THE JOURNAL

OF THE INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF BUDDHIST STUDIES



EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

A.K. Narain
University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA

EDITORS

L.M. Joshi Punjabi University Patiala, India

Alexander W. Macdonald Université de Paris X Nauterre, France

Bardwell Smith Carleton College Northfield, Minnesota, USA Ernst Steinkellner University of Vienna Wien, Austria

Jikidō Takasaki University of Tokyo Tokyo, Japan

Robert Thurman Amherst College Amherst, Massachusetts, USA

ASSISTANT EDITOR

Roger Jackson University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

CONTENTS

I. ARTICLES

1.	Nāgārjuna's Arguments Against Motion, by Kamaleswar Bhattacharya	7
2.	Dhāraṇī and Pratibhāna: Memory and Eloquence of the	•
	Bodhisattvas, by Jens Braarvig	17
3.	The Concept of a "Creator God" in Tantric Buddhism, by Eva K. Dargyay	31
4.	Direct Perception (Pratyaksa) in dGe-lugs-pa Interpre-	0.
	tations of Sautrāntika, by Anne C. Klein	49
5.	A Text-Historical Note on Hevajratantra II:v:1-2, by Leonard W.J. van der Kuijp	83
6.	Simultaneous Relation (Sahabhū-hetu): A Study in Bud-	0.5
٠,.	dhist Theory of Causation, by Kenneth K. Tanaka	91
	II. BOOK REVIEWS AND NOTICES	
Re	views:	
1.	The Books of Kiu-Te or the Tibetan Buddhist Tantras: A Pre-	
	liminary Analysis, by David Reigle	
	Dzog Chen and Zen, by Namkhai Norbu	
	(Roger Jackson)	113
2.	Nagarjuniana. Studies in the Writings and Philosophy of	
	Năgārjuna, by Chr. Lindtner	
	(Fernando Tola and Carmen Dragonetti)	115
3.	Selfless Persons: Imagery and Thought in Theravāda Bud-	
	dhism, by Steven Collins	
	(Vijitha Rajapakse)	117

4. Self and Non-Self in Early Buddhism, by Joaquin Pérez-	
Remón	
(Vijitha Rajapkse)	122
5. The World of Buddhism, edited by Heinz Bechert and	
Richard Gombrich	
(Roger Jackson)	126
Notices:	
1. Tibetan Blockprints in the Department of Rare Books	
and Special Collections, compiled by Leonard	
Zwilling	
(Rena Haggarty)	134
OBITUARY	
LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS	138

tion, purification, and transformation, and itself entails an effortless practice of one's own primordial perfection that bypasses the gradual and conventional practices of the other yānas. Basing his remarks in part on the bSam gtan mig gron (a rdzogs chen text from Tun Huang), Prof. Norbu points out that, from the rDzogs chen pa perspective, although Zen (or Ch'an) is, like rdzogs chen, "a way to find yourself in the absolute condition," it nevertheless arises in a bodhisattvayāna context, and lacks the tantric background or specific concept of "the manifestation of the energy of the primordial state of the individual himself," which is at the heart of rdzogs chen. Thus, although there are common elements shared by rdzogs chen and Zen, we must speak of the former "as the way of self-liberation and the other as the path of renunciation. From the beginning. . .these two methods are very different" (p. 26).

Other topics touched upon by Prof. Norbu include the relation of rdzogs chen to Bon, the introduction of the tradition to Tibet by Vairocana, and the importance of gter-mas. Appended to the book is a brief biography of Prof. Norbu, a reincarnate lama who now teaches at the University of Naples, in Italy; included, too, is a guide to pronunciation of the Tibetan transliteration system devised by Prof. Norbu based on the pinyin system of transliterating Chinese.

Overall, Prof. Norbu's approach is a somewhat traditional one, but Dr. Lipman's preface and notes flesh out the historical issues raised by the main essay, and make this little book a good introduction to certain aspects of the rdzogs chen tradition, and a welcome addition to the growing literature that discusses the relationship among the various Chinese and Indian traditions that contended or cooperated during the early years of Buddhism in Tibet.

Roger Jackson

Nagarjuniana. Studies in the Writings and Philosophy of Nāgārjuna by Chr. Lindtner. Akademisk Forlag: Copenhagen, 1982, pp. 327 (Indiske Studier IV).

Professor Lindtner's Nagarjuniana is a most valuable book. Professor Lindtner has given scholars interested in Nagarjuna a most useful instrument of research.

In his Introduction, Lindtner deals with the difficult problem of authenticating the works attributed to Nāgārjuna. He establishes some criteria for solving this problem and, according to these criteria, he divides the works attributed to Nāgārjuna into three groups: a) genuine, b) spurious and c) dubious. In his Introduction Professor Lindtner has also a preliminary summary of the religious and philosophical doctrines of Nāgārjuna, in order to guide the reader of the texts that he edits in the following pages.

In the second part of the book, under the title Authentic Works, Professor Lindtner gives critical reviews of the following works: I. Mūlamadhyamakakārikā prajūa nāma; IV. Vaidalyaprakarana; VIII. Ratnāvalī; IX. Pratītyasamutpādahrdayakārikā; X. Sūtrasamuccaya; XII. Suhrllekha. Lindtner offers also a critical edition and a translation of the following works: II. Sūnyatāsaptati; III. Vigrahavyāvartanī; V. Vyavahārasiddhi; VI. Yuktisastikā; VII. Catuhstava (is the editio princeps of the Lokātītastava and the Acintyastava, according to four manuscripts); XI. Bodhicittavivarana. Finally, Professor Lindtner presents a translation of XIII. Bodhisambhāra(ka) from its Chinese text. All these reviews, editions and translations are accompanied by numerous notes which indicate parallel texts, or clarify obscure passages, and which reveal the great knowledge of Sanskrit and Tibetan Buddhist literature that the author has.

The last part, *The Unity of Nāgārjuna's Thought*, first studies the background of Nāgārjuna's thought, then makes a concise exposition of Nāgārjuna's system, and finally refers briefly to Nāgārjuna's influence.

The book ends with a bibliography, a resumé in Danish, and a reproduction of the Nepalese manuscripts used by the author for his edition of the Catuhstava.

Professor Lindtner is to be congratulated for the excellent work he has done, and it is to be hoped that other books like this one will follow.

Professor P. Williams has published a large Review Article on Professor Lindtner's book in Journal of Indian Philosophy Vol. 12, No. 1, 1984, pp. 73-104, and Bhikku Pāsādika has reviewed it in The Tibet Journal, VIII, 2 (1983), pp. 58-61.

In JIABS, Vol. VI, 2, 1983, pp. 94-123, we published the Tibetan text of the Yuktisastikākārikā of Nāgārjuna with an English translation. In the introduction we gave the references of four

quotations of Yuktişaştikā's stanzas (19, 33, 34 and 39), that are found in other authors' works. We think it is useful that we indicate now the other quotations that Professor Lindtner points out. They are: stanza 1 in Sekoddeśaţīkā, p. 48 (ed. M.E. Carelli, Baroda, 1941); stanza 5 in Āryadeva, Cittaviśuddhiprakaraṇā, 24 (ed. Patel); stanza 6 in Ratnakīrtinibandhāvalī, p. 139 (ed. A. Thakur, Patna, 1975); stanza 30 in Subhāsitasamgraha, p. 385 (ed. C. Bendall, Le Muséon, N.S. IV, 1903, p. 385), and Nyāyaviniścayavivaraṇa II, pp. 17–18 (ed. M.K. Jain) both with variants; stanzas 46–48 in Haribhadra's Āloka, p. 161 (ed. Wogihara, Tokyo 1932-35 = pp. 343–344 ed. P.L. Vaidya, Darbhanga, 1960); and stanza 55 Cittaviśuddhiprakaraṇa, already quoted.

We also avail ourselves of this opportunity to correct some small misprints that appear in our article, in the Sanskrit texts of pages 96 and 97 and in the Tibetan text edited by us: stanza 33a read proktam instead of proktam; stanza 2d read śrnusva instead of śtnusva; stanza 1b read śin instead of iś; stanza 6c read yons instead of yons; stanza 7d read sgyu instead of sgu; stanza 14d read dan instead of das; stanza 19c read dnos por instead of dnos por; stanza 36a read gyo instead of g-yo; stanza 49c read stsogs instead of sogs (as in Sde-dge edition; cf. stanza 44, and Lokesh Chandra, Tibetan-Sanskrit Dictionary II, p. 1916, who refers to Mahāvyutpatti 9228); stanza 56b read bzlog instead of bźlog; stanza 59b read gyo instead of g-yo (twice).

Fernando Tola and Carmen Dragonetti

Selfless Persons: Imagery and Thought in Theravada Buddhism, by Steven Collins. Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press, 1982. Pp. ix + 323.

Buddhist teachings on the nature of the self (the celebrated anattā doctrine) clearly stood against the established views on the subject that were upheld in India's various other religious and philosophical systems. A radical anti-metaphysical outlook as well as a striking analytical procedure are evident in these teachings; hence, there is much room to link the latter with the standpoints of Western empiricists, both old and new. Yet this, significantly, is not the perception that guides the discussions in the Selfless Persons. On the contrary, the exposition of Theravāda thinking