even architects and technicians would have been needed at least for the gates or large buildings such as the *principia* or baths, where the architectural problems to be solved were greater. However, it was not a hard task to erect the defensive wall and like the legionaries auxiliaries had working groups of masons, but the gates were a complicated matter, and therefore, many of them have been built by legionaries, as we can observe on Hadrian's Wall.[128]. Nevertheless, there were instances when the legionaries erected the *vallum* and auxiliaries the gates and towers, as at Böhming in Raetia (CIL III 14370,02), although under the command of a legionary centurion. Clearly for special buildings, there was a need for more than a technician, because he probably would not have been capable of supervising the building of, for instance, the supposed tetrapylon at the, fort at Romita[129]. However, the auxiliaries could have built special buildings like the baths, as we have seen for instance, in the case of the *Brittones Elantienses*, alone or together with legionaries. We should note that whenever we are dealing with irregular units, the design of the fort or fortlets was atypical, proving that the real builders were always the soldiers in garrison. Different in organisation they had probably distinct needs, therefore the forts' layout was unique in each case. The main advantage of this method was that it provided the self-sufficiency of the auxiliaries. Nevertheless, we still do not know entirely where the auxiliaries practiced their skills and how they applied the rules[130], but it is obvious that auxiliaries were, as well as the legionaries, independent, solving their problems in various situations, erecting both forts and buildings, based not only on rule of thumb, but sometimes on fine judgements.

There are many questions still unanswered and they need new epigraphic and archaeological discoveries. I hope that I have managed to set out the discrepancies between fact and theory in the auxiliaries' building activities. Differences in building practices between legionaries and auxiliaries and among individual auxiliary units remain to be tested by further detailed analysis of constructional techniques, since the complexity of Roman military planning is extensive.

---

[125] *Aurelius Me /rcurius milis c(ohor) / tis I Sagitt(ariorum) in / figlinis magis / ter super mi / lites LX(?). Scripsit / Aurelius Iulianus / milis co(ho)rtis prima(e)* (AE 1939, 19 = IDR-02, 107).

[126] RIB 2491.96.

[127] These occurred also because of the instruments, see Evans 1994, 149 ff. Builders were different from those who decided the development of a construction. This is discernible when Hadrian, who decided the design of the Wall, departed in the middle of the building process, which led to changes and a reduction in the standards of craftsmanship, see Hill 2004, 140-146. – Breeze 2009, 99.

[128] See Hill 2004, 117. 123 tab. 12, 1-2.

[129] See Franzen/Matei/Marcu 2007, 164 f.

[130] Even in the case of legionaries it is hard to understand where and how they were trained, i. e. in the legion or in civil practice activity, see Donderer 1996, 57-61.

date AD	fort	enclosure	gates	towers	principia	aedes	basilica	armamentarium	praetorium	balneum	horreum	valetudinarium	aqueduct	ballistarium
41-99									CIL VIII 21820					
100								AE 1984, 667						
140		CIL III 13796 =		= CIL III 13796										
142-143					RIB 2145									
140-147							CIL III 6025							
158										CIL XIII 6498				
179												CIL III 14537		
181		CIL III 14370,02=	= CIL III 14370,02 =	= CIL III 14370,02										
182		AE 1983, 730	= AE 1983, 730											
187													CIL XIII 11757	
193								CIL XIII 8824		CIL III 1374				
197	AE 1986, 704													
198														
198-199		AE 1995, 1790												
201		CIL III 14485												
205							IDR-02, 499							
205-207		RIB 1234 =	= RIB 1234											
205-207		CIL VII 269												
205-208											RIB 1909			
208					AE 1989, 581 =	AE 1989, 581 =			= AE 1989, 581					
197-211	CIL VIII 22602													
213-214	Kennedy 2000													
214-216	CIL VIII 2494													
220														CIL VII 1044
225-230						RIB 3027 =	= RIB 3027							
222-235										IDR-03-03, 46				
223/233-4		CIL XIII, 7612												
231													CIL XIII 11758	
235							RIB 1091 =			= RIB 1091				CIL VII 1046
238					RIB 1092 =									
238-244														
241								= RIB 1092					CIL XIII 11759	
244-246	AE 1950, 128													
263	AE 1895, 17													
263-268							RIB 605 =			= RIB 605				
297-305					RIB 1912 =				RIB 1912 =	= RIB 1912				
375	CIL III 88									CIL XIII 6502 CIL XIII 6592				
–														

Tab. 1 Chronologically different attestations of the structures inside the forts.

CATALOGUE OF THE INSCRIPTIONS IN CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER

Part 1: The emperor as a builder

1. AE 1958, 230
Dating: AD 213
Province: Dacia
Location: Moigrad/Porolissum (RO)
Imp(erator) Caes(ar) M(arcus) Aur(elius) Antoninus / Pius Aug(ustus) Felix Part(h)i / cus maximus pon / tifex maximus Brit(annicus) / maximus trib(unicia) pot(estate) / XVI imp(era-tor) II co(n)s(ul) IIII / p(ater) p(atriae) proco(n)s(ul) fecit

2. ILD 660 = AE 1944, 51
Dating: AD 213
Province: Dacia
Location: Moigrad/Porolissum (RO)

Imp(erator) Caes(ar) M(arcus) Aur(elius) Antoni / nus Pius Aug(ustus) Felix / Part(h)i / cus maximus pontifex / ma-x(imus) Brit(tannicus) max(imus) trib(unicia) potes(tate) / XVI imp(erator) II co(n)s(ul) IIII p(ater) p(atriae) pro / co(n)s(ul) fecit

3. CIL VIII 8701 = D 6887
Dating: AD 234
Province: Mauretania Caesariensis
Location: Mechtet Melloul / Castellum Dianense / Guellal (DZ)
Imp(erator) Caesar M(arcus) / Aurelius Severus / Alexander [I]nvictus / Pius Felix Aug(ustus) muros / kastelli Diane(n) sis ex / trux[i]t per colonos eiusde / m kastelli / p(rovinciae) CLXXXXV

Part 2: Datable inscriptions. Legions

1. CIL III 953 = IDR-03-04, 230 = ILD 431 = AE 1944, +42 = AE 2000, 1258
Dating: AD 128-138
Province: Dacia
Location: Hoghiz (RO)
[Imp(eratori) Caes(ari) divi Traian(i) Pa]rthic(i) f(ilio) divi / [Nerv(ae) nep(oti) Traia(no) Hadria]no Aug(usto) pontif(ici) m(aximo) / [trib(unicia) pot(estate) —-p(ater) p(atriae) vexil(latio) leg(ionis)] XIII G(eminae) sub Tib(erio) Cl(audio) / [?Constante ?proc(uratore) Aug(usti) pro leg(ato) ?c(uram) a]g(ente) Antonin[i]an[o ?c(enturione)]

2. RIB 2191 = RIGlasgow 22
Dating: AD 139-143
Province: Britannia
Location: Balmuildy (GB)
[Imp(eratori) C(aesari) T(ito) Ael(io) Hadr(iano)] / [Antonino Aug(usto) Pio] / [p(atri)] p(atriae) leg(io) II Au[g(usta) sub] / Q(uinto) Lollio Ur[bico] / leg(ato) Aug(usti) pr(o) pr(aetore) [fec(it)]

3. CIL VII 473 = RIB 1149 = AE 1947, 128
Dating: AD 163
Province: Britannia
Location: Corbridge/Corstopitum (GB)
Imperato[ribus Caesaribus] / M(arco) Aurelio A[ntonino Aug(usto) tribuniciae] / potestati[s XVII?] co(n)s(uli) [III et L(ucio) Aur] / [elio Vero Aug(usto)] A[rmeniaco trib] / [uniciae potestati]s I[II] co[(n)s(uli)] II / [vexillatio leg(ionis) XX] V(aleriae) v(ictricis) fecit su[b c]ura / [Sexti Calpurni] Agrico[l]ae / [legati Augustoru]m pr(o) pr(aetore)

4. RIB 1137 = CSIR-GB-01-01, 59 = AE 1912, 199 = AE 1914, 188
Dating: AD 162-166
Province: Britannia
Location: Corbridge/Corstopitum (GB)
[[Soli Invicto]] / vexillatio / leg(ionis) VI Vic(tricis) P(iae) F(idelis) f(ecit) / sub cura Sex(ti) / Calpurni Agrico / lae leg(ati) Aug(usti) pr(o) pr(aetore)

5. AE 1939, 213 = AE 1940, +143 = AE 1948, 218
Dating: AD 198
Province: Aegyptus
Location: ?
[Imp(eratori) Caes(ari) L(ucio) Septimio Severo Pio Pertinaci Aug(usto) Arabi]co Adiabenico Par[th]ico maximo p(atri) p(atriae) p(ontifici) m(aximo) trib(unicia) [pot(estate) VI imp(eratori) XI] / [co(n)s(uli) III proc(onsuli) et Imp(eratori) Caes(ari) M(arco) Aurelio Antonino Aug(usto) Imp(eratoris) Caes(aris) L(uci) Septimi Severi Pi[i P]ertinacis Aug(usti) fil(io) et Septim[io Getae Caes(ari)] / [Aug(usto) Imp(eratoris) Caes(aris) L(uci) Septimi Severi Pii Pertinacis Aug(usti) fi]lio et Imp(eratoris) Caes(aris) M(arci) Aureli Antonini Aug(usti) [[f[ratri]]] Q(uintus) Anicius] / [Faustus leg(atus) Augg(ustorum) pr(o) pr(aetore) 3

6. *per vexillationem leg(ionis)] III Aug(ustae) P(iae) V(indicis) et vexil[lati]o{e}nem leg(ionis) III [[Gal(licae)]] praetend[entes] / [castello Dimmidi curam agentibus Ul]pio Vindice et Cuso[nio] Ianuario ||(centurionibus) leg(ionum)]*

7. CIL VII 482 = RIB 1151 = AE 1947, 106
Dating: AD 207-208
Province: Britannia
Location: Corbridge/Corstopitum (GB)
Imp(erator) Caes(ar) L(ucius) Sep(timius) [S]everus Pi(us) / Pertinax et Imp(erator) C[a]esar M(arcus) / Aur(elius)] Pius Aug / usti [[et P(ublius) Septi[mi]us Geta]] / [[Caesar]] horre[u]m [per] / vexillatione[m leg(ionis) 3] / fecerunt su[b L(ucio?) Alfeno?] / [Senecione? leg(ato) Augg(ustorum) pr(o) pr(aetore)]

8. CIL III 3653 = RIU-03, 771 = D 775 = AE 1999, 1264. c
Dating: AD 370
Province: Pannonia Superior
Location: Esztergom/Brigetio (H)
Iudicio principali dominorum nostrorum / Valentiniani Velentis et Gratiani / principum maximorum dispositione etiam / illustris viri utriusque militiae magistri / equitis comitis Foscanus praepositus / legionis primae martiorum una cum / militibus sibi creditis hunc burgum / cui nomen commercium qua cause et factus / est a fundamentis et construxit et / ad summam manum operis in diebus XXXXVIII / consulatus / divi nostri Gratiani Augusti bis / et Probi viri clarissimi fecit pervenire

Part 3: Datable inscriptions. Combined workforce of the legions and the *auxilia*

1. CIL III 6627 = CIL III 14147 = D 2483 = IGLAlexa 179 = Bosch 49 = AE 2001, +2048
Dating: *ante* Antoninus Pius' reign
Province: Aegyptus
Location: Mons Claudianus (ET)
[Coh(ors) quarta] / |(centuria) Longi / C(aius) Marcius C(ai) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Alexand(rinus) / |(centuria) Catti // L(ucius) Longinus L(uci) f(ilius) Ser(gia) Tavio(nensis) / |(centuria) Vedi / L(ucius) Licinius L(uci) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Sebastop(olitanus) / |(centuria) Servati / M(arcus) Lollius M(arci) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Anc(yranus) / |(centuria) Caecili / C(aius) Cornelius C(ai) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Anc(yranus) |(centuria) Aquilae / C(aius) Sossius C(ai) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Pompeiop(olitanus) / coh(ors) quinta / |(centuria) Publili C(aius) Didius C(ai) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Ancyr(anus) / |(centuria) Gausidi / C(aius) Helvius C(ai) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Gang(renus) / |(centuria) Iustiana // T(itus) Antonius T(iti) f(ilius) Ser(gia) Tav(ionensis) / |(centuria) Licini Veri / C(aius) Sentius C(ai) f(ilius) Ser(gia) Tavio(nensis) / |(centuria) Numeri / C(aius) Iulius C(ai) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Alexan(drinus) // |(centuria) Lucretiana / L(ucius) Iulius L(uci) f(ilius) Gal(eria) Lugdun(ensis) / coh(ors) sexta / |(centuria) Treboni / M(arcus) Valerius M(arci) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Sid(etes) // |(centuria) Curti / C(aius) Valerius C(ai) f(ilius) Pap(iria) Nicae(us) / |(centuria) Mini / C(aius) Granius C(ai) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Anc(yranus) / |(centuria) Coti // C(aius) Valerius C(ai) f(ilius) Gal(eria) Lugd(unensis) / |(centuria) Curiati / C(aius) Trebius C(ai) f(ilius) Pup(inia) Paraet(oniensis) / |(centuria) Galbae / C(aius) Aufidius C(ai) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Casta(baleus) / cohors septima [// [Cohors quarta] / |(centuria) Etri / L(ucius) Longinus L(uci) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Ancyr(anus) / |(centuria) Vetti Rufi // C(aius) Longinus C(ai) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Alex(andrinus) / |(centuria) Casti / M(arcus) Cassius M(arci) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Isind(ensis) / |(centuria) C(ai) Mammi / M(arcus) Petronius M(arci) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Alex(andrinus) // |(centuria) P(ubli) Mammi / Cn(aeus) Otacilius Cn(aei) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Anc(yranus) / |(centuria)

Oeniana / M(arcus) Longinus M(arci) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Eten(nensis) / coh(ors) quinta // |(centuria) Canini / C(aius) Valerius C(ai) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Anc(yranus) / |(centuria) M(arci) Corneli / M(arcus) Iulius M(arci) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Alex(andrinus) / |(centuria) Materni // M(arcus) Lollius M(arci) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Ancyr(anus) / |(centuria) Cliterniana / Sex(tus) Lusius Sex(ti) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Tavio(nensis) / |(centuria) Clementis / C(aius) Vibius C(ai) f(ilius) Ani(ensi) Ver<c=G>(ellensis) // |(centuria) Gavisidiana / C(aius) Aufidius C(ai) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Anc(yranus) / coh(ors) sexta / |(centuria) Firmi C(aius) Spedius C(ai) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Cyren(aeus) // |(centuria) Longi C(aius) Antonius C(ai) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Alex(andrinus) / |(centuria) Flacci / P(ublius) Papirius P(ubli) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Anc(yranus) / |(centuria) Vari // C(aius) Longinus C(ai) f(ilius) Pol(lia) Cast(abaleus) / |(centuria) Pacci / P(ublius) Flavius P(ubli) f(ilius) Anien(si) Paph(arensis) / |(centuria) Hordioni / C(aius) Romanius C(ai) f(ilius) Fab(ia) Ber(ytensis) / coh(ors) septima / [// Alarum trium dec(uriae) quinque / dupl(arius) unus sesquiplic(arii) quattuor / equites quadringenti quattuor et viginti // coh(ors) prima Theb(aeorum) cui prae(e)st / Sex(tus) Pompeius Merula [praefectus] / |(centurio) C(aius) Terentius Maximus / |(centurio) C(aius) Iulius Montanus // |(centurio) L(ucius) Domitius Aper / sum(ma) centuriones tres / f(iunt) s(upra) s(criptae) coh(ortes) septem |(centuriae) decem / eq(uites) unus et sexaginta mil(ites) septingenti octoginta et octo per eosdem qui supra scripti sunt / lac{c} i aedificati et dedicati sunt / Apollonos Hydreum(!) a(nte) septimum K(alendas) Ianuarias / compasi K(alendis) Augustis // Berenicide [ante] decimum octavum K(alendas) Ianuar(ias) / Myos Hormi Id[ib]us Ianuar(iis) castra{m} aedificaverunt et refecerunt

2. CIL III 14370,02 = CIL XIII 4 p 69 = D 5338 (p 185) = IBR 291 = AE 1899, 195
Dating: AD 181

Province: Raetia
Location: Böhming (D)
Imp(eratori) Caes(ari) Luc(io) Aur(elio) Antoni(n)
o / Aug(usto) [[Commodo]] Armen(iaco)
Parth(ico) / Germ(a)n(ico) Sarm(atico) trib(unicia)
pot(estate) VI co(nsuli) III p(atri) p(atriae) / Spicio Ceriale
leg(ato) Aug(usti) pr(o) pr(aetore) vex(illarii) / leg(ionis)
III Ital(icae) vallum fece(runt) c(uram) a(gente)
Iul(io) / Iulino c(enturione) leg(ionis) III Ital(icae) item
portas cum / turrib(us) IIII perfec(tas) ab Ael(io) Forte
[c(enturione)] / leg(ionis) III Ital(icae) praep(osito) coh(ortis) I
Br(eucorum) Imp(eratore) III Bur(ro) [...co(n)s(ulibus)]

3. AE 1948, 214
Dating: AD 195
Province: Numidia
Location: Messad / Castellum Dimmidi (DZ)
Imp(eratori) Caes(ari) L(ucio) Septimio Severo Pio
Pertinaci Aug(usto) Ar[ab(ico) Adiabenico Part(hico)
maximo p(atri) patriae pont(ifici) max(imo) trib(unicia)
pot(estate) VI] / imp(eratori) VI co(n)s(uli) II (p)roco(n)
s(uli) et Imp(eratori) C[a]es(ari) M(arco) Aurelio Antonin[o
Aug(usto) Aug(usti) n(ostri) filio(?) et [[L(ucio) Septimio
Getae]] / [[C[ae]s(ari)]] Aug(usto) Imp(eratoris) L(uci) Septimi
Severi Pii Pertinacis Aug(usti) n(ostri) f(ilio) [et Imp(eratoris)
M(arci) Aureli Antonini Aug(usti) fratri / Q(uintus) Anicius
Faustus leg(atus) Aug(usti) pr(o) pr(aetore) leg(ionis)
f(ecit) per leg(ionem) [III Aug(ustam) P(iam) V(indicem) et
vexillationem leg(ionis) III Gallicae] et ala(m) pr(imam)
Pan(noniorum) curante Fl(avio) Supero praef(ecto) al(ae)
Pan[noniorum Saturnino et Gallo co(n)s(ulibus)]

4. CIL VIII 8797b = AE 1940, 141 = AE 1948, 215
Dating: AD 197?
Province: Numidia
Location: 'Ain el Msad, Mechta (DZ)
[Imp(eratori) Caes(ari) L(ucio) Septimio Severo Pio
Pertinaci Aug(usto) Arab(ico) Adiab(enico) Part(hico)
max(imo) p(atri) p(atriae) pont(ifici) ma[x(imo)] trib(unicia)
p(otestate) VI / [imp(eratori) XI co(n)s(uli) II proc(onsuli)
et Imp(eratori) Caes(ari) M(arco) Aurelio Antonino
Aug(usto) Imp(eratoris)] Caes(aris) L(uci) S[e]p[ti]m[i] Severi
Pert[i]nacis Aug(usti) fil(io) / [et L(ucio) Septimio Getae
Imp(eratoris) Caes(aris) L(uci) Septimi Severi Pii Pertinaci]s
Aug(usti) n(ostri) f(ilio) [et Im]p(eratoris) Cae[s(aris)] M(arci)
[A]ureli Anto / [nini Aug(usti) fratri 3 Q(uintus) Anicius
Faustus co(n)s(ul?) designatus? leg(atus) Aug(usti)] pr(o)
pr(aetore) leg(ionis) III A[ug(ustae)] P(iae) V(indicis) f(ecit)
per vexilla / [tionem leg(ionis) III Aug(ustae) P(iae) V(indicis)
et vexil(lationem) leg(ionis) III Gal(licae) et ala(m) pr(imam)
Pan(noniorum) cura]nte Flav[io Su]per[o] p[r]aef(ecto)
eq(uitum) al(ae) I P / [annon(iorum) Gallo et Satur]nin[o
co(n)s(ulibus)]

5. CIL VIII 3 = IRT 895
Dating: AD 222-235
Province: Africa Proconsularis
Location: Al Qaryah ash Sharqiyah, Gheriat el-Gharbia (LY)
Imp(eratori) Caes(ari) M(arco) Aurel(io) / Seve[r]
o [[[Alexandr]o]] / Pio Fe[l]ic[i] Aug(usto) [[[et
Iuliae]]] / [[[Mamaeae Augustae matri Aug(usti)]]] et
cas / trorum M(arcus) A[3] / [[[c(enturio) leg(ionis) III
Aug(ustae) P(iae) V(indicis)] S[e]v]]erian(a)e pr(a)epo / situs
vex[ill]ationis leg(ionis) eius / dem burgum [a] solo per
eandem / vexillationem instituit

Part 4: Datable inscriptions. *Auxilia*

1. CIL VIII 21820 = ILM 43 = IAM-02-02, 821 = D 9175 =
IAM-S, 821 = AE 1891, 115 = AE 1966, 605
Dating: AD 41-99
Province: Mauretania Tingitana
Location: Ain Schkour / Volubilis (MA)
[Ge]nio loci / [F]l(avius?) Neon praef(ectus) / [c]oh(ortis)
Astur(um) et Call(aecorum) / [p]raetorium per m[a] / nus
commil(itum) a s[o]lo / composuit et fecit

2. IKoeln 252 = AE 1984, 667 = AE 1991, 1251 =
AE 2007, +105
Dating: AD 100
Province: Germania Inferior
Location: Köln / Colonia Claudia Ara Agrippinensium (D)
[Imp(erator) Caesar divi] Nerva[e] / [f(ilius) Nerva T]
raian(us) / [Aug(ustus) Germanicus] co(n)s(ul) III / [3]
E leg(ato) Aug(usti) pr(o) p(raetore) / [per coh(ortem) I
Fl(aviam) Hisp]an(orum) P(iam) F(idelem) eq(uitibus) / [et
ped(itibus) singul(aribus)] armam(entarium) / [fecit]

3. IDR-02, 14 = ILD 51 = AE 1959, 309
Dating: AD 103-105
Province: Dacia
Location: Drobeta Turnu Severin / Drobeta (RO)
[Imp(erator)] Caes(ar) di[vi Ner] / [vae f(ilius)] Nerva
Tra[ianus] / [Aug(ustus) Ger]m(anicus) Dacic(us)
p[ont(ifex)] / [max(imus) trib(unicia)] potest(ate) co(n)s(ul)
[p(ater) p(atriae)] / [3 per co]h(ortem) I Antio[ch(ensium)]

4. RIB 1340
Dating: AD 122-124
Province: Britannia
Location: Benwell / Condercum (GB)
Imp(eratori) Caes(ari) Traiano / Hadr[ia]n(o)
Aug(usto) / A(ulo) Platorio N[epote l]eg(ato) Aug(usti) pr(o)
p[r(aetore)] / vexillatio c[lassis] Britan(nicae)

5. RIB 1820 = CSIR-GB-01-06, 264 = AE 1947, 108 =
AE 1958, 117
Dating: AD 136-138
Province: Britannia
Location: Carvoran/Magnis (GB)
|(Centuria) Silvani / vallavit / p(edes) CXII sub / Fla(vio)
Secundo / [pr]aef(ecto)

6. Davies 1967
Dating: AD 122-142
Province: Britannia
Location: Carrawburgh/Brocolitia (GB)
[Imp. Caes. Traiano / Had]ri[ano Aug] / COS / [co]h I
Tvn[gr] / fec

7. RIB 2155 = RIGlasgow 18 = CSIR-GB-01-04, 80
Dating: AD 142
Province: Britannia
Location: Castlecary (GB)
Imp(eratori) Caes(ari) T(ito) Ael(io) Ant(onino) / Aug(usto)
Pio p(atri) p(atriae) / coh(ors) I Tungro / rum fecit m(illiaria)

8. RIB 2145 = D 9176 = AE 1904, 29
Dating: AD 142-143
Province: Britannia
Location: Rough Castle (GB)
[Imp(eratori) Ca]esari Tito / [Aelio] Hadriano / [Anto]nino
Aug(usto) / [Pio] p(atri) p(atriae) coh(ors) VI / [Ner]viorum
pri / [nci]pia fecit

9. RIB 1550 = AE 1947, 129
Dating: Hadrian's reign
Province: Britannia
Location: Carrawburgh/Brocolitia (GB)
]v[er]o leg(ato) / [Aug(usti) pr(o) p]r(aetore) coh(ors) I
Aquit / [anorum] fecit / [sub 3]io Nepote / [pra]ef(ecto)

10. CIL VII 1041 = RIB 1276
Dating: AD 139-142
Province: Britannia
Location: High Rochester/Bremenium (GB)
Imp(eratori) Caes(ari) T(ito) Aelio / H[a]d(riano) Antonino
Aug(usto) Pio p(atri) p(atriae) / sub Q(uinto) Lol(lio)
Urbico / leg(ato) Aug(usti) pro prae(tore) / coh(ors) I
Ling(onum) / eq(uitata) f(ecit)

11. AE 1906, 112
Dating: AD 143
Province: Dacia
Location: Gherla (RO)
[Imp(erator) Caes(ar) di]vi Hadriani fil(ius) [divi] / [Traiani
P]arthici nepo[s divi] / [Nervae p]ronepos T(itus)
Ae[lius] / [Hadrianus A]ntoninus Aug(ustus)
[Pius] / [tribunicia] potest(ate) VI co(n)s(ul) [III p(ater)
p(atriae)] / [fecit per ala]m II Pannoni[orum]

12. CIL III 6025 (p 1213) = D 2615
Dating: AD 140-147
Province: Aegyptus
Location: Aswan/Syene (ET)
Imp(eratore) Caesar(e) T(ito) Aelio Hadriano / Antonino
Aug(usto) Pio p(atre) p(atriae) / coh(ors) I Fl(avia) Cil(icum)
eq(uitata) basilicam fecit per / C(aium) Avidium Heliodorum
praef(ectum) Aeg(ypti) et / T(itum) Flavium Vergilianum
praef(ectum) castr(orum) / cura agente Statilio Tauro
|(centurione) leg(ionis) II Tr(aianae) F(ortis) / curatore
coh(ortis) eiusdem

13. AE 1986, 528 = AE 1989, 579 = AE 1995, +45
Dating: after AD 171-172
Province: Raetia
Location: Aalen (D)
[Imp(eratori) Caes(ari)] M(arco) Aur[elio Anto] / [nino
Aug(usto)] p(ontifici) m(aximo) t[ribunicia] / [pot(estate)
XVIII] imp(eratori) II [co(n)s(uli) III p(atri) p(atriae)]
et / [imp(eratori) Caes(ari) L(ucio)] Aureli[o Vero
Aug(usto)] / [Armenia]co trib(unicia) pot(estate) III[I
imp(eratori) II] / [co(n)s(uli) II su]b cura Bai P[uden] / [tis
proc(uratoris) per ala]m II F[l(aviam) M(illiariam) P(iam)
F(idelem)] / fecit [3]ius Lo[lli]an[us praef(ectus)]

14. AE 1989, 583 = AE 1995, +45 = AE 2001, +1566
Dating: after AD 171-172
Province: Raetia
Location: Aalen (D)
c]o(n)s(uli) II[3] / [feci]t al(a) II F[l(avia) M(illiaria) p(ia)
f(idelis) cui praeest] / [3]r() Vetus p[raef(ectus) 3 sub] / [cura]
Scribon[i 3] / [leg(ati) Aug(usti)] pr(o) praet(ore) pr[ovinciae
Raetiae]

15. AE 1989, 584 = AE 1995, +45
Dating: after AD 171-172
Province: Raetia
Location: Aalen (D)
] / L(ucius) Vi[3] / sub [cura 3 leg(ati) Aug(usti)] / pr(o)
[pr(aetore) provinciae Raetiae 3] / [fecit]

16. IMS-01, 116 = D 9174 = AE 1901, 24 = CIL III
14537
Dating: AD 179
Province: Moesia Superior
Location: Stojnik (SRB)
Valetu / dinarium / coh(ortis) II Aur(eliae) / nov(ae)
|(milliariae) equit(atae) c(ivium) R(omanorum)
T(itus) Bebeni / us Iustus prae(fectus) / Imp(eratore)
C[[ommodo]] / II et Vero II co(n)s(ulibus)

17. CIL XIII 6542 (4, p 100)
Dating: end of Marcus Aurelius' reign
Province: Germania Superior
Location: Öhringen/Vicus Aurelius (D)

Liberoru]mque [3] / [3] eiu[s 3] / [Ne]mesi P(ublio) Cor(nelio) An[ulli] / [n]o leg(ato) Aug(usti) pr(o) pr(aetore) / coh(ors) I Helve(tiorum) et Brit(tones) et [n(umerus)] / Aure(lianenses) sub cura G(ai) V[al(eri)] / Titi |(centurionis) leg(ionis) ex corn(iculario) [co(n)s(ularis?)]

18. CIL XIII 6543 (4, p 100)
Dating: end of Marcus Aurelius' reign
Province: Germania Superior
Location: Öhringen/Vicus Aurelius (D)
]IO[3] / [3 liberoru]mq(ue?) et T[3] / [3]DE P(ublio) Corne[lio Anul] / [lin]o leg(ato) Aug(usti) p[r(o) pr(aetore)] / [coh(ors) I] Helve(tiorum) et Brit(ones) [et num(erus)] / [Aure(lianenses) sub] cur(a) C(ai) V[aleri] / [Titi |(centurionis) leg(ionis)] ex cor[nicul(ario?) co(n)s(ularis?)]

19. AE 1983, 730
Dating: AD 182
Province: Raetia
Location: Ellingen (D)
[I]mp(eratori) Caes(ari) [[M(arco) A[u]r(elio) [Co]mmod[o]]] / Antonino Aug(usto) Ger(manico) Sar(matico) maxim(o) / trib(unicia) po[t(estate)] co(n)s(uli) III p(atri) p(atriae) kastel(li) Sablonet(i) mu / rum cum portis lapidi(bus) substitu / tum iussu Q(uinti) Spici Cerialis leg(ati) Aug(usti) / pro pr(aetore) M[a]mertino et Rufo co(n)s(ulibus) pe / r singulares pedites cura(m) agente / Aur(elio) Argivo c(enturione) leg(ionis) III Ital(icae)

20. CIL XIII 11757 = AE 1912, 00122
Dating: AD 187
Province: Germania Superior
Location: Öhringen/Vicus Aurelius (D)
[N]ym[p]his / pro salute et Vi/ctoria Imp(eratoris) [[C[o]m]]/[[modi]] Antonini / Aug(usti) Pii [[Felici[s]]] ius / su Clementis / Dextriani leg(ati) / Aug(usti) pr(o) pr(aetore) quod / aqua non esse[t] / induxit per Iul(ium) De / [m] etrianum |(centurionem) leg(ionis) V[III] / Aug(ustae) P(iae) F(idelis) C(onstantis) [[Commod(ianae)]] / per pedes / Crispino et Aeliano co(n)s(ulibus)

21. CIL III 1374 (p 1402) = IDR-03-03, 45 = AE 2004, +1208
Dating: AD 193
Province: Dacia
Location: Veţel/Micia (RO)
Imp(erator) Caes(ar) L(ucius) Septimius Severus / Pertinax Aug(ustus) co(n)s(ul) balne / as coh(ortis) II Fl(aviae) Commag(enorum) ve / tustate dilabsas resti / tuit sub Polo Terentia / no co(n)s(ulari) III Daciar(um) curante Sex(to) Boebio Scribonio Casto / praef(ecto) coh(ortis)

22. AE 1975, 870
Dating: AD 197
Province: Africa Proconsularis

Location: Remada/Tillibari (TN)
[Imp(eratori) Caes(ari) L(ucio)] Sep[timio S]ev[ero Per] / [ti] naci Aug(usto) Pi[o] Parthico [Ara] / [bico P]arthic[o A]d[ia] benico p(atri) p(atriae) / [trib(unicia) pot(estate)] V c[o(n) s(uli) II imp(eratori) VIII(?)] Q(uinto) Anicio Fausto / [leg(ato)] Aug(usti) p[r]o pr(aetore) c(larissimo) v(iro) aedem / [an] norum vetust[at]e dila / [psa]m a solo restituit et per[f] ecit / [3] M(arcus) Valerius L[epi]dus praef(ectus) coh(ortis) II [Fl(aviae)] Afr(orum)

23. CIL XIII 8824 (4, p 145) = D 9178
Dating: AD 197
Province: Germania Inferior
Location: Roomburg (NL)
Imp(erator) Caes(ar) L(ucius) Septimius Sever / us Aug(ustus) et M(arcus) Aurelius Antonin / us Caes(ar) coh(ortis) XV vol(untariorum) arma / mentarium vetustate conla / bsum restituerunt sub Val(erio) Pu / dente leg(ato) Aug(usti) pr(o) pr(aetore) cura(nte) et Caecil(io) Batone // PRE[

24. ILAfr 9 = ILTun 1 = ILPBardo-01, 22 = D 9177 = AE 1909, 104 = AE 1986, 704
Dating: AD 198
Province: Africa Proconsularis
Location: Si Aoun/Praesidium (TN)
Pro salute Impp(eratorum) nn(ostrorum) / L(uci) Septimi Severi Pertinac(is) / et M(arci) Aureli Antonini Augg(ustorum) / et L(uci) Septimi [[Getae]] Caesaris / Q(uintus) Anicius Faustus co(n)s(ul) de(signatus) / praesidium poni iussit sub / cura Aemili Emeriti dec(urionis) al(ae) / praepositi coh(ortis) II Fl(aviae) Afr(orum) et n(umeri) col(lati)

25. AE 1995, 1790 = AE 2002, 86
Dating: AD 198-199
Province: Mauretania Caesariensis
Location: Taraess/Tatilti (DZ)
[Impp(eratores) Caess(ares)] | [L. Septimius Severus] | [et M. Aurelius Ant]oninus | [Augg(usti) —-]s [pr]opagatores | [imperi] mur[um?) h[iber]na(culorum?) coh(ortis) IIII | S[y] g(ambrorum) per C. Octa[vi]um Puden|tem Caesium Ho[n] oratum [v(irum) p(erfectissimum)] | proc(uratorem) suum a cen[si]bus [—-] | [—- constituerunt?]

26. CIL III 1343 (p 1402) = IDR-03-03, 77 = AE 1972, +487 = AE 1978, +705
Dating: AD 200?
Province: Dacia
Location: Veţel/Micia (RO)
sal(ute) dd(ominorum) nn(ostrorum) / [Severi] et Anton(ini) / [[et Getae Caes(aris?)]] / [1]CVIL[3]DEP / a[l] ae Ba[t(avorum)] al(ae) Cam(pagonum) / sub cur(a) Iul(i) / Tere(n)tiani pr(a)ef(ecti) / coh(ortis) s(a)g(ittariorum) coh(ortis) I Alp(inorum) / n(umeri) M(aurorum)

Tib(iscensium) n(umeri) / [G]erm(anicianorum) [n(umeri) Cam] / [p]estr(orum) [3] / [3]S[3] / [3]MO[3]I[3] / [praefect]us coh(ortis) I[I Fl(aviae)] / [Comma]g(enorum) [

28. CIL III 14485a = D 9179 = IDR-02, 174 = ILD 92 = AE 1987, 839
Dating: AD 201
Province: Dacia
Location: Bumbeşti-Jiu (RO)
Imp(erator) Caes(ar) L(ucius) Septimius Severus Pius Pertinax Augustus Arabic[us] / Adiab(enicus) Part(hicus) maximus pontifex maximus trib(unicia) pot(estate) VIIII imp(erator) XI e[t] / Imp(erator) Caes(ar) M(arcus) Aur(elius) Antoninus Pius Felix Aug(ustus) trib(unicia) pot(estate) III muros cesp[it(icios)] / castro[ru]m coh(ortis) I A[u] reliae Brittonum |(milliariae) Antoniniana(e) vetust(ate) dil[apsos] / lapide eos restitue[r]unt per Octavium Iulianum leg(atum) ipso[rum] / pr(o) pr(aetore)

28. CIL III 13800 = AE 1896, 62 = IDR-02, 496 = AE 1998, 40
Dating: AD 205
Province: Dacia
Location: ?
[I]m[p(erator) Caes(ar)] L(ucius) Sep[t(imius)] Sever[us Pius] Pe[rt(inax)] Aug(ustus) / [Ar]ab(icus) Ad[iab(enicus)] Parth(icus) max(imus) trib(unicia) pot(estate) XIII / [imp]erat[or XI co(n)s(ul) III p(ater) p(atriae) et / [Imp(erator)] Caes(ar) M(arcus) [Aur(elius) A]nt[o]ni[n]us Pius Aug(ustus) co(n)s(ul) II / [p]ontif(ex) max(imus) [tr(ibunicia) pot(estate) V]IIII alae I Hispanor(um) / [a funda]m[enti]s(?) feceru[n]t

29. IDR-02, 499 = ILD 130
Dating: AD 205
Province: Dacia
Location: Slăveni (RO)
[Imp(erator) Caes(ar) L(ucius) Septi]m(ius) Severus Pius [Pert(inax) Aug(ustus) Arab(icus) Adiab(enicus)] / [Parth(icus) max(imus) pont(ifex) m]ax(imus) trib(unicia) pot(estate) XIII [imp(erator) XI co(n)s(ul) III p(ater) p(atriae)] / [pr(o)co(n) s(ul) Imp(erator) Caes(ar) M(arcus) Aur]el(ius) Antoninu[s Pius Felix Aug(ustus) trib(unicia)] / [pot(estate) IX co(n)s(ul) II p(ater) p(atriae) p]r(o)co(n)s(ul) L(ucius) Septimi[us Geta nobil(issimus) Caes(ar) co(n)s(ul)] / [3 basil]icam dederu[nt alae I Hisp(anorum)] / [Ant]oninian[ae Piae Fidelis]

30. RIB 1234 = D 2618 = CSIR-GB-01-01, 295
Dating: AD 205/207
Province: Britannia
Location: Risingham/Habitancum (GB)
[Impp(eratoribus) Caess(aribus) L(ucio)] / [Sept(imio) Severo Pio Pertin] / [aci Arab(ico) Adi]ab(enico) Part(h)[i]co maxi(mo) / co(n)s(uli) III et M(arco) Aurel(io) Antonino Pio / co(n)s(uli) II Augg(ustis) [[et P(ublio) Sept(imio) Getae nob(ilissimo) Caes(ari)]] / portam cum muris

vetustate di / lapsis iussu Alfeni Senecionis v(iri) c(larissimi) / co(n)s(ularis) curante Oclatinio Advento proc(uratore) / Augg(ustorum) nn(ostrorum) coh(ors) I Vang(i)onum m(illiaria) eq(uitata) / cum Aem[i]l(io) Salviano trib(uno) / suo a solo restit(uit)

31. CIL VII 269 = RIB 722 = CSIR-GB-01-03, 107 = AE 1969/70, 328
Dating: AD 205/207
Province: Britannia
Location: Bainbridge (GB)
Imp(eratori) Caesari L(ucio) Septimio [Severo] / Pio Pert[i]naci Augu[sto et] / Imp(eratori) Caesari M(arco) Aurelio A[ntonino] / Pio Feli[ci] Augusto et P(ublio) S[[[eptimio]]] / [[[Getae nobilissimo Caesari]] vallum cum] / bracchio caementicium [fecit coh(ors)] / VI Nervio[ru]m sub cura L(uci) A[lfeni] / Senecion[is] amplissimi [co(n) s(ularis)] institit] / operi L(ucius) Vin[ici]us Pius praef(ectus) [coh(ortis) |(centurio)] / [l]egio[nis

32. RIB 1909 = CSIR-GB-01-06, 267 = IDRE-01, 201 = AE 1930, 113 = AE 1947, 107
Dating: AD 205-208
Province: Britannia
Location: Birdoswald/Camboglanna (GB)
Impp(eratoribus) Caess(aribus) L(ucio) / Sept(imio) Severo Pio / Pert(inaci) et M(arco) Aur(elio) A[nt]o / nino Augg(ustis) [[[et P(ublio) Sep(timio)]]] / [[[Getae nob(ilissimo) Caes(ari)]]] hor / reum fecer(unt) coh(ortes) I Ael(ia) / Dac(orum) et I T(h)racum c(ivium) R(omanorum) sub / Alfeno Senecione co(n)s(ulari) / per Aurel(ium) Iulianum tr(ibunum)

33. AE 1989, 580 = AE 1995, +45 = AE 2001, +1566
Dating: AD 208
Province: Raetia
Location: Aalen (D)
[I]mp(eratori) [C]aes(ari) [L(ucio)] Sept(imio) Severo P[io Pe] rt[inaci] / [A]ug(usto) [Ar]ab(ico) Adiab(enico) P[ar]t(hico) max(imo) [pontif(ici) max(imo)] / [t]rib(unicia) [po]t(estate) XVI im[p(eratori) XII co(n)s(uli) III proco(n)s(uli) p(atri) p(atriae) et] / imp(eratori) [Cae]s(ari) M(arco) [Aurelio Antonino Pio Fel(ici)] / Au[g(usto) tri]b(unicia) p[ot(estate) XI co(n)s(uli)] III imp[(eratori) II proco(n)s(uli) et] / [[P(ublio) S[eptimio Getae] Caes(ari)]] [al(a) II Fl(avia) M(milliaria) p(ia) f(idelis)] / [cui praeest 3]ius [3 sub cura] / [3 Acutiani] c(larissimi) [v(iri) le]g(ati) Au[gg(ustorum) pro praet(ore)] / [provinciae Raet]iae [pr]in[cipia restituit]

34. AE 1989, 581 = AE 1995, +45 = AE 2001, +1566
Dating: AD 208
Province: Raetia
Location: Aalen (D)
[Imp(eratori) Caes(ari) L(ucio) Sept(imio) Severo Pio Pertinaci] / [Aug(usto) Arab(ico) Adiab(enico) Part(hico)

max(imo) pont(ifici) max(imo)] / [trib(unicia) pot(estate) XVI co(n)s(uli) III i]mp(eratori) XII [proco(n)s(uli) p(atri) p(atriae) et] / imp(eratori) Caes(ari) M(arco) [Aurelio Ant]on[ino Pio Fel(ici)] / Aug(usto) trib(unicia) p[ot(estate) XI co(n)s(uli) III imp(eratori) II proco(n)s(uli) et] / [P(ublio) S[e]pt(imio) [Get]ae Cae[s(ari)]]] al(a) II Fl(avia) M(milliaria) p(ia) f(idelis) pr]ae / tor[i]um cum pri[ncipiis vetust]at[e] / conlap[sis restituit sub cura 3] / A[cu]tian[i c(larissimi) v(iri) leg(ati) Augg(ustorum) pro praet(ore)]

35. AE 1989, 582 = AE 1995, +45 = AE 2001, +1566
Dating: AD 208
Province: Raetia
Location: Aalen (D)
[Imp(eratori) Caes(ari) L(ucio) S]e[ptimio Severo] Pio [Pert(inaci)] / [Aug(usto) Arab(ico) Adiab(enico) [Part(hico) max(imo) pont(ifici) max(imo)] / [trib(unicia) pot(estate) X]VI imp(eratori) XI[I co(n)s(uli) III proco(n) s(uli) p(atri) p(atriae) et] / [Imp(eratori) Caes(ari)] M(arco) Aur(elio) An[tonino Pio Felici] / [Aug(usto) trib(unicia)] pot(estate) <X=V>I i[mp(eratori) II co(n)s(uli) III proco(n) s(uli) et] / [[P(ublio) Sept(imio) Getae Caes(ari)]] al(a) [II Fl(avia) M(milliaria) p(ia) f(idelis) 3] / vetustate co]nla[psis? restituit] / [sub cura 3] / [A]cutia[ni leg(ati) Augg(ustorum) pr(o) pr(aetore)]

36. CIL VIII 22602 = CIL VIII 22603 = CIL VIII 22604 = D 5850 = AE 1892, 116 = AE 1893, 105
Dating: AD 197-211
Province: Mauretania Caesariensis
Location: Timziouin (DZ)
Imp(erator) Caesar [L(ucius)] / Septimius Seve / [rus Pius Pertinax / [et M(arcus) Aurelius] / [Anto]ninus [Augg(usti)] / [Arabb(ici) Adiabb(enici) Par[thi] / ci maximi et [[[P(ublius) Sep]]] / [[[timius) Geta]] nobi / lissimus Caesar / miliaria n[o]va{e} / praetenturae / poni iusserunt / curante P(ublio) Aelio / Peregrino p[r] oc(uratore) / Augg[g(ustorum)] coh(o)r(tis) I Pann(oniorum) / a Lucu m(ilia) p(assuum) III

37. Kennedy 2000, 93
Dating: AD 213/214
Province: Arabia
Location: Qasr el-Hallabat (HKJ)
Pro salute domini imp(eratoris) / Aug(usti) n(ostri) M(arci) Aureli Antonini Pii / Felicis Arabici Adiab(enici) Parthici / Brittannici Maximi castellum nov / um aedificaverunt mil(ites) c(o)h(ortium) VI Hisp(anorum), I Thrac(um), V Afr(orum) Sev(e)r(ianae), IIII RR, per Phurnium Iulianum leg(atum) / Aug(usti) pr(o) pr(aetore)

38. CIL VII 1044 = CIL VII 1045 = RIB 1280 = AE 1938, 117
Dating: AD 220

Province: Britannia
Location: High Rochester/Bremenium (GB)
Imp(eratori) Caes(ari) M(arco) Au[r]elio / [[Antonino]] Pio Fel(ici) Aug(usto) / trib(unicia) pot(estate) [[III]] co(n)s(uli) [[[III]]] p[roco(n)s(uli)]] / p(atri) p(atriae) ballist(arium) a sol[o] coh(ors) I F(ida) / Vardul(lorum) [[A[nt(oniniana)]] s] ub cura / Tib(eri) Cl(audi) Paul[ini le]g(ati) Aug(usti) / pr(o) pr(aetore) fe[cit insta]nte / P(ublio) Ael[io Erasino trib(uno)]

39. RIB 3027 = AE 1962, 258
Dating: AD 210-220 or 225-230?
Province: Britannia
Location: Reculver/Regulbium (GB)
Aedem p[rinci]piorum / cu[m b]asilica / su[b A]r[ad]io Rufino / co(n)s(ulari) / [3 Fo]rtunatus / [3]it

40. IDR-03-03, 46 = AE 1903, 66
Dating: AD 222-235
Province: Dacia
Location: Veţel/Micia (RO)
Imp(erator) Caes[ar M(arcus) Aurel(ius) Severus] // [[Alex(ander) Pius Felix Augustus]] / balnea[s coh(ortis) II Fl(aviae) Commagenor(um)] / Severia[nae vetust(ate) dilapsas res] / tituit s[ub 3 co(n)s(ulari)] / Dac(iarum) III c[urante 3] / diano p[raef(ecto) coh(ortis) II Fl(aviae) Com(magenorum) Severi] / anae [Alexandrianae

41. CIL XIII 7612
Dating: AD 223/233-234
Province: Germania Superior
Location: Zugmantel (D)
Imp(eratori) Caes(ari) [[M(arco) Aurel(io) Se]] / [[vero A[le]x[an]dro]] Pio / Felici Aug(usto) [p]ontifici ma / ximo trib(unicia) potest[a]t[e] / co(n)s(uli) p(atri) p(atriae) pro[co(n)s(uli) coh(ors) 3] / Treveror[um [[Severi]]] / [[[a]n[a] Alex[andriana]]] / eq(uitata) devota [numini eius] / murum a so[lo fecit] / Maximo et A[eliano co(n)s(ulibus)]

42. CIL XIII 11758 = D 9179a (p 192) = AE 1912, 123
Dating: AD 231
Province: Germania Superior
Location: Öhringen/Vicus Aurelius (D)
In h(onorem) d(omus) d(ivinae) / aquam [[Alex]] / [[andria[nam]]] / coh(ors) I Sept(imia) Bel / g(arum) [[Al<e=D>xandrian(a)]] / sub c(ura) Cati Cleme / ntini co(n) s(ularis) perdux / it L(ucius) Val(erius) Optatus / praef(ectus) dedi(t) X Kal(endas) / Aug(usto) Pompeiano / [e]t P(a) elignian(o) co(n)s(ulibus)

43. CIL VII 1046 = RIB 1281 = CSIR-GB-01-01, 298
Dating: AD 235
Province: Britannia
Location: High Rochester/Bremenium (GB)
Imp(eratori) Cae[s(ari)] M(arco) Aur(elio) [[Seve]]] / [[ro

Alex[andr]o]] P(io) F(elici) [Aug(usto) 3] / [3
[matr(i)]] / [[I[mp(eratoris) Caes(aris) et ca]s(trorum)]]
coh(ors) I F(ida) Vard(ullorum) / [[m(illiaria) S(everiana)
A(lexandriana)]] ballis(tarium) a solo re[sti]t(uit) / sub c(ura)
Cl(audi) Apellini le[g(ati)] Augg(ustorum) / instante Aur(elio)
Quinto tr(ibuno)

44. CIL VII 621 = RIB 1553
Dating: AD 237
Province: Britannia
Location: Carrawburgh / Brocolitia (GB)
[Imp(eratori) Caes(ari) [[[C(aio) Iulio Ver]o]] / [[[Maximino]]
P(io) F(elici) Aug(usto) Ge]r(manico) max(imo) / [Dac(ico)
max(imo) Sarm(atico) max(imo) pont(ifici)]
max(imo) / [tr(ibunicia) p(otestate) III imp(eratori) VI co(n)
s(uli) p]r(o)co(n)s(uli) / [p(atri) p(atriae) et [[[C(aio) Iulio Vero]
Maxi]] / [[mo] Ger(manico) max(imo) Dac(ico) max(imo)
S]arm(atico) / [max(imo) nob(ilissimo)] Caes(ari) n(ostro)
sub / [3]ucciano v(iro) c(larissimo) leg(ato) / [Aug(usti)
pr(o) pr(aetore)] coh(ors) I B[a]tavorum / [fecit cur]ante
Burrio / [3]sto prae[f(ecto)] / [Perpetuo et C]orneliano [co(n)
s(ulibus)]

45. RIB 1091 = D 2620
Dating: AD 238
Province: Britannia
Location: Lanchester / Longovicium (GB)
Imp(erator) Caes(ar) M(arcus) Ant(onius) Gordia / nus
P(ius) F(elix) Aug(ustus) bal(i)neum cum / basilica a
solo instruxit / per Egn(atium) Lucilianum leg(atum)
Aug(usti) / pr(o) pr(aetore) curante M(arco)
Aur(elio) / Quirino pr(a)ef(ecto) coh(ortis) I L(ingonum)
Gor(dianae)

46. RIB 1092 = D 2621 = AE 1991, 1142
Dating: AD 238-244
Province: Britannia
Location: Lanchester / Longovicium (GB)
Imp(erator) Caesar M(arcus) Antonius / Gordianus P(ius)
F(elix) Aug(ustus) / principia et armamen / taria conlapsa(!)
restitu / it per Maecilium Fuscum leg(atum) / Aug(usti) pr(o)
pr(aetore) curante M(arco) Aur(elio) / Quirino pr(aefecto)
coh(ortis) I L(ingonum) Gor(dianae)

47. CIL XIII 11759 = AE 1912, 124
Dating: AD 241
Province: Germania Superior
Location: Öhringen / Vicus Aurelius (D)
[In] h(onorem) d(omus) [d(ivinae)] / Nymphis
perennibus / aquam Gordianam / coh(orti) I Sep(timiae)
Belg(arum) Gordi / [a]nae multo tempor(e) / [interm]
issam sub cu / ra [3]ani [c]o(n)s(ularis) / C(aius) Iul(ius)
Roga[tianus e]q(ues) R(omanus) / praef(ectus) coh(ortis)
ei{i}[usdem] / [novo aq]uaed[u]ctu pe / [rd]uxit p[e]r [pe]
des V(milia)D / CC[C]CVII qu[am s]alere / in[stit]uit [3] / in

praet[enturam(?) 3] / set(?) in balin[eum] de / dicata pr(idie)
Non(as) / Dec(embres) Imp(eratore) d(omino) n(ostro)
Gor / diano Aug(usto) II et Po / mpeiano co(n)s(ulibus)

48. IRT 880 = AE 1950, 128 = AE 1951, 149 =
AE 1991, 1621
Dating: AD 244-246
Province: Africa Proconsularis
Location: Qasr Dhu'ayb (LY)
Imp(erator) Caes(ar) [[[M(arcus) Iulius Ph]ilippus]] Invictu[s
Aug(ustus)] / [[et M(arcus) Iul(ius) P[hilippus]] Ca]es(ar)
n(obilissimus) regionem limi[tis Ten] / theitani partitam
et [ob]viam incursib[us] Barba[ro] / rum constituto novo
centenario [3] / S[1]A[1]S prae[cl]useru[nt] Cominio
Cassiano leg(ato) Augg(ustorum) / pr(o) pr(aetore)
c(larissimo) v(iro) Lic(inio) An[3 v(iro) e(gregio) pr]
oc(uratore) e(orum) praep(osito) limitis cura / Numisii
Maximi domo [3]SIA trib(uni)

49. AE 1895, 17 = AE 1902, 46 = CIL VIII 22765
Dating: AD 263
Province: Africa Proconsularis
Location: Ras el Ain Tlalet / Talalati (TN)
Imp(erator) Caes(ar) [[[P(ublius) Licin]ius Gallienus]] Pius
Felix Invictus / Aug(ustus) Germanicus Persicus maximus
pontifex / maximus tr(i)b(unicia) p(otestate) XII co(n)s(ul)
V p(ater) p(atriae) proco(n)s(ul) castra coh(ortis) / VIII fidae
opportuno loco a solo instituit / operantibus fortissimis
militibus suis ex limi / te Tripolitano

50. RIB 605 = D 2548
Dating: AD 263-268
Province: Britannia
Location: Lancaster (GB)
Ob] balineum refect(um) / [et] basilicam vetustate
conlabsum(!) / a solo restitutam eq(uitibus) alae Sebus{s}
ian(ae!) / [[[Po]s[t]u[mi]anae]] sub Octavio Sabino v(iro)
c(larissimo) / praeside n(ostro) curante Fla(vio) Ammau /
sio praef(ecto) eq(uitum) d(e)d(icata) XI Kal(endas)
Septem(bres) / Censore II et Lepido II co(n)s(ulibus)

51. AE 1980, 960
Dating: AD 283-284
Province: Numidia
Location: Kherbet ouled Arif / Lambiridi (DZ)
[Inv]v(ictissimis) dd(ominis) nn(ostris) Aurel(iis) C[arino et
Numeriano] / [3] Prospe[c]tu[s] / praep(ositus) aeq(uitum!)
al(ae) p(rimae) P[ann(oniorum)] cum commilitonibus / [et
a]eq(uitibus) n(umeri) collati s[u]a [pec(unia) fec(it)] sub
cura Pompe[i] / [3 M(arcus) Aurelius Dec]imu[s] p(raeses)
p(rovinciae) N(umidiae)

52. RIB 1912 = IDRE-01, 240 = AE 1930, 114 = AE 1931,
82 = AE 1990, 665
Dating: AD 297-305

Province: Britannia
Location: Birdoswald / Camboglanna (GB)
*[DD(ominis)] nn(ostris) Dioc[letiano] et / M[axim]iano Invictis
Augg(ustis) et / Constantio et Maximiano / nn(obilissimis)
CC(aesaribus) sub v(iro) p(erfectissimo) Aur(elio) (H)arpagio
pr(aeside) / praetor(ium) quod erat humo copert(um) / et
in labe(m) conl(apsum) et princ(ipia) et bal(neum)
rest(ituit) / curant(e) Fl(avio) Martino cent(urione) p(rae)
p(osito) c(ohortis?) [3]*

53. AE 1931, 113
Dating: 3rd century
Province: Mesopotamia
Location: Qalat as Salihiyah / Dura Europus (SYR)
]C et S[3] / [c(enturio) leg(ionis) II]II Scy(thicae) [pra]

*epos[itus] / [nu]merorum campo ad / ampliato templum
ex / truxit cum statua pe[r] / coh(ortem) II Ulp(iam)
eq(uitatum) civium Roma / norum sagittariorum*

54. CIL III 88 (p 969) = D 773
Dating: after AD 375
Province: Arabia
Location: Umm al Jimal, Khirbat (HKJ)
*Salvis d(ominis) n(ostris) Valentiniano Valente et
Gratiano / victorissimis semper Aug(ustis) dispositione
Iuli / v(iri) c(larissimi) com(itis) magistri equitum et
peditum fabri / catus est burgus ex fundamento mano
dev / otissimorum equitum VIIII Dalm(atarum) s(ub)
c(ura) Vahali trib(uni) / in consulatum d(omini) n(ostri)
Gratiani perpetui Aug(usti) iterum / et Probi v(iri) c(larissimi)*

Part 5: Datable inscriptions. *Numeri*

1. CIL III 13796 = D 9180 = IDR-02, 588 = ILD 152 =
AE 1895, 65
Dating: AD 140
Province: Dacia
Location: Racovița (RO)
*Imp(eratore) Caes(are) Tito Aelio Hadriano / Antonino
Aug(usto) Pio trib(unicia) potes(tate) III co(n)s(ule)
III / castra n(umerus) burg(ariorum) et vered(ariorum)
quod anguste / tenderet duplicato valli pede et in / positis
turribus ampliavit / per Aquilam Fidum proc(uratorem)
Aug(usti)*

2. CIL XIII 6498
Dating: AD 158
Province: Germania Superior
Location: Neckarburken (D)
*Fortunae Britto / nes Elantienses ba / lineum vetustate / con-
labsum adiec / ta concha et cama / ris opere figlino res / titutis
item vasis / novis positis iubente / Calpurnio Agricola / leg(ato)*

*Aug(usti) pr(o) pr(aetore) curam / agente Veranio Sa / turnino
c(enturione) leg(ionis) VIII Aug(ustae) / v(otum) s(olverunt)
Tertullo / et Sacerdote co(n)s(ulibus)*

3. CIL VIII 2494 = D 2636
Dating: AD 214-216
Province: Numidia
Location: El Kantara / Calceus Herculis (DZ)
*Imp(eratori) Caes(ari) M(arco) Aurelio / Severo
Antonino Aug(usto) bur / gum speculator(i)um
Anto(ninianorum) / M(arcus) Val(erius) Senecio leg(atus)
eius pr(o) / pr(aetore) c(larissimus) v(ir) fieri iussit c(uram)
a(gente) C(aio) Iulio Ae / Iurione [[\(centurione) leg(ionis)
III]] Aug(ustae) Anto(ninianae) prae(posito) n(umero)
H(erculis) Ant(oniniano) // Bis posuit Caletamera in te(m)
pore suo*

Part 6: Undatable inscriptions. *Auxilia*

1. CIL XIII 7761 = Lehner 4 = AE 1899, 193 = AE 1900,
+72 = AE 1937, 230
Province: Germania Superior
Location: Niederbieber (D)
*[I]n h(onorem) d(omus) d(ivinae) / in [3] n(umeri)
Divitiensium / get[[3] appara]]torium de suo f(ecit) / [3]
NEST[3]NV[3] / [3]ER[3] / [3]VA[3] / [3]AT[//]
AT[3] / IV[3] / PR[//]IA[3] / Rest[3] / Pob[3] / RE[//]
I[3] / FE[//]rani[3] / Sollemniu[3] / Reberi(us) Tem[//]Ius / [3]
us / [3]us / [3]S[//]SO[3] / [3]AN[//] Quaes(tor) [3] /*

*[3]R[//]S / [3]S / [3]S[//]ON[//]FA[3] / [3] Q(uintus)
Aur[//]NV[*

2. Schallmayer 1989, 36 fig. 21
Province: Germania Superior
Location: Baden-Baden / Aquae (D)
*coh(ors) XXVI / Vol(untariorum) c(ivium) R(omanorum) [f]
ecit*

Part 7: Undatable inscriptions. *Numeri*

1. CIL XIII 6502 = RSO 4
Province: Germania Superior
Location: Mudau (D)
Fortunae sac(rum) / Brittones Trip(utienses) / qui sunt sub cura / T(iti) Mani T(iti) f(ilii) Pollia / Magni S(i)nope / |(centurionis) leg(ionis) XXII P(rimigeniae) P(iae) F(idelis) o(pus) p(erfecerunt)

2. CIL XIII 6592 (4, p 102) = D 9184 = RSO 7 = AE 1897, 118 = AE 1983, 729.

Province: Germania Superior
Location: Walldürn (D)
Deae Fortuna[e] / sanctae balineu[m] / vetustate conlap / sum expl(oratores) Stu[ri] / et Brit(tones) gentiles [et] / officiales Bri(ttonum) et(?) / dedi-tic(iorum) [[Alexan]] / [[drianorum]] de / suo resti-tuer(unt) cu / ra(m) agente T(ito) Fl(avio) Ro / mano |(centurione) leg(ionis) XXII P(rimigeniae) P(iae) F(idelis) / Id(ibus) Aug(ustis) Lupo et Maximo // co(n)s(ulibus)

Part 8: *Architectus et lapidarius*

1. Fano 7 = AE 1983, 380 = AE 1999, +602
Province: Umbria (Regio VI)
Location: Lucrezia / Pisaurum (I)
[C(aius) Cupp]ienus C(ai) f(ilius) Pol(lia) / [Terminalis] praef(ectus) coh(ortis) III Bracarum [in Syr(ia) Pal]aes(tina) praef(ectus) fab(rum) archit(ectus) / signum m[armor(eum) ex v(oto) t]estam(ento) fieri / poniq(ue) iuss(it) / nomine suo et O[ctavia]e Pollae uxoris ex HS X / Octavia Cn(aei) f(ilia) Pol[la] acc(epto) loc(o) d(ecreto) d(ecurionum) ex pec(unia) / sua sc<u=O>l(ptis) marmor(ibus) et lacun(aribus) ceteroq(ue) opere adorn(avit)

2. Lupa 8257
Province: Germania Superior
Location: Baden-Baden / Aquae (D)
Minervae / Val(erius) Perimus / arc(hitectus) c(o)ho(rtis) et / Vittalis lap{p} / idari(us) ex vot{t}o / et sui lap{p}idar(ii)

3. RIB 2091 = D 4718 = CSIR-GB-01-04, 12
Province: Britannia
Location: Birrens / Blatobulgium (GB)
Brigantiae s(acrum) Amandus / arc(h)itectus ex imperio imp(eratum) [f(ecit)]

4. RIB 1542
Province: Britannia
Location: Carrawburgh / Brocolitia (GB)
Minervae / Quin[t]us / architect(us) / v(otum) s(olvit) l(ibens) m(erito)

5. CIL VII 1065 = RIB 2096 = D 4744 (p 183) = CSIR-GB-01-04, 13
Province: Britannia
Location: Birrens / Blatobulgium (GB)
Deae / Harimel / lae sac(rum) Ga / midiahus / arc(h)it(ectus) v(otum) s(olvit) l(ibens) l(aetus) m(erito)

6. CIL XII 186 = ILN-02-A, 21
Province: Gallia Narbonensis
Location: Antibes / Antipolis (F)
Sex(tus) Iul(ius) Cae[3] / architect(us) or[

7. IDR-03-04, 133 = AE 1967, 401
Province: Dacia
Location: Critești (RO)
[Hermeros Lap]idarius f(ecit)

8. CIL III 7895 = IDR-03-03, 6
Province: Dacia
Location: Călan / Aquae (RO)
Diogenes / [l]apidarius

9. CIL III 1365 = IDR-03-03, 141 CIL III 1365 = IDR-03-03, 141
Province: Dacia
Location: Vețel / Micia (RO)
Victoriae / Aug(ustae) et Geni / o collegi(i) / eiius(!) M(arcus) Coc / ceius Luci / us lapi(darius) d(onum) d(edit)

SOURCES

Corpus Agrimensorum Romanorum. In: Campbell 2000.

Dio: Cassius Dio, Dio's Roman history, in nine volumes. English translation by E. Cary (London 1927).

Herodian: Herodian, in two volumes. With an English translation by C. R. Whittaker (London 1969).

Historia Augusta: The Scriptores Historiae Augustae, in three volumes. With an English translation by D. Magie (London 1921-1932).

Pliny: Plinius minor, Letters, in two volumes. With an English translation by W. Melmoth (Cambridge 1958-1961).

Pseudo-Hyginus: Pseudo-Hyginus, Des fortifications du camp. Texte établi, traduit et commenté par M. Lenoir (Paris 1979).

Vegetius: P. Flavius Vegetius, Epitome of Military Science. Translated with notes and introduction by N. P. Milner (Liverpool ²1996).

Victor: S. Aurelius Victor, De caesaribus. Translated with an introduction and commentary by H. W. Bird (Liverpool 1994).

REFERENCES

Alföldy 1983: G. Alföldy, Caius Popilius Carus Pedo und die Vorverlegung von Lauffen am Neckar. Fundberichte aus Baden-Württemberg 8, 1983, 55-68.

1989: G. Alföldy, Die Inschriften aus den Principia des Alenkastells Aalen. Fundberichte aus Baden-Württemberg 14, 1989, 293-338.

Arnaud 1995: P. Arnaud, Les mensores des légions: mensores agrarii ou mensores frumentarii? In: Y. Le Bohec (ed.), La hiérarchie (Rangordnung) de l'armée romaine sous le Haut-Empire. Actes du Congrès de Lyon (15-18 septembre 1994) (Paris 1995) 251-256.

Baatz 1973: D. Baatz, Kastell Hesselbach und andere Forschungen am Odenwaldlimes. Limesforschungen 12 (Berlin 1973).

1989: D. Baatz, Kommandobereiche der Legionslegaten. Germania 67, 1989, 169-178.

Bechert 1971: T. Bechert, Römische Lagertore und ihre Bauinschriften. Ein Beitrag zur Entwicklung und Datierung kaiserzeitlicher Lagertorgrundrisse von Claudius bis Severus Alexander. Bonner Jahrbücher 71, 1971, 201-287.

Birley 1971: A. Birley, Septimius Severus. The African Emperor (London 1971).

Birley 1961: E. Birley, Research on Hadrian's Wall (Kendal 1961).

1986: E. Birley, The Deities of Roman Britain. Aufstieg und Niedergang der römischen Welt 2/18/1, 1986, 3-112.

Blagg 1982: T. F. C. Blagg, Reconstruction of Roman decorated architecture. Proportions, prescriptions and practices. In: P. Drury (ed.), Structural Reconstruction. Approaches to the interpretation of the excavated remains of buildings. BAR British Series 110 (Oxford 1982) 131-152.

1984: T. F. C. Blagg, An examination of the connexions between military and civilian architecture in Roman Britain. In: T. F. C. Blagg / A. C. King (eds), Military and civilian in Roman Britain. Cultural relationships in a frontier province. BAR British Series 136 (Oxford 1984) 249-263.

Blume/Lachmann/Rudorff 1848: F. Blume / K. Lachmann / A. Rudorff (eds), Die Schriften der römischen Feldmesser. 1: Texte und Zeichnungen (Berlin 1848).

Breeze 1981: D. J. Breeze, Agricola the builder. Scottish Archaeological Forum 12 (Edinburgh 1981) 14-24.

2009: D. J. Breeze, Did Hadrian design Hadrian's Wall? Archaeologia Aeliana 5th Ser. 38, 2009, 87-103.

Breeze/Dobson 1969: D. J. Breeze / B. Dobson, Fort types on Hadrian's Wall. Archaeologia Aeliana 4th Ser. 47, 1969, 15-32.

2000: D. J. Breeze / B. Dobson, Hadrian's Wall (London ⁴2000).

Bridger 1984: C. J. Bridger, The Pes Monetalis and the Pes Drusianus in Xanten. Britannia 15, 1984, 85-98.

Campbell 1996: B. Campbell, Shaping the rural environment: surveyors in ancient Rome. The Journal of Roman Studies 86, 1996, 74-99.

2000: B. Campbell, The writings of the Roman Land Surveyors. Introduction, Text, Translation and Commentary. The Journal of Roman Studies Monographs 9 (London 2000).

Charles-Picard 1944: G. Charles-Picard, Castellum Dimmidi (Alger, Paris 1944).

Cichorius 1900: C. Cichorius, Die Reliefs der Traianssäule (Berlin 1900).

Coulston 1990: J. Coulston, The architecture and construction scenes on Trajan's Column. In: M. Henig (ed.), Architecture and Architectural sculpture in the Roman Empire (Oxford 1990) 39-50.

Davies 1967: R. W. Davies, A note on a recently discovered inscription from Carrawburgh. Epigraphische Studien 4, 1967, 108-111.

Davison 1989: D. Davison, The Barracks of the Roman army from the 1st to the 3rd centuries A.D. A comparative study of the barracks from fortresses, forts and fortlets with an analysis of building types and construction, stabling and garrisons. BAR International Series 472 (Oxford 1989).

Dietz 1983: K. Dietz, Kastellum Sablonetum und der Ausbau des rätischen Limes unter Commodus. Chiron 13, 1983, 497-536.

1993: K. Dietz, Die Erneuerung des Limeskastells Aalen vom Jahr 208 n.Chr. Acta Praehistorica et Archaeologica 25, 1993, 243-252.

Dilke 1971: O. A. W. Dilke, The Roman Land Surveyors: an Introduction to the Agrimensores (Plymouth 1971).

1974: O. A. W. Dilke, Archaeological and Epigraphic Evidence of Roman Land Surveys. Aufstieg und Niedergang der römischen Welt 2/1, 1974, 564-592.

Donderer 1996: M. Donderer, Die Architekten der späten römischen Republik und der Kaiserzeit: epigraphische Zeugnisse. Erlangener Forschungen 69, Reihe A, Geisteswissenschaften (Erlangen 1996).

Drexel 1922: F. Drexel, Bauten und Denkmäler der Brittonen am Limes. Germania 6, 1922, 31-37.

Eck 1990: W. Eck, Ein Armamentarium für die equites et pedites singulares in Köln. Kölner Jahrbuch für Vor- und Frühgeschichte 23, 1990, 127-130.

Evans 1994: E. Evans, Military Architects and Building Design in Roman Britain. Britannia 25, 1994, 143-163.

Franzen/Matei/Marcu 2007: P. Franzen / Al. V. Matei / F. Marcu, The Roman fort at Romita. Acta Musei Napocensis 41/1, 2004 (2007), 161-177.

Fraser 2006: T. E. Fraser, Hadrian as Builder and Benefactor in the Western Provinces. BAR International Series 1484 (Oxford 2006).

Gilliam 1940: F. Gilliam, The Ordinarii and Ordinati of the Roman Army. Transactions and Proceedings of the American Philological Association 71, 1940, 127-148.

Gnade 2010: B. Gnade, Das römische Kastell Böhming am Raetischen Limes. Bericht der Bayerischen Bodendenkmalpflege 51, 2010, 199-285.

Halfmann 1986: H. Halfmann, Itinera principium: Geschichte und Typologie der Kaiserreisen im Römischen Reich. Heidelberger Althistorische Beiträge und Epigraphische Studien 2 (Stuttgart 1986).

Hanson 1982: W. S. Hanson, Roman military timber buildings: construction and reconstruction. Woodworking techniques before A.D. 1500. BAR International Series 129 (Oxford 1982).

2009: W. S. Hanson, Building the forts and frontiers. In: W. S. Hanson (ed.), The army and frontiers of Rome. Papers offered to David J. Breeze on the occasion of his sixty-fifth birthday and his retirement from Historic Scotland. Journal of Roman Archaeology Supplementary Series 74 (Portsmouth 2009) 31-44.

Hill 1981: P. R. Hill, Stonework and the archaeologist. Archaeologia Aeliana 5th Ser. 9, 1981, 1-21.

2004: P. R. Hill, The Construction of Hadrian's Wall. BAR British Series 375 (Oxford 2004).

Horster 2001: M. Horster, Bauinschriften römischer Kaiser. Untersuchung zur Inschriftenpraxis und Bautätigkeit in den Städten des westlichen Imperium Romanum. Historia 157 (Stuttgart 2001).

Humphrey/Oleson/Sherwood 1998: J. W. Humphrey / J. P. Oleson / A. N. Sherwood, Greek and Roman Technology. A Sourcebook. Annotated Translations of Greek and Latin Texts and Documents (London, New York 1998).

Johnson 1987: A. Johnson, Römische Kastelle des 1. und 2. Jahrhunderts n.Chr. in Britannien und in den germanischen Provinzen des Römerreiches. Kulturgeschichte der antiken Welt 37 (Mainz 1987).

Kennedy 2000: D. L. Kennedy, The Roman Army in Jordan. A handbook prepared on the occasion of the XVIIIth International Congress of Roman Frontier Studies, Amman, Jordan, 2-11 September 2000 (London 2000).

Laporte 1996: J.-P. Laporte, Notes sur l'aqueduc de Saldae (Bougie). L'Africa Romana 11, 1996, 711-762.

Le Bohec 1986: Y. Le Bohec, Encore les numeri collati. L'Africa Romana 3, 1986, 233-241.

1989: Y. Le Bohec, L'armée romaine sous le Haut-Empire (Paris 1989).

1992: Y. Le Bohec, L'armée et l'organisation de l'espace urbaine dans l'Afrique romaine du Haut-Empire. L'Africa Romana 10, 1992, 313-320.

MacMullen 1959: R. MacMullen, Roman imperial building in the provinces. Harvard Studies in Classical Philology 64, 1959, 207-235.

Marcu 2004: F. Marcu, Military tile-stamps as a guide for the garrisons of certain forts in Dacia. In: C. Găzdac / C. Roman / L. Ruscu / C. Ciongradi / R. Ardevan (eds), Orbis Antiquus. Studia in honorem Ioannis Pisonis. Bibliotheca Musei Napocensis 21 (Cluj-Napoca 2004) 570-594.

2009: F. Marcu, The internal planning of Roman forts of Dacia. Bibliotheca Musei Napocensis 30 (Cluj-Napoca 2009).

2011: F. Marcu, The construction of the forts of Dacia. Dacia N. S. 55, 2011, 123-135.

Mattingly 1995: D. J. Mattingly, Tripolitania (London 1995).

Maxfield 1986: V. A. Maxfield, Pre-Flavian forts and their garrisons. Britannia 17, 1986, 59-72.

Oldenstein-Pferdehirt 1983: B. Oldenstein-Pferdehirt, Die Römischen Hilfstruppen nördlich des Mains. – Forschungen zum Obergermanischen Heer I. Jahrbuch des RGZM 30, 1983, 303-348.

1984: B. Oldenstein-Pferdehirt, Die Geschichte der Legio VIII Augusta. – Forschungen zum Obergermanischen Heer II. Jahrbuch des RGZM 31, 1984, 397-434.

Radnóti 1974: A. Radnóti, Legionen und Auxilien am Oberrhein im 1. Jh. n.Chr. Roman Frontier Studies 1969 (1974), 138-140.

Reuter 1995: M. Reuter, Zur Inschriftenausstattung römischer Auxiliarstabsgebäude in den nordwestlichen Provinzen Britannien, Germanien, Raetien und Noricum. Saalburg-Jahrbuch 48, 1995, 26-51.

2008: M. Reuter, Cohortes Treverorum am Taunuslimes? Zur Besatzung der Kastelle Zugmantel und Holzhausen. In: A. Thiel (ed.), Neue Forschungen am Limes: 27./28. Februar 2007 in Osterburken. Beiträge zum Welterbe Limes 3 (Stuttgart 2008) 83-91.

Rushworth 2002: A. Rushworth, Defensores provinciae: the militarisation of frontier zone elites in C 3rd Mauretania Caesariensis. In: P. Freeman / J. Bennett / Z. T. Fiema (eds), Limes XVIII. Proceedings of the 18th International Congress of Roman Frontier Studies held in Amman, Jordan (September 2000). BAR International Series 1084 (Oxford 2002) 349-360.

Saastamoinen 2010: A. Saastamoinen, The Phraseology of Latin Building Inscriptions in Roman North Africa. Commentationes Humanarum Litterarum 127 (Helsinki 2010).

Saxer 1967: R. Saxer, Untersuchungen zu den Vexillationen des römischen Kaiserheeres von Augustus bis Diokletian. Beihefte der Bonner Jahrbücher 18 = Epigraphische Studien 1 (Bonn 1967).

Schallmayer 1984: E. Schallmayer, Zweites römisches Militärbad von Neckarburken. Fundberichte aus Baden-Württemberg 9, 1984, 435-470.

1989: E. Schallmayer, Aquae. Das römische Baden-Baden. Führer zu archäologischen Denkmälern in Baden-Württemberg 11 (Stuttgart 1989).

Sherk 1974: R. K. Sherk, Roman geographical exploration and military maps. Aufstieg und Niedergang der Römischen Welt 2/1, 1974, 534-562.

Sommer 1984: C. S. Sommer, The military vici in Roman Britain. Aspects of their origins, their location and layout, administration, function and end. BAR British Series 129 (Oxford 1984).

1988: C. S. Sommer, Kastellvicus und Kastell. Untersuchungen zum Zugmantel im Taunus und zu den Kastellvici in Obergermanien und Rätien. Fundberichte aus Baden-Württemberg 13, 1988, 457-707.

Speidel 1986: M. P. Speidel, Die Brittones Elantienses und die Vorverlegung des obergermanischen Limes. Fundberichte aus Baden-Württemberg 11, 1986, 309-311.

Stoll 1992: O. Stoll, Die Skulpturenausstattung römischer Militäranlagen an Rhein und Donau. Der obergermanisch-rätische Limes. Pharos 1 (St. Katharinen 1992).

1998: O. Stoll, Ordinatus Architectus – Römische Militärarchitekten. In: L. Schumacher (ed.), Religion – Wirtschaft – Technik. Althistorische Beiträge zur Entstehung neuer kultureller Strukturmuster im historischen Raum Nordafrika, Kleinasien, Syrien. Mainzer Althistorische Studien 1 (St. Katherinen 1998) 203-271 = O. Stoll, Römisches Heer und Gesellschaft. Gesammelte Beiträge 1991-1999. Mavors: Roman Army Researches 13 (Stuttgart 2001) 300-368.

Taylor 2003: R. Taylor, Roman builders. A study in architectural process (Cambridge 2003).

Thulin 1913: C. Thulin, Corpus Agrimensorum Romanorum (Leipzig 1913).

Walthew 1981: C. V. Walthew, Possible standard units of measurement in Roman military planning. Britannia 12, 1981, 15-35.

2005: C. V. Walthew, Modular planning in First-Century A. D. Romano-British Auxiliary Forts. Britannia 36, 2005, 271-310.

Wilson 1980: R. J. A. Wilson, Roman Forts. An illustrated Introduction to the Garrison Posts of Roman Britain (London 1980).

ZUSAMMENFASSUNG / ABSTRACT / RÉSUMÉ

Wer baute die römischen Militärlager?

Was die Errichtung der Lager betrifft, gewinnt man den Eindruck, dass dies größtenteils von Legionären bewerkstelligt wurde, obschon die meisten Argumente dafür auf den innerhalb der Hilfstruppenlager gefundenen Legionsziegelstempeln basieren. Viele Inschriften beweisen aber das Mitwirken einer großer Anzahl von Auxiliartruppen. Dieser Beitrag versucht, die Quellen zu skizzieren und die Bauinschriften der römischen Provinzen zusammenzustellen, um endgültig zu entscheiden, ob Auxiliartruppen nur als Assistenten fungieren.

Übersetzung: C. Bridger

Who built the Roman forts?

When dealing with the construction of forts, one gains the impression that this was a task generally carried out by legionaries, although most arguments for this are based upon legionary tile-stamps found within auxiliary forts. Many inscriptions, however, prove the involvement of a large number of auxiliary troops. This paper attempts to outline the sources and collate the building inscriptions of the Roman provinces, in order to decide conclusively whether the auxiliaries merely functioned as assistants.

Qui a construit les camps romains?

On a l'impression que la plupart des camps furent construits par les légionnaires, bien que ce soient des tuiles estampillées par des légions, mais trouvées dans des camps auxiliaires, qui en fournissent la preuve dans la plupart des cas. Mais beaucoup d'inscriptions prouvent la participation d'un grand nombre de troupes auxiliaires. Cette étude a tenté de trouver des indices et de réunir des inscriptions monumentales trouvées dans les provinces romaines pour établir finalement si les troupes auxiliaires n'étaient que des assistants.

Traduction: Y. Gautier