## THE JOURNAL

# OF THE INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF BUDDHIST STUDIES

#### CO-EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Gregory Schopen Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana, USA Roger Jackson
Fairfield University
Fairfield, Connecticut, USA

#### **EDITORS**

Peter N. Gregory University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, Illinois, USA

> Alexander W. Macdonald Université de Paris X Nanterre, France

Steven Collins Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana, USA Ernst Steinkellner University of Vienna Wien, Austria

Jikidō Takasaki University of Tokyo Tokyo, Japan

Robert Thurman Amherst College Amherst, Massachusetts, USA

Volume 11 1988 Number 1

# **CONTENTS**

## I. ARTICLES

1.	The Four Levels of Pratītya-	
	Samutpāda According to the	
	Fa-hua hsüan i, by Carl Bielefeldt	7
2.	On the Possibility of a Nonexistent Object	/
	of Consciousness: Sarvāstivādin and Dārstāntika	
	Theories, by Collett Cox	
3.		31
σ.	by Edward Hamlin	
4.	Buddhist Sanskrit in the Kālacakra Tantra,	89
т.		
5.	by John Newman	123
J.	Two New Fragments of Buddhist Sanskrit Manuscripts	
	from Central Asia, by Richard Saloman and	
6	Collett Cox	141
6.	Some Reflections on R.S.Y. Chi's Buddhist Formal Logic,	
	by Tom J.F. Tillemans	155
	II. BOOK REVIEWS	
	II. BOOK REVIEWS	
1.	Divine Revelation in Pali Buddhism, by Peter Mansfield	
	(Charles Hallisey)	173
2.	Studies in the Buddhist Art of South Asia, ed. A.K. Narain	175
	(Robert L. Brown)	175
3.	Traditions of Meditation in Chinese Buddhism,	175
	ed. Peter N. Gregory (Henrik H. Sorensen)	179
	(Alemania de la concenscia)	1/9
LIS	ST OF CONTRIBUTORS	105
		185

# Buddhist Sanskrit in the Kālacakra Tantra

by John Newman

Vajrayāna Buddhist texts were composed in at least three Indian languages: Sanskrit, Apabhramśa, and an East Indian dialect usually referred to as "Old Bengali." By far the greatest part of Vajrayāna literature was written in Sanskrit, the *lingua franca* of pan-Indic culture. This is not surprising—the Vajrayāna, like Buddhism as a whole, developed among diverse linguistic communities, and it is only to be expected that Indian Vajrayāna Buddhists used the common language of educated discourse to communicate their ideas.

The Sanskrit of the Vajrayāna literature, however, is not the Sanskrit of Pāṇini. According to M. Winternitz: "The Sanskrit in which the [Buddhist] Tantras are written, is, as a rule, just as barbarous as their contents" (Winternitz 1933:401). The question remains as to the exact nature of this linguistic "barbarism"—is it due simply to incompetence on the part of Vajrayāna Buddhist authors, or does some other factor, such as Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit, come into play here?

Perhaps the earliest Western comment on the Sanskrit of a Vajrayāna text is L. de la Vallée Poussin's description of the language of Nāgārjuna's Pañcakrama: "Il est...rédigé en sanscrit, dans une langue riche de termes techniques et de particularités grammaticales ou prosodiques, mais qui, du point de vue phonétique, est correcte et n'exige pas les vastes connaissances et la sûreté de main que suppose l'édition du Lotus ou celle du Mahāvastu" (de la Vallée Poussin 1896:VII). In other words, the Sanskrit of the Pañcakrama does not always follow Pāṇinian norms, but it is not what we today would call Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit, or Buddhist Ārṣa.<sup>2</sup>

Some scholars reject the influence of Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit on the language of Vajrayāna texts. D.L. Snellgrove, describing the Sanskrit of the *Hevajra Tantra*, says: "The language need not be graced by the term Buddhist Sanskrit. It is just bad Sanskrit" (Snellgrove 1959:xi). C.S. George, after a meticulous study of the first portion of the *Candamahāroṣaṇa Tantra*, appears to concur with Snellgrove: "The language of the [Candamahāroṣaṇa Tantra]. . is Sanskrit. Although the vocabulary is often technical, this seems hardly sufficient grounds to confuse the issue of language by describing it as 'Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit' or even 'Buddhist Sanskrit.' The subject matter is Buddhist, but the language is Sanskrit, close indeed to the Sanskrit of the Epics" (George 1974:14).

Other scholars, on the contrary, discern a relationship between the Sanskrit of the Vajrayāna literature and Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit. B. Bhattacharya says: "The Sādhanamālā, for all intents and purposes, is written in Sanskrit, but the Sanskrit used here is far from what we usually understand by the word. It is the Sanskrit of the Buddhists,—similar to that employed in the Mahāvastu Avadāna, the Lalitavistara, the Śikṣāsamuccaya, the Kārandavyūha, the Saddharma-Pundarīka, and similar works" (Bhattacharya 1925:viii). T. Skorupski, commenting on the language of the Sarvadurgatipariśodhana Tantra, says: "The text of this Tantra, like many other works of this kind, has many peculiarities of Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit. To a Sanskrit scholar who is not acquainted with this kind of literary work and who has no sympathy for Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit many grammatical endings will appear simply as wrong" (Skorupski 1983:118).

Most pertinent to the present essay is H. Hoffmann's description of the Sanskrit of the Paramādibuddha—the Kālacakra mūlatantra: "[The Kālacakra mūlatantra] is not only written in Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit but in a very corrupt barbarian Sanskrit of a semi-Indian region in the far north-west" (Hoffmann 1973:136). A characterization such as this, however, must be evaluated in light of what the Kālacakra tradition itself has to say about the question of "correct" language.<sup>3</sup>

In what follows we edit and translate the Sanskrit and Tibetan of a passage from the Vimalaprabhā, the great commentary on the Śrī Kālacakra (the Kālacakra laghutantra). This passage describes the language of the Paramādibuddha, the Śrī Kālacakra,

the *Vimalaprabhā* and, by extension, the entire early Kālacakra literature.<sup>4</sup> The Sanskrit text of this passage was first published seventy years ago,<sup>5</sup> but Western scholars have not given it the attention it deserves.<sup>6</sup>

teṣāṃ ca suśabdavādināṃ suśabdagrahavināśāyârthaśaraṇatām āśritya kvacid vṛtte 'paśabdaḥ/ kvacid vṛtte yatibhaṅgaḥ/ kvacid avibhaktikaṃ padam/ kvacid varṇasvaralopaḥ²/ kvacid vṛtte dīrgho hrasvaḥ hrasvo 'pi² dīrghaḥ/ kvacit pañcamyarthe saptamī caturthyarthe ṣaṣṭhī/ kutracit parasmaipadini³ dhātāv ātmanepadam ātmanepadini parasmaipadam/ kvacid ekavacane bahuvacanaṃ bahuvacana ekavacanam/ puṃliṅge napuṃsakaliṅgaṃ¹⁰ napuṃsake puṃliṅgam/ kvacit tālavyaśakāre dantyamūrdhanyau¹¹/ kvacin mūrdhanye dantyatālavyau¹¹/ kvacid dantye tālavyamūrdhanyau/ evam anye 'py anusartavyās tantradeśakopadeśeneti¹²/ tathā mūlatantre bhagavān āha/

sucandra sarvabuddhānām deyam nityeşṭavastukam/ śiṣyebhiś ca gurūṇām ca bhāryāduhitrputrakam//(1) gandho bhavati medinyām toye rūpam raso 'nale/ vāyau sparśo 'kṣare śabda dharmadhātur's mahānabhe//(2)

gandhadhūpādidīpebhiḥ khānapānādivāsasaiḥ/ pūjayitvā sadā mudrām¹⁴ guror dadati satsutaḥ//(3)

ity evam ādayo 'nye 'py apaśabdā¹⁵ yoginâvagantavyā āgamapāṭhād¹⁶ iti/ evam ṭīkāyām api su-śabdābhimānanāśāya¹⁷ likhitavyam mayârthaśaraṇatām¹⁶ ā-śrityeti/ atha yena yena prakāreṇa kulavidyāsu śabdābhimānakṣayo bhavati¹⁶ tena tena prakāreṇârthaśaraṇatām āśritya buddhānām bodhisattvānām dharmadeśanā deśabhāṣāntareṇa²⁰ śabdaśāstrabhāṣāntareṇa mokṣārtham/

Vimalaprabhā 1.321

"In order to destroy the attachment to correct language of those (brahman sages<sup>22</sup> who) advocated correct language, (Kalkī Yaśas) relied on the meaning.<sup>23</sup> In some verses (of the Śrī Kālacakra) there are ungrammatical words. In some

verses the caesuras are lacking. Some have words without case endings. In some, letters and vowels are elided (BHSG 2.3, 2.17, 2.72, 2.84 ff., 2.90, 3.106, 3.122, 3.118). In some verses long vowels are short, and short vowels are long (BHSG 1.10, 3.1-46). In some the locative case is used for the ablative case (BHSG 7.82), and the genitive case is used for the dative case (BHSG 7.63; Whitney 294b, 297a; Holtzmann 297). In some a middle voice is attributed to a root that possesses an active voice, and an active voice is attributed to one that possesses a middle voice (BHSG 37.22 ff. & 37.10 ff.; Whitney 529a, 774; Holtzmann 530, 774). In some the plural number is used for the singular number, and the singular number is used for the plural number (BHSG 1.10, 1.101, 25.4). The neuter gender is used for the masculine gender, and the masculine gender is used for the neuter (BHSG 1.10, 6.1; cf. Holtzmann 263). In some the dental (sa) and the cerebral (sa) are used for the palatal letter sa; in some the dental and the palatal are used for the cerebral; in some the palatal and the cerebral are used for the dental (BHSG 2.56 ff.; Holtzmann 63). There are also other such things that must be understood in conformity with the instructions of the tantra teacher. Likewise, the Bhagavan (Buddha) said in the basic tantra (the Paramādibuddha):

Sucandra, disciples should constantly offer desired things—wives, daughters, and beloved sons—to all the Buddhas and gurus.//(1)

Odor arises from earth, form from water, taste from fire, tactility from wind, sound from the unchanging, the sphere of phenomena from the great sky.//(2)

Constantly worshipping the  $mudr\bar{a}$  with perfumes, incense, lamps, and so forth, and food, drink, clothing, and so forth, the noble son gives her to the guru.//(3)

A yogi should understand ungrammatical words like these, and others too, by reading the sacred texts. Likewise, I (Kalkī Puṇḍarīka) must write the (Vimalaprabhā) commentary relying on the meaning, in order to destroy conceit in correct language. Thus, Buddhas and bodhisattvas teach the Dharma for the sake of liberation—relying on the meaning, they use the different vernaculars and the different

languages of the grammatical treatises, whichever eliminate conceit in family, learning, and correct language."

It should be noted that I have translated the Sanskrit of this passage in accordance with the Tibetan translation. An exception to this is the verses cited from the mūlatantra, where the Tibetan faithfully reproduces the grammatical solecisms of the Sanskrit.<sup>24</sup>

As Bu ston points out, the genitives sarvabuddhānām in verse 1a, gurūṇām in 1c, and guroḥ in 3d must all be glossed as datives (Bu ston 1324:611/5-6). In 1c the first ca is syntactically redundant, and śisyebhiḥ is a Vedic form of the instrumental plural for the a-stems (BHSG 8.110; Whitney 329d).

All the locatives in the second verse—medinyām, toye, anale, and so forth—are to be taken as ablatives (Bu ston 1324:611/4—5). In 2c śabda is lacking a case ending.

In 3a & b ādi should properly come at the end of the compounds. In 3a -dīpebhiḥ is, again, a Vedic form of the instrumental plural for the a-stems. In 3b -vāsasaiḥ, vāsas, neuter, is treated as an a-stem (BHSG 16.26; Whitney 1315). In 3d the plural dadati should properly be dadāti, singular (BHSG 28.11).

It is important to note that the language described above is not Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit (Buddhist Ārṣa). As F. Edgerton explains, Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit "is a blend of Middle Indic with Sanskrit, but its basis, or substratum, is Middle Indic; the Sanskrit features are secondarily and superficially laid on" (Edgerton 1956:134). Edgerton stresses that Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit "originally was, or was based on, an ancient, pre-Christian, Middle Indic vernacular. That is, it is not, and never was, Sanskrit" (Edgerton 1954:2). In particular, "[BHS] vocabulary is to a very large extent not Sanskrit, but Middle Indic" (ibid); and "[Middle Indic words] stamp the language of the [BHS] works containing them as based upon another dialect than Sanskrit" (BHSG 1.37). "Even the latest Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit texts still retain numerous words, lexical items, which show their vernacular origin" (Edgerton 1956:134).

The language of the Kālacakra literature, on the other hand, is Sanskrit. As the passages cited in this essay exemplify, it is not based on a Middle Indic dialect; it contains very few Middle Indic words.<sup>25</sup>

The grammatical "rules" given in the Vimalaprabhā can indeed be applied to the language depicted by Edgerton in his Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit Grammar, but many of these features are also common to the Sanskrit of the Mahābhārata, the Purāṇas, and the Hindu tantras (cf. Goudriaan 1981:27–28). Moreover, these grammatical irregularities are not the outcome of a natural linguistic development from an earlier Prakrit—they appear to have been deliberately introduced into the Kālacakra literature.

Early Buddhist traditions record that the Buddha authorized his followers to recite the buddhavacanam in their individual dialects in order to convert the unconverted (cf. BHSG 1.6–1.13; Edgerton 1954:5–6; 1956:130–133). In the same spirit, concern for the meaning rather than the words of the Dharma is often exhibited in the early Kālacakra literature. For example, in the Paramādibuddha the Buddha says:

yena yena prakāreņa sattvānām paripācanam/ tena tena prakāreņa kuryād dharmasya deśanām//(5)

yogī śabdāpaśabdena dharmam gṛhṇāti yatnataḥ/ deśaśabdena labde 'rthe śāstraśabdena tatra kim//(6)<sup>26</sup>

One should teach the Dharma in whatever fashion completely matures sentient beings.//(5)

A yogi zealously grasps the Dharma through grammatical and ungrammatical words. When one gets the meaning from the local words, what is the use of technical terms?//(6)

Likewise, the verse introduction to the Vimalaprabhā says:

śabdāśabdavicāraṇā na mahatī sarvajñamārgārthinām nānādeśakubhāṣayâpi mahatām mārge pravṛttiḥ sadā/ sattvānām adhimukticittavaśataḥ sarvajñabhāṣā parā anyā vyākaraṇe surāhiracitā śabdādivādārthinām//(37)

apaśabdād artham api yogī grhņāti deśabhāṣātaḥ/toye payo nivistam pibanti hamsās tad uddhṛtya//(38)

paramārthatattvavisaye na vyanjanasaranatā<sup>27</sup> sadā mahatā<sup>28</sup>/ desasamjnābhir arthe jnāte kim sāstrasabdena//(39)

jñānam tad eva na bhavati udite yasyâpaśabdaśabdāḥ²9 syuḥ/sarvajñasya na bhāṣā yā sā prādeśikī jagati//(40)³0

Those intent on the path to omniscience do not greatly discriminate between grammatical and ungrammatical words. They always enter the path of the great ones, even through the lowly languages of various countries. The Omniscient One's language is Other,<sup>51</sup> in accordance with the dispositions of sentient beings. Quite different is the language of those intent on arguing about the words and so forth the gods and nagas arranged in the grammars.//(37)

A yogi grasps the meaning even from vernaculars and ungrammatical words. Swans draw out and drink the milk mixed in the water.//(38)

In the realm of ultimate reality great ones never rely on the letters. What is the use of technical terms when one understands the meaning through the local expressions?//(39)

That which grammatical and ungrammatical words can express is not gnosis. That which is parochial to the world is not the language of the Omniscient One./(40)

Puṇḍarīka, the author of the Vimalaprabhā, tells us that his father Yaśas introduced "ungrammatical words" and so forth in the text of the Śrī Kālacakra "in order to destroy the attachment to correct language of those (brahman sages) who advocated correct language." Likewise, the irregular Sanskrit of the Vimalaprabhā is intended to "destroy conceit in correct language." Excessive esteem for Sanskrit appears to have had a deleterious effect on some members of the Buddhist community during Puṇḍarīka's time:

anena prādeśikasaṃskṛtaikavacanena buddho<sup>32</sup> pi prādeśiko bhavati sarvasattvarutasvabhāvinyā sarvajñabhāṣayā vinā/ iha āryaviṣaye śabdavādinān tīrthikānāṃ paṇḍitānām abhimānaṃ dṛṣṭvā bālamatīnāṃ bauddhānām abhiprāyaḥ/ yathā brahmahariharādayaḥ saṃskṛtavaktāro brāhmaṇavaiṣṇavaśaivādīnām iṣṭadevatāḥ tathâsmakāṃ yā iṣṭadevatā buddhabodhisattvāḥ saṃskṛtavaktāro bhavantîti/ iha na ca te anena prādeśikasaṃskṛtaikavacanena sarvasattvarutair dharmadeśakāḥ saṃgītikārakā bhavanti buddhabodhisattvāḥ sarvajñabhāṣayā vinā/ ato devajātipratibaddhā prādeśikā bhāṣā³³ buddhabodhisattvāṇānāṃ na syād iti nānāsattvarutadharmadeśakatvāt/³⁴

"If he did not use the omniscient language that has the

nature of the utterances of all sentient beings, if he used only this parochial Sanskrit speech, then the Buddha would be parochial as well. Here in the land of the Aryans, 55 foolish Buddhists see the arrogance of the scholarly heterodox proponents of correct language, and come to believe: 'Just as the chosen deities of the brahmans, Vaiṣṇavas, Saivas, and so forth—Brahmā, Hari, Hara, and so forth—speak Sanskrit, so too our chosen deities, the Buddhas and bodhisattvas, speak Sanskrit.' But here, these Buddhas and bodhisattvas do not use the utterances of all sentient beings to teach and redact 56 the Dharma solely by means of this parochial Sanskrit speech, without using the omniscient language. Therefore, Buddhas and bodhisattvas do not use a parochial language that is entangled with birth as a god, because they teach the Dharma using the utterances of various sentient beings."

We are not dealing with simple bad Sanskrit in the Kālacakra literature because the irregular grammar employed in the Śrī Kālacakra and the Vimalaprabhā is not the result of incompetence. The authors of these texts, Yaśas and Puṇḍarīka, demonstrate considerable knowledge of the full range of brahmanical learning, including grammar, prosody, and poetics. They compose correct, even elegant, Sanskrit when they so desire. Moreover, in the Vimalaprabhā Puṇḍarīka sometimes even points out the irregular forms appearing in the Śrī Kālacakra, and explains how they deviate from standard usage. For example:

- (1) Comment on the declension of kālayoge in Śrī Kālacakra I.26d: kālayoga iti pañcamyarthe saptamī (Vimalaprabhā (S) B 31b/3; U 77.19); the locative is used for the ablative.
- (2) Comment on the declension of jñānadhātau in Śrī Kālacakra II.24a: iha śarīre apānavāyur jñānadhātor bhavati atrâpi pañcamyarthe saptamī (Vimalaprabhā (S) B 57b/7; U 168.26); the locative is used for ablative.
- (3) Comment on the number of satsandhih in Śrī Kālacakra II.25d: satsandhir iti bahuvacane ekavacanam (Vimalaprabhā (S) B 58a/2; U 169.12-13); the singular is used for the plural.
- (4) Comment on mahi in Śrī Kālacakra II.25d: mahîti hrasvo bhūparyāyah (Vimalaprabhā (S) B 58a/3; 169.14); short vowel for long.
- (5) Comment on antrameghāh in Śrī Kālacakra II.34b: antrameghā 'ntra ity avibhaktikam padam antrāni meghā bhavantîti (Vimalaprabhā

- (S) B 60a/1; U 174.27-28); antra is lacking a case ending.
- (6) Comment on the declension of karņe in Śrī Kālacakra II.79c: karņe ity āgamapāṭhāt pañcamyarthe saptamī (Vimalaprabhā (S) B 75b/2; U 213.11); the locative is used for the ablative.

The fact that the Sanskrit of the early Kālacakra literature is strewn with irregular grammatical forms presents special problems for the editor and translator. If these forms were used consistently, it would be simple enough to learn to recognize and understand them. Unfortunately, this is not the case. The irregular forms appear in verses and prose passages that are otherwise written in standard Sanskrit,<sup>37</sup> and sometimes an irregular form is disguised in such a way that it can be interpreted meaningfully, but wrongly, as though it were standard usage.

An example of this is kālāc chūnyeşu in Śrī Kālacakra I.4a, which the Tibetans consistently translate as dus kyis stong pa rnams las. Kālāt as an ablative of instrumental use is not extraordinary, but the locative śūnyeşu as an ablative would be extremely problematic if we did not have the Tibetan translation (cf. Holtzmann 301).

Another example is Pundarīka's usage of the words vivarta and samuarta in his comment on Śrī Kālacakra I.4. These terms usually mean "evolution" and "devolution," respectively (cf. Abhidharmakośa 3.90), but the Vimalaprabhā exactly inverts their meaning: lokadhātūtpādo nirodho veditavyah samvarto vivartakālas ceti; 'jig rten gyi khams 'byung ba dang 'gag pa chags pa dang 'jig pa'i dus kyang rig par bya'o (Vimalaprabhā (S) B 22a/6; U 54.18–19; (T) 425/4). On first glance the Tibetans seem to have blundered in translating samuarta as chags pa and vivarta as 'jig pa, but this idiosyncratic usage is confirmed by Pundarīka's use of samvarta in apposition to utpāda and utpatti: atah samvartād utpādakālavasāt sūnyeşv iti; des na chags pa ni 'byung ba'i dus kyi dbang gis stong pa rnams las shes pa (Vimalaprabhā (S) B 22a/6; U 54.19; (T) 425/4); and kālayogāt prajātam samvartotpattikālavasāt; dus kyi sbyor ba dag las rab tu skyes shes pa chags pa skye ba'i dus kyi dbang gis (Vimalaprabhā (S) B 28a/1; U 68.12; (T) 457/7 [comment on Śrī Kālacakra I.11d1).

The linguistic peculiarity of the Kālacakra literature once again underscores our dependence on Tibetan translations and Indian and Tibetan commentaries for correct editing and translation of the Vajrayāna literature in Sanskrit. Without their aid we would be at a loss to establish the Sanskrit texts, not to speak of understanding their meaning.<sup>38</sup>

The language of the early Kālacakra literature is not Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit (Buddhist Ārṣa), nor is it simply substandard Sanskrit. It is Sanskrit into which various types of nonstandard forms have been intentionally introduced. Most of these irregularities are common to Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit and late classical Sanskrit. While some of these solecisms have a pedagogic purpose, for the most part they are designed to counteract pedantic arrogance. How far these findings can be applied to other Vajrayāna texts will be an important subject for future research.

### APPENDIX: Rare Vocabulary from the Kālacakra Literature I

Although the Kālacakra literature contains negligible Middle Indic vocabulary, it does present a number of Sanskrit words that are either rare or unattested elsewhere. (Here we are not concerned with technical terminology, which is notorious for being poorly represented in current dictionaries.) Unless otherwise noted, the following words are not found (with these meanings, at least) in the lexicons of Böhtlingk and Roth, Böhtlingk, Schmidt, Monier-Williams, Apte, or Edgerton.

- (1) ekalolībhūta m. (Tib. gcig tu 'dres par gyur pa) "become blended into one": eṣāṃ nirāvaraṇatā samarasatvam ekalolībhūtatvam śūnyam ity ucyate; 'di rnams sgrib pa dang bral ba nyid dang ro mnyam pa nyid dang gcig tu 'dres par gyur pa nyid la stong pa zhes brjod do (Vimalaprabhā (S) B 19a/2; U 47.22−23; (T) 407/3−4). "Their quality of being free from obscuration, of having a single taste, of being blended into one, is called 'void'." (The things "blended" here are various aggregates, elements, faculties, and so forth that are components in the Kālacakra abhidharma.) -lolī- appears to be derived from √lud or √lul; cf. lolīta. Schmidt lists ekalolībhāva in the sense of "Begehren" [desire] (Schmidt 125, 318).
- (2) kalka m. (Tib. rigs) "clan": atah kalasaguhya-prajāājāānābhiṣekatah sarvavarnānām ekakalko bhavati/ sa kalko 'syāstīti kalkī/ tasya gotram kalkīgotram vajrakulābhiṣekatah sakalamantrinām iti nītārthah; bum pa dang gsang ba dang shes rab ye shes kyi dbang bskur ba 'di las rigs thams cad rigs gcig tu 'gyur ro/ rigs de 'di la yod pa'i phyir rigs ldan no/ de'i rigs ni rigs ldan gyi rigs te sngags pa mtha' dag rdo rje'i rigs kyis dbang bskur ba'i phyir ro zhes bya ba nges pa'i don to/ (Vimalaprabhā (S) B 8b/3-4; U 22.8-10; (T) 345/2-3). "The vase, secret, and wisdom-gnosis initiations make all the castes into a single clan. Because he possesses that clan, he is Kalkī. The definitive meaning of this is: 'His lineage is the lineage

of Kalkī because all mantra adepts are initiated into the vajra family.'" Similarly, Śrī Kālacakra I.158ab:

so 'yam śrīmañjuvajrah suravaranamito vajragotrena kalkī dattvā vajrābhisekam sakalamunikulāny ekakalkam karisyat/

"He (i.e., Manjuśri Yaśas) will be Śri Manjuvajra, saluted by the best of gods, Kalki by means of the vajra lineage. Having given them the vajra initiation, he will make all the families of sages into a single clan."

The usual meanings of kalka—"dregs", "filth", "feces", "sin", and so forth—are clearly inapplicable here. This usage of kalka is unique to the Kālacakra literature (cf. Newman 1985:64 & n. 4; 1987a:94). For discussion of other etymologies of kalka and kalki/-in see: Schrader (1937).

- (3) pratisenā f. (Tib. pra phab pa) "prognostic image": pratvaksah svacittatratibhāso voginām gagane pratibhāsate kumārikāyā ādarsādau pratisenāvad iti; ezhon nu ma rnams kvis me long la sogs pa la pra phab pa bzhin du rnal 'byor pa rnams kvi rang gi sems kyi 'od gsal mngon sum du nam mkha' la snang ba (Vimalaprabhā (S) B 16b/6; U 42.23-24; (T) 394/3-4). "Like a maiden's prognostic image in a mirror and so forth, the clear light of the yogis' own minds appears manifest in the sky." The "sky" here refers to the void. (Note: I take humārikāyāh as a genitive singular against the Tibetan instrumental plural.) Vimalaprabhā I.1.35ab (U 4.21-22) notes that mahāmudrā is similar to the eight prognostic images (astaprasenopamā). In his Paramārthasamgraha-nāma-sekoddeśatīkā Nāro refers to the Pratisenāvatāratantra on the eight kinds of prognostication: pratisenāvatāratantre kila darpanakhadgārigusthapradīpacandra-sūrvodakakundanetresv avastusu (read: astasu) pratisenāvatāra uktah (Carelli 1941:49.6-7). "Indeed. the Pratisenāvatāratantra speaks of the manifestation of prognostic images in the eight: mirror, sword, thumb, lamp, moon, sun, water well, and eye." Pratisenā appears to be a Sanskritized form of (Prakrit?) prasena (m. or n.). -nā (f.): "eine Art Gauklerei" [a kind of conjuring] (Böhtlingk 176.2). Edgerton. following Böhtlingk, lists prasena with a query, and also cites Mahāvyutpatti #4268; prasenam; gsal snang (BHSD 389.1). Edgerton translates gsal snang as "bright light, or bright clear," but it is most likely the old orthography for dag snang, "a mystic vision." It is interesting to note that prasenam appears in the Mahāvvutbatti in the section on tantric terminology (Mahāvyutbatti #4234-4387).
- (4) lī (Tib. li) "Khotan": boṭa lī ca cīnādideśesu. . .sambhalaviṣayāntam; bod dang li dang rgya nag la sogs pa'i yul rnams su. . .shambha la'i yul la thug pa'i bar du (Vimalaprabhā (S) B 40a/2; U 101.8; (T) 521/4). "In the countries of Tibet, Khotan, and China and so forth. .through to the land of Sambhala. .." The context here is a discussion of the duration of daylight in various regions north of India. This passage of the Vimalaprabhā is the source for the only other known occurance of lī in Sanskrit, Abhayākaragupta's Kālacakrāvatāra: bhoṭa lī ca cīnādideśeṣu sambhalaviṣayāntam (Asiatic Society of Bengal MS G.4732 f. 6b/5). Lī is an example of a very rare phenomenon, a Tibetan loanword in Sanskrit.

#### NOTES

- 1. For previous study of the language of Sanskrit Vajrayāna texts see: Bhattacharya (1925:viii-x); Snellgrove (1959:viii-xi); George (1974:14-17); Tsuda (1974:6-27); Skorupski (1983:117-118).
- 2. D.S. Ruegg notes: "Ārṣa is indeed in several respects a more convenient (and a less linguistically questionable) term than Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit to designate the basically Middle Indo-Aryan language of much of the Canon and could therefore be used instead by modern scholars, at least for certain stages of BHS" (Ruegg 1986:597). We add the qualification "Buddhist" to distinguish this language from the Jaina and brahmanical ārṣa-s (cf. Winternitz 1933:430; Goudriaan 1981:27).
- 3. As we will see, the Kālacakra literature is not written in Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit, and its language is not simply "very corrupt" or "barbarian" Sanskrit. Hoffmann has not presented any evidence to support his view that the Kālacakra originated in "a semi-Indian region in the far north-west." The Vimalaprabhā, in any case, was by its own account written in India (see note 35). The earliest historically identified proponent of the Kālacakra, Atiśa's guru Pindo, was born in Java (Newman 1985:71-75; 1987b:96-106).
- 4. For discussion of this literature see: Newman (1985:52-54, 58, 63-65, 73; 1987a; 1987b). I believe all of these texts were composed in India during the first few decades of the 11th century.
- 5. By H.P. Shāstri (1917:78-79), under the heading "The Buddhist did not care for Correct Sanskrit."
- 6. Indian scholars have noted its significance: B. Bhattacharyya (1924:iv) quotes Shāstri's Catalogue. P.C. Bagchi (1934:v) cites the Catalogue, and offers an English rendering and an interpretation (cf. Goudriaan 1981:27, n. 130). B. Banerjee gives a brief synopsis of this passage in English (Bandyopadhyaya 1952:73), and alludes to it in the introduction to his recent edition of the Śrī Kālacakra (Banerjee 1985:xxii). J. Upadhyaya refers to it in the introduction to his recent edition of the first two paţalas of the Śrī Kālacakra and the Vimalaprabhā (Vimalaprabhā (S) U xv, xxiv).

Tibetan scholars were well aware of the grammatical anomalies of the early Kālacakra literature. See: Bu ston (1324:610-612); mKhas grub (1434:444-448).

- 7. Vimalaprabhā (S) N: -svarolopaḥ.
- 8. Vimalaprabhā (S) N: krasvah hrasvâpi.
- 9. Vimalaprabhä (S) D: paraspai-.
- 10. Vimalaprabhä (S) D: napumsakam.
- 11. Vimalaprabhā (S) N: danta-.
- 12. Vimalaprabhā (S) D: -deśakeneti.
- 13. Vimalaprabhā (S) N: -dhātum.
- 14. Vimalaprabhā (S) D: mahāmudrām.
- 15. Vimalaprabhā (S) N: ādayo py apasabdās tadânye pi.
- 16. Vimalaprabhā (S) N: āgangama- (emendation deletes -nga-).
- 17. Vimalaprabhā (S) D: suśabdābhimānam nāśā.
- 18. Vimalaprabhā (S) N: -sanatām (emendation adds -ra-).

- 19. Vimalaprabhā (S) N: bhavaci.
- 20. Vimalaprabhā (S) N: desa- (emended to desa-).
- 21. Vimalaprabhā (S) N 21b/4-22a/4; D 17b/1-9; cf. U 29.21-30.6. I have standardized the sandhi, the orthography with respect to use of the avagraha and doubling of consonants after -r-, and have supplied the daṇḍas. Unfortunately, the leaf containing this passage is missing from Vimalaprabhā (S) B, by far the best of the three MSS available to me. The Tibetan for the passage quoted reads:

Vimalaprabhā (T) 361/5-362/7: /sgra bzang po smra ba de dag rnams kyi sgra bzang po la zhen pa spang ba'i slad du don la rton pa nyid la brten te/ tshigs su bcad pa kha cig tu zur chag gi sgra dang/ tshigs su bcad pa kha cig tu gcod mtshams nyams pa dang/ kha cig tu rnam dbye med pa'i tshig dang/ kha cig tu dbyangs dang gsal byed [better: yig 'bru dang dbyangs] phyis pa dang/ tshigs bcad kha cig tu ring po la thung ngu dang thung ngu la ring po dang/ kha cig tu lnga pa'i don la bdun pa dang/ bzhi pa'i don la drug pa dang/ kha cig tu gzhan gyi tshig can gyi byings la bdag nyid kyi tshig dang/ bdag nyid kyi tshig can la gzhan gyi tshig dang/ kha cig tu gcig gi tshig la mang po'i tshig dang/ mang po'i tshig la gcig gi tshig dang/ kha cig tu pho'i rtags la ma ning gi rtags dang ma ning gi rtags la pho'i rtags dang/ kha cig tu rkan las byung ba sha yig la so dang spyi bo las byung ba dang/ kha cig tu so las byung ba la so dang rkan las byung ba dang/ kha cig tu so las byung ba la rkan dang spyi bo las byung ba ste/ de lta bu gzhan yang rgyud ston pa po'i man ngag gi rjes su 'brang bar bya'o/ de bzhin du rtsa ba'i rgyud las bcom ldan 'das kyis/

/zla bzang sangs rgyas thams cad dang/
/bla ma rnams kyi slob ma yis/
/chung ma bu mo bu sdug dang/
/rtag tu 'dod pa'i dngos po dbul/(1)
/dri ni sa la 'byung ba ste/
/chu la gzugs dang me la ro/
/rlung reg 'gyur ba med la sgra/
/nam mkha' che la chos kyi dbyings/(2)
/dri dang spos sogs mar me dang/
/bza' dang btung sogs gos rnams kyis/
/rtag tu phyag rgya mchod byas te/
/dam pa'i bu yis bla ma'i dbul/(3)

/zhes gsungs te de lta bu la sogs pa'i sgra zur chag gzhan yang rnal 'byor pas lung bklags pa las rtogs par bya'o/ de bzhin du 'grel bshad las kyang sgra bzang po'i mngon pa'i nga rgyal nyams par bya ba'i slad du bdag gis don la rton pa nyid la brten te bri bar bya ste/ rnam pa gang dang gang gis rigs dang rigs pa [read: rig pa] dang sgra bzang po'i mngon pa'i nga rgyal zad par 'gyur ba'i rnam pa de dang des don la rton pa nyid la brten te yul gyi skad gzhan dang sgra'i bstan bcos kyi skad gzhan gyis sangs rgyas dang byang chub sems dpa' rnams thar pa'i don du chos ston to/

22. The "brahman sages" referred to here are the thirty-five million brahman sages of Sambhala. The story told in the Vimalaprabhā of how Kalkī Yaśas converted them to the Vajrayāna is translated in Newman (1985:59-63; 1987b:304-314). The passage on grammar edited and translated here im-

mediately follows the conversion story, and makes up the end of the third uddeśa of the first paţala of the Vimalaprabhā.

- 23. This refers to the first of the four pratisaranas; cf. Mahāvyutpatti #1545-1549.
- 24. Vimalaprabhā (T) usually translates the Sanskrit according to the meaning rather than the words: it does not attempt to reproduce grammatical irregularities, but provides the sense. In this instance, however, it "mechanically" reproduces the irregular grammar because the irregular grammar itself is part of the meaning.
- 25. In editing a passage of the Kālacakra mūlatantra, Hoffmann creates "Middle Indic" out of correct Sanskrit forms metri causa (Hoffmann 1973:137, n. 3 & 7). This is difficult to justify given the fact that the verses of the Paramādibuddha are often hypercatalectic or catalectic: cf. verses 11a, 13c, 15b in Reigle (1986:5–6, 9).
- 26. Sanskrit after Reigle (1986:5); cf. Vimalaprabhā (S) U 24.27-30. These verses are part of a twenty-one and one-half verse quotation from the Paramādibuddha that appears towards the middle of the third uddeśa of the Vimalaprabhā. Vimalaprabhā (T) 351/7-352/2:

/rnam pa gang dang gang dag gis/
/sems can rnams ni yongs smin byed/
/rnam pa de dang de dag gis/
/chos ni bstan par bya ba yin/(5)
/sgra dang sgra nyams dag gi [read: gis] chos/
/rnal 'byor pa ni 'bad pas 'dzin/
/yul gyi sgra yis don rnyed pa/
/de la bstan bcos sgra yis ci/(6)

- 27. Vimalaprabhā (S) D: -saraṇatā.
- 28. Vimalaprabhā (S) N: mahatām.
- 29. Vimalaprabhā (S) D: -śabdaḥ śabdāḥ.
- 30. Vimalaprabhā (S) N 3a/6-3b/2; D 3a/2-5; cf. U 5.3-12; leaf missing in B. These are verses 37-40 of the first uddeśa of the Vimalaprabhā. Verse 37 is flawless śārdūlavikrīdita; 38-40 are āryā. Puṇḍarīka employs a wide variety of metres in the Vimalaprabhā, and his Śrī Paramārthasevā is composed of various kinds of triṣṭubh. Vimalaprabhā (T) 307/5-308/2:

/kun mkhyen lam don gnyer ba rnams la sgra dang sgra min rnam dpyad chen po med/
/sna tshogs yul skad ngan pa yis kyang chen po rnams kyi lam la rtag tu 'jug/
/sems can rnams kyi mos pa'i sems kyi dbang gis thams cad mkhyen pa'i gsung gzhan la/
/lung ston dag la [better: brda sprod dag la] lha klus bkod pa'i sgra sogs rtsod pa don gnyer rnams kyi gzhan/(37)
/yul gyi skad dang zur chag sgra las kyang/
/rnal 'byor ldan pas don ni 'dzin byed de/
/chu la 'o ma nges par zhugs pa de/
/ngang pas rab tu phyung nas 'thung bar byed/(38)

/don dam de nyid yul la rtag tu ni/
/chen po rnams dag tshig la rton pa min/
/yul gyi mi [read: ming] rnams kyis ni don shes la/
/bstan bcos sgra dag gis ni ci zhig bya/(39)
/gang zhig zur chag sgra dang sgrar gyur pas/
/brjod pa de nyid ye shes ma yin zhing/
/gang zhig 'gro ba dag la nyi tshe ba/
/de ni thams cad mkhyen pa'i gsung ma yin/(40)

- 31. Here "Other" refers to the transcendent aspect of the Kālacakra triad: evam sarvatra vajrayogo bāhye adhyātmani pare yoginā 'vagantavya iti; de bzhin du rdo rje rnal 'byor yang phyi dang nang dang gzhan thams cad la rnal 'byor pas rtogs par bya'o (Vimalaprabhā (S) B 17a/7-17b/1; cf. U 44.10-11; (T) 398/2-3). "A yogi should realize the vajrayoga everywhere in the Outer, Inner, and Other."
  - 32. Vimalaprabhā (S) B: sarvabuddho (emendation deletes sarva).
  - 33. Vimalaprabhā (S) B: omit bhāṣā (emendation adds).
- 34. Vimalaprabhā (S) B 13a/7-13b/1; cf. U 34.11-18. MS B spells sattva as satva, and I have supplied the dandas, but otherwise I have retained its orthography in this and all other quotations from it. Vimalaprabhā (T) 372/6-373/4:

/sems can thams cad kyi skad kyi rang bzhin can thams cad mkhyen pa'i skad med par legs par sbyar ba'i skad nyi tshe ba gcig pu 'di yis yin na sangs rgyas kyang nyi tshe bar 'gyur ro/ 'phags pa'i yul 'dir sgra smra ba po mu stegs pa mkhas pa'i mngon pa'i nga rgyal dang ldan pa rnams [sic!] mthong nas/ ji ltar bram ze dang khyab 'jug pa dang zhi ba pa la sogs pa rnams kyi 'dod pa'i lha tshangs pa dang khyab 'jug dang drag po la sogs pa rnams legs par sbyar ba smra ba po yin pa de bzhin du bdag cag gi 'dod pa'i lha sangs rgyas dang byang chub sems dpa' rnams legs par sbyar ba smra ba po yin no zhes pa ni/ sangs rgyas pa byis pa'i blo can rnams kyi bsam pa ste/ 'dir sangs rgyas dang byang chub sems dpa' de dag thams cad mkhyen pa'i skad med par legs par sbyar ba'i skad nyi tshe ba [add: gcig bu] 'di yis ma yin te sems can thams cad kyi skad kyis chos ston par byed pa po dang yang dag par sdud par byed pa po yin no/ de'i phyir sangs rgyas dang byang chub sems dpa' rnams ni lha'i skye ba dang 'brel ba'i [add: skad] nyi tshe bas ma yin te sems can sna tshogs kyi skad kyis chos ston pa po yin pa'i phyir/

- 35. This is one of several instances in the Vimalaprabhā in which Pundarīka writes "here in the land of the Aryans," demonstrating that this text was written in India. (Elsewhere in the Vimalaprabhā "the land of the Aryans" is clearly defined as India [cf. Newman 1985:61; 1987b:309-310].)
- 36. samgītikāraka; yang dag par sdud par byed pa po. The use of this term to indicate the "redactor" of a text is not quite clear at BHSD 548, s.v. samgīti (3). It is often used in this sense in the Vimalaprabhā: e.g., King Sucandra, an emanation of Vajrapāṇi, redacted the Paramādibuddha, and Kalkī Yaśas, an emanation of Mañjuśrī, condensed the Paramādibuddha and redacted it in the form of the Śrī Kālacakra (Newman 1985:54, 63; 1987a:93-94).
  - 37. Likewise Skorupski: "It must be said that one does get frustrated by

the fact that the correct grammatical forms are used side by side with the hybrid forms. It is practically impossible to discern the principle of using the correct grammatical forms instead of the hybrid ones or vice versa" (Skorupski 1983:118). The same can be said about the early Kālacakra literature, although, again, I do not think we are confronted with "hybrid forms" in the strict sense of forms that developed directly from Prakrit.

38. I agree completely with Snellgrove's remark that we must be content with "a text that accords with the required sense as it may be ascertained from the Tibetan translation and the several commentaries" (Snellgrove 1959:x); cf. Tsuda (1974:6-16).

#### REFERENCES

- Apte: Vaman Shivaram Apte, The Practical Sanskrit-English Dictionary: Revised & Enlarged Edition (Kyoto: Rinsen Book Company reprint [1957 ed.], 1986).
- Bagchi (1934): Prabodh Chandra Bagchi (ed.), Kaulajñānanirṇaya and Some Minor Texts of the School of Matsyendranātha (Calcutta: Metropolitan Printing & Publishing House, 1934) [Calcutta Sanskrit Series no. 3].
- Bandyopadhyaya (1952): Biswanath Bandyopadhyaya [Biswanath Banerjee], "A Note on the Kālacakratantra and Its Commentary" Journal of the Asiatic Society: Letters 18 (1952) pp. 71–76.
- Banerjee (1985): Biswanath Banerjee (ed.), A Critical Edition of Śrī Kālacakratantra-rāja (Collated with the Tibetan version) (Calcutta: The Asiatic Society, 1985) [Bibliotheca Indica Series no. 311].
- Bhattacharyya (1924): Benoytosh Bhattacharyya, The Indian Buddhist Iconography (London: Oxford University Press, 1924).
- Bhattacharya (1925): Benoytosh Bhattacharya (ed.), Sādhanamālā vol. 1 (Baroda: Oriental Institute reprint, 1968) [Gaekwad's Oriental Series no. 26].
- BHSG/BHSD: Franklin Edgerton, Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit Grammar and Dictionary (Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass reprint, 1972) vol. 1: Grammar, vol. 2: Dictionary.
- Böhtlingk: Otto Böhtlingk, Sanskrit-Wörterbuch in kürzerer Fassung (Graz: Akademische Druck-U. Verlagsanstalt reprint, 1959).
- Böhtlingk and Roth: Otto Böhtlingk and Rudolph Roth, Sanskrit-Wörterbuch (St. Petersburg/Leipzig: Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 1855 ff.).
- Bu ston (1324): Bu ston Rin chen grub, dPal dus kyi 'khor lo'i bshad thabs sgrarig mkhas pa'i rgyan ces bya ba; Lokesh Chandra (ed.), The Collected Works of Bu-ston: Part 4 (NGA) (New Delhi: International Academy of Indian Culture, 1965) pp. 599-614 [Sata-piţaka Series vol. 44].
- Carelli (1941): Mario E. Carelli (ed.), Sekoddesaţīkā of Nadapāda (Nāropā) (Baroda: Oriental Institute, 1941) [Gaekwad's Oriental Series no. 90].
- de la Vallée Poussin (1896): L. de la Vallée Poussin (ed.), Études et textes

- tantriques: Pañcakrama (Gand: H. Engelcke, 1896) [Recueil de travaux publiés par la Faculté de Philosophie et Lettres de l'Université de Gand, 16me fascicule].
- Edgerton (1954): Franklin Edgerton, Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit Language and Literature (Banaras: Banaras Hindu University, 1954).
- Edgerton (1956): Franklin Edgerton, "The Buddha and Language" Indian Historical Quarterly 32 (1956) pp. 129-135.
- George (1974): Christopher S. George (ed. and trans.), The Candamahārosana Tantra: A Critical Edition and English Translation, Chapters I-VIII (New Haven: American Oriental Society, 1974) [American Oriental Series vol. 56].
- Goudriaan (1981): Teun Goudriaan and Sanjukta Gupta, Hindu Tantric and Sākta Literature (Weisbaden: Otto Harrassowitz, 1981) [A History of Indian Literature 2.2].
- Hoffmann (1973): Helmut Hoffmann, "Buddha's Preaching of the Kālacakra Tantra at the Stūpa of Dhānyakataka" German Scholars on India vol. 1 (Varanasi: The Chowkhamba Sanskrit Series Office, 1973) pp. 136–140.
- Holtzmann: Adolf Holtzmann, Grammatisches aus dem Mahabharata (Hildesheim/New York: Georg Olms Verlag reprint [1884 ed.], 1981).
- mKhas grub (1434): mKhas grub dGe legs dpal bzang, rGyud thams cad kyi rgyal po bcom ldan 'das dpal dus kyi 'khor lo mchog gi dang po'i sangs rgyas kyi rtsa ba'i rgyud las phyung ba bsdus ba'i rgyud kyi 'grel chen rtsa ba'i rgyud kyi rjes su 'jug pa stong phrag bcu gnyis pa dri ma med pa'i 'od kyi rgya cher bshad pa de kho na nyid snang bar byed pa zhes bya ba; Yab sras gsung 'bum: mKhas grub KHA (Dharamsala: Tibetan Cultural Printing Press [Shes rig bar khang], 1983).
- Mahāvyutpatti: Ryōzaburō Sakaki et al (ed.), Mahāvyutpatti (Tokyo: Suzuki Research Foundation, 1970).
- Monier-Williams: Monier Monier-Williams, A Sanskrit-English Dictionary (Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass reprint [1899 ed.], 1981).
- Newman (1985): John Newman, "A Brief History of the Kalachakra"; Geshe Lhundub Sopa (ed.), The Wheel of Time: The Kalachakra in Context (Madison, WI: Deer Park Books, 1985) pp. 51-90.
- Newman (1987a): John Newman, "The Paramādibuddha (the Kālacakra mūlatantra) and Its Relation to the Early Kālacakra Literature" Indo-Iranian Journal 30 (1987) pp. 93-102.
- Newman (1987b): John Ronald Newman, The Outer Wheel of Time: Vajrayāna Buddhist Cosmology in the Kālacakra Tantra (Ann Arbor, MI: University Microfilms International, 1987) [University of Wisconsin Madison Ph.D. thesis].
- Reigle (1986): David Reigle, "The Lost Kālacakra Mūla Tantra on the Kings of Śambhala" [Kālacakra Research Publications no. 1] (Talent, OR: Eastern School, 1986).
- Ruegg (1986): D. Seyfort Ruegg, "Review of Georg von Simson, Sanskrit-Wörterbuch der buddhistischen Texte aus den Turfan-Funden 3" Journal of the American Oriental Society 106 (1986) pp. 596-597.
- Schmidt: Richard Schmidt, Nachträge zum Sanskrit-Wörterbuch in kürzerer Fas-

- sung von Otto Böhtlingk (Leipzig: Otto Harrassowitz, 1928).
- Schrader (1937): F. Otto Schrader, "The Name Kalki(n)" The Adyar Library Bulletin 1 (1937) pp. 17-25.
- Shāstri (1917): Hara Prasad Shāstri, A Descriptive Catalogue of Sanscrit Manuscripts in the Government Collection Under the Care of the Asiatic Society of Bengal vol. 1, Buddhist Manuscripts (Calcutta: Asiatic Society of Bengal, 1917).
- Skorupski (1983): Tadeusz Skorupski (ed. and trans.), The Sarvadurgatipariśodhana Tantra (Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1983).
- Snellgrove (1959): D.L. Snellgrove (ed. and trans.), *The Hevajra Tantra* Part 2 (London: Oxford University Press reprint, 1980) [London Oriental Series vol. 6].
- Śrī Kālacakra: text as given in Vimalaprabhā (S) U.
- Tsuda (1974): Shinichi Tsuda (ed. and trans.), The Samvarodaya Tantra: Selected Chapters (Tokyo: The Hokuseido Press, 1974).
- Vimalaprabhā (S) B: Asiatic Society of Bengal MS G.10766; palm leaf; old Bengali script; dated 39th regnal year of Harivarman of Bengal (11th–12th cent.); described in Shāstri (1917:79–82).
- Vimalaprabhā (S) D: The Institute for Advanced Studies of World Religions film-strip no. MBB-1971-24-25; paper; devanāgarī; (Stony Brook, NY: The Institute for Advanced Studies of World Religions, 1971).
- Vimalaprabhā (S) N: Asiatic Society of Bengal MS G.4727; palm leaf; old Newārī script; description and extracts in Shāstri (1917:73-79).
- Vimalaprabhā (S) U: Jagannatha Upadhyaya (ed.), Vimalaprabhāṭīkā of Kalki Śrī Puṇḍarīka on Śrī Laghukālacakratantrarāja by Śrī Mañjuśrīyaśa vol. 1 (Sarnath: Central Institute of Higher Tibetan Studies, 1986) [Bibliotheca Indo-Tibetica Series no. 9].
- Vimalaprabhā (T): Lokesh Chandra (ed.), The Collected Works of Bu-ston: Part 1 (KA) (New Delhi: International Academy of Indian Culture, 1965) pp. 301-603 [Sata-piṭaka Series vol. 41].
- Whitney: William Dwight Whitney, Sanskrit Grammar (Cambridge: Harvard University Press reprint of the 2nd [1889] edition, 1967).
- Winternitz (1933): Maurice Winternitz, A History of Indian Literature vol. 2 (New Delhi: Oriental Books Reprint Corporation reprint, 1977).