The Biblioteca Nazionale in Florence holds the sixteenth-century manuscript known as the “Codice dell’Anonimo Magliabechiano”, containing 128 pages of text and comprising two parts. The first part is devoted to artists from classical antiquity and the second contains the lives and works of a number of Florentine artists, from Cimabue to Michelangelo, as well as a brief survey of Sienese artists. Also bound into the manuscript are: a brief description, in the same hand, of buildings and works of art in Rome and the Certosa di Val d’Ema, and another manuscript in a different hand with notes on art in Rome, Perugia and Assisi, as well as a copy of Landino’s introduction to his commentary on Dante. The manuscript contains margin notes by the author showing that he consulted Pliny’s “Historia Naturalis” and Eusebius’ “Chronicus Canon” for his survey of the artists of antiquity. For information on the lives of Trecento and Quattrocento artists, he made use of Lorenzo Ghiberti’s “I Commentarii”, Cristoforo Landino’s “Comento sopra la Comedia di Dante Alighieri” and the so-called “Libro di Antonio Billi”. In his life of Giotto, he quoted from Boccaccio’s “Decamerone”. He used dialect forms and turns of phrase that were common in spoken language. According to Frey (1892), he wrote as he spoke, without “ornati e gli altri ornamenti del parlare e scrivere dottamente.” He organized his information in a historical chronological framework, paraphrasing his sources and adding his own observations. In the part on modern artists, he tried to adhere to a set format, beginning with a general characterization of the artist and his biographical details, going on to describe the place, the circumstances and any anecdotes relating to the works in Florence and Tuscany, then discussing works in other Italian cities, and finally listing the artist’s apprentices, if they were known. In the part on the artists of antiquity, he mentioned the location, if known, of their works. He also tried to relate the works of contemporary artists to examples from antiquity. The blank spaces between the names of artists, the repetitions, strike outs, lists and margin notes tell us that he was still undecided about the sequence and choice of artists. In short, his survey was not yet finished.

In 1688 Filippo Baldinucci attributed the manuscript to Giorgio Vasari, as a forerunner to his “Vite”. In 1872 Gaetano Milanesi attributed it to Giован Battista Adriani. Julius von Schlosser suggested in 1924 that the very comprehensive information about Leonardo da Vinci could indicate that his apprentice, Giovan Francesco Rustici (1474-1554), authored the anonymous manuscript. At the end of the nineteenth century, annotated transcriptions were made by Cornelius van Fabricy (1891) and Carl Frey (1892), and a transcription with brief annotations by Annamaria Ficarra appeared in 1968. All three concluded that, judging by the language, content and style, the author was a well-educated Florentine on friendly terms with leading artists, although not himself an artist. According to Frey, the author must have been a well-known personality in Florence, a humanist in the fashion of Vincenzo Borghini and Don Miniato Pitti. Ficarra believed that the author was not personally interested in the material, but had been commissioned by a member of the Gaddi family who wanted all the available material about Florentine artists brought together into a single, convenient whole. She based her assumption on the detailed information that the Anonimo presents about artists from the Gaddi family. The manuscript, she believed, was written between 1536 and 1546 (partly after 1541), nearly the first decade of the rule of Cosimo I de’ Medici. In 1995 Richard Stapleford attributed the manuscript to Vincenzo Borghini himself, citing as circumstantial support the fact that the librarian at the Biblioteca Magliabechiana described it in an inventory from 1755 as “Fonti di Monsignore Vincenzo Borghini. Selva di notizie delle vite de’ pittori.” Stapleford claimed that the nature of the notes in the “Codice dell’Anonimo Magliabechiano” showed that they had been collected in response to Vasari’s request to Borghini to help him with his research into Tuscan artists. Clearly, Stapleford was unable to substantiate his claim, as the promised publication containing his argumentation has never appeared.

It is my conviction that the “Codice dell’Anonimo Magliabechiano” should be attributed to Bernardo Vecchietti (1514-1590), the Florentine humanist and art connoisseur. First of all there is a striking similarity between the handwriting in the manuscript and that of Bernardo in his numerous letters to duke Cosimo I in the Archivio di Stato di Firenze. There is no doubt that the Codice is written by one hand and that Bernardo wrote the letters to the duke by himself, his signature and the handwriting are corresponding. Although the Anonimo’s manuscript and Bernardo’s letters were meant for different purposes, the calligraphic details in the two handwritings can be compared very well and, on the score of the similarity of all the details, we can be almost certain that they belong to one and the same person. The Anonimo and Bernardo both used the so-called littera humanistica cursiva. Bernardo wrote his letters to the duke in a fine regular hand, in a “litera da brevi”, as the writing-master Ludovico degli Arrighi called this fluent style in 1522. Although the Anonimo’s writing is fast and undisciplined — his annotations were not meant for diplomatic purposes —, it is possible to compare the basic elements, such as the grid and the direction of ascenders and descenders, the slant, the proportions of lower-case letters and capitals, the abbreviations, the joints and ligatures, the spacing and the line space. In the context of this paper it is impossible to describe all the details, but I will give some very significant examples. The comparison of a page from the manuscript with one of Bernardo’s letters (1548) clearly shows that the grid, the slant, the spacing and the line space are corresponding (figs. 1 and 2). It is striking that both used the individual letters unsystematically, for example: the letter r is either symmetrical or has a flourish at the left arm
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1 Anonimo, BNCF, Magl. XVII. 17, c. 99r.
(figs. 3a, b; 4a, b); the letter d sweeps back at the top or curves slightly to the right at the top (figs. 5a-d). Both used formal Roman capitals but varied with cursive alternatives (figs. 6a, b). The abbreviations for the words per and Signor are corresponding (figs. 7a, b; 8a, b). The similarity of the figures 3 and 8 in one of Bernardo’s letters and on page 119 of the Anonimo’s manuscript is striking (figs. 9a, b). The joining of two letters is corresponding (figs. 10a, b), just like the ligatures (figs. 3a, b; 11a, b). On some pages the Anonimo experimented
with his style of writing, as can be seen in his exercises with the capitals R and F and the lower case letters r, and s (figs. 12a, b). In his letters Bernardo was also experimenting with his style (fig. 12c). Did they use one of the popular writing-books that were published in the third and fourth decade of the sixteenth century?19

The similarity of the handwriting is by no means the only argument. There are powerful clues in the text suggesting that the Anonimo had access to Medici family circles and in particular to the group of artists and
scholars surrounding Ottaviano de’ Medici (1484-1546), who married a sister of Cosimo I de’ Medici’s mother and therefore became his uncle. After the murder of Duke Alessandro in 1537, Ottaviano supported Cosimo’s candidacy and became one of his principal cultural advisors. He was on friendly terms with leading artists and played a guiding role in their careers. Giorgio Vasari, Jacopo Pontormo (1494-1557), and Baccio Bandinelli (1488-1565) were part of his clientele. While it is true that Vasari fled to Rome in 1537 for fear of civil war, he came back regularly, always staying at Ottaviano’s house.35 The Anonimo’s margin notes clearly show that the author knew Vasari, Pontormo and Bandinelli personally. In the margin of the life of Andrea del Sarto, he twice noted that he should ask Giorgio [Vasari] or Jacopo da Pontormo for information — the first time in relation to a panel by Andrea del Sarto in the home of the ally. In the margin of the life of Andrea del Sarto, he twice noted that he should ask Giorgio [Vasari] or Jacopo da Pontormo for information — the first time in relation to a panel by Andrea del Sarto in the home of the ally. In the margin of the life of Andrea del Sarto, he twice noted that he should ask Giorgio [Vasari] or Jacopo da Pontormo for information — the first time in relation to a panel by Andrea del Sarto in the home of the ally. In the margin of the life of Andrea del Sarto, he twice noted that he should ask Giorgio [Vasari] or Jacopo da Pontormo for information — the first time in relation to a panel by Andrea del Sarto in the home of the ally.

In his own hand before 1554, the year of the conquest of Siena: “Bernardo, se voi siete in villa, veniteci a parlare e fateci l’ambasciata; se voi siete in Firenze, venite né più né meno come vi diciamo, perché vogliamo saper da voi una cosa e state sano di più.”36 There is no doubt that as a blood relative of the Medici, Bernardo had every reason to wish to include in his survey. One of the artists on the list was Benvenuto Cellini who, after training as

The Anonimo’s manuscript ends with the names of nineteen artists, mostly from outside Florence, whom he still wished to include in his survey. One of the artists on the list was Benvenuto Cellini who, after training as
a goldsmith in Florence, moved in 1519 to Rome, where he was mainly engaged in making coins and medals until 1540. From 1540 to 1545 he worked for the King of France. In August 1545 he returned to Florence and was commissioned shortly thereafter by Cosimo to make a bronze statue of Perseus. He began working on his bronze portrait bust of Cosimo at the same time. The fact that the Anonimo included him in his list shows that he was quickly appraised of Cellini's rising star. Bernardo also came into contact with Cellini at that time. He wrote three poems about the bust of Cosimo, which stood in the Duke's guardaroba even before 1548 and which could only be viewed by intimate friends and people of high rank. Bernardo sent his sonnets to Benedetto Varchi, who at Cosimo's request had returned to Florence from exile in 1542. On 9 October 1562, together with Francesco de' Medici and Andrea Benivieni, Bernardo was appointed executor of the will that Cellini wrote that day. On 30 October 1562 he became the godfather of Elisabetta, Cellini's illegitimate daughter.

To what extent does the dating of the "Codice" support the suggestion that the Anonimo and Bernardo were one and the same man? Bernardo was only 23 years old in 1537. Is it likely that a young man of that age would embark on the gargantuan task of writing a work like the "Codice"? He certainly had the time and opportunity to do so. Men under thirty were not permitted to take an active part in politics in Florence and they generally did not go in search of a spouse before that age. What is more, Bernardo was to remain unmarried and childless throughout his life. The prosperous Vecchietti family business, which exported textiles and clothing to the Ottoman Empire and the Levant, was run by Bernardo's father until his death in 1559. For his comprehensive survey of classical artists, the Anonimo made use of Landino's translation of Pliny's "Historia Naturalis". He also consulted Eusebius' "Chronicon Canon". All the information about painters and sculptors, distributed throughout Pliny's various books, was painstakingly brought together by him. He then tried to place the artists in a historic chronological sequence. For the classical artists he did not use Ghiberti's excerpts from Pliny in part I of the "Commentarii", although he did use information from part II for his survey of artists from the Trecento and Quattrocento. Bernardo demonstrated his knowledge of the classics in the exchange of sonnets about Cellini's bronze portrait bust of Cosimo with Benedetto Varchi around 1548. There he compared Cellini with Lysippus and Pyrgoteles, a reference to Pliny as well as a variation on Petrarch's reference to Lysippus, Pyrgoteles and Apelles in his "Canzoniere".

For his survey of modern artists, the Anonimo also had access to the "Libro di Antonio Billi". In a manuscript in the Biblioteca Nazionale in Florence, containing Jacomo Billi's notes for his book on the Accademia degli Umidi that appeared in 1700, Rilli gives the impression that Bernardo had in some way been involved in the Accademia degli Umidi, which was set up by young litterati in 1540 and was the forerunner to the Accademia Fiorentina. Giovanni Battista Gelli, also one of the first Umidi, used the "Libro di Antonio Billi" for his own codex of artist's lives, which he compiled after 1550. It goes without saying that in this milieu Bernardo also had access to the "Libro di Antonio Billi".

The Anonimo also described the works by Florentine artists in other Italian cities. Some of this information came from Ghiberti and Antonio Billi, and some from his own observations, as demonstrated by his survey of the art in Rome. Those works he had certainly seen himself. Many letters and documents in the Medici archive in Florence show that Bernardo travelled regularly, staying in Rome, Venice, Bologna, Naples, Pisa, and elsewhere. This would have given him an opportunity to look at the artworks in those cities.

For his survey of the Sienese artists, the Anonimo borrowed for the most part from Ghiberti's "Commentarii", but he himself added two artists who were still painting after Ghiberti's death. Interestingly, one of them was Lorenzo di Piero Vecchietti (d. 1482), a painter and sculptor with the same family name as Bernardo. He mentioned two paintings and two sculptures of Bernardo's namesake.

Bound in with the manuscript are several pages, in the Anonimo's hand, about works in the Certosa di Val d'Ema. This was the area close to San Giusto a Ema where Bernardo's father, Giovanni Vecchietti, purchased in 1515 the two poderi, Il Riposo and La Lama. Bernardo had the villa Il Riposo renovated and decorated, and he held regular literary and artistic gatherings there.

On the final pages of the manuscript the Anonimo scribbled letter exercises, drawings and sums. His experiments with the capitals R and F (see fig. 12a) stand out. Is it coincidental that in 1552 Bernardo suggested, in one of his many letters to Cosimo, that they should use the letter R as a code for the name Fugger in their private correspondence? In 1547 Bernardo was already representing the Duke in important financial transactions. Under Cosimo's authority, he maintained very close links with the Fugger banking family in Augsburg. Clearly, the Duke would have wanted to send to the Fuggers someone who was both absolutely trustworthy and sufficiently well educated to be able to communicate effectively with the bankers on their level. Cosimo gave Bernardo a free hand in the transactions with the Fugger bank, involving millions of scudi.

The subject matter of the "Codice" ties in very well with Bernardo's activities in the field of art. He is best known as the patron of the Flemish sculptor Giambologna, but he also played a key role in the Medici's major art projects. For example, on 18 January 1572 Giorgio Vasari wrote a letter to his trusted friend Vincenzo Borghini, in which he complained bitterly about Bernardo, who as one of the court censors had made negative comments about Vasari's paintings in the Sala Grande of the Palazzo Vecchio. But according to Vasari, Vecchietti...
and his colleagues were uncapable for passing judgment on others, just like the blind were unable to judge colours.52 After Vasari’s death in 1574, in his role as luogotenente for Cosimo’s successor Francesco I, Bernardo commissioned the Roman artist Federico Zuccari in 1575 to complete the paintings in the dome of the Cathedral.53 The painter incorporated portraits of his family and friends into his Giudizio Universale, giving Bernardo a prominent place next to his father (fig. 13). When Ferdinando I commissioned Giambologna to make a bronze equestrian statue of his father Cosimo I, immediately after becoming Grand Duke on the death of his brother Francesco in 1587, he delegated the supervision of this prestigious project to Bernardo. Bernardo also acquitted himself well in the field of poetry. To exchange lyric poetry in the vernacular language was one of the most popular pursuits of the time54, and Bernardo regularly participated in public debates about art. Even before 1548 he sent odes on Cellini’s bronze portrait bust of Cosimo to Benedetto Varchi, who replied in verse.55 A favourite subject of the poems that Bernardo exchanged with Varchi and Raffaello Borghini was Il Riposo, the Vecchietti family’s villa in the Val d’Ema, where Bernardo organized his literary gatherings.56 In 1583 a small book appeared containing 23 odes to Giambologna’s Ratto della Sabina, his sculpture group on the Piazza della Signoria. Bernardo was very much involved in this collection of poems — he wrote five of the poems and the volume was dedicated to him.57 Raffaello Borghini’s “Il Riposo” appeared in 1584, a collection of fictitious dialogues between four real Florentine citizens on the subject of painting and sculpture in Florence. The dialogues took place in the villa Il Riposo and Borghini presented Bernardo as the expert in the fields of art history and literary history by having him tell the lives of the classical and modern artists up until Sebastiano del Piombo.58

In the later sixteenth century, the “Codice” manuscript was owned by the Florentine Gaddi family. We do not know how it ended up in the Gaddi collection, but a note in the margin of the life of Polycletus reveals that the Anonimo must have been on intimate terms with Monsignore Giovanni Gaddi (d. 1544), chierico di Camera del Granduca.59 Gaddi was a highly erudite art lover and an intimate friend of Giorgio Vasari and Benvenuto Cellini.60 He was the uncle of Niccolò Gaddi (1537-1591), the last male descendant of the family, who in 1591 bequeathed his entire collection of manuscripts, printed books and works of art to the Pitti branch of the family. It is a known fact that in his later life Bernardo, together with Niccolò, regularly acted on behalf of the Medici in important matters involving art.61 Given the close relationship between the two men, it is not inconceivable

---

that it was through Niccolò that the manuscript ended up in the Gaddi library after the death of the childless Bernardo. Since 1775 the manuscript has been held in the library in Florence that later became the Biblioteca Nazionale.\(^6\)

My conviction that Bernardo Vecchietti was the author of the “Codice dell’Anonimo Magliabechiano” immediately raises new questions, however. Was it on his own initiative that Bernardo brought all Florentine artists together in a single survey or was he commissioned to do so by, say, someone from the Medici circle? Given Ottaviano’s leading role in cultural matters, it appears quite conceivable that the idea originated with him. Did he perhaps encourage both Bernardo and Vasari to begin the major undertaking? Unfortunately for Bernardo, the appearance of Vasari’s “Vite” in 1550 rendered his “Codice” both superfluous and obsolete. The drawing on the final page of the manuscript is especially intriguing in this regard (fig. 14). Bernardo drew the portrait of a malevolent man sporting a beard of the type worn by Vasari and Ottaviano and beleaguered from the left by a scorpion, a lizard and two insects. He appears to have two horns on his head and his face is shown both in full and in three-quarter profile. It is certainly not a kind drawing — a devilish man with two faces, in the company of assorted vermin. There are angry scratches through the sketch. We can deduce from the text that Bernardo worked on his manuscript until 1547 at the latest. In the life of Polycletus he noted that \textit{Il letto di Polycleto Sicionio}, an antique bronze relief from Lorenzo Ghiberti’s collection, was at that time in the possession
of Cardinal Pietro Bembo, who died in January 1547. Between November 1546 and the summer of 1547, Vasari worked in Florence on his draft for the “Vite”, asking learned Florentine friends like Giovanbattista Strozzi and Giovanbattista Adriani for advice and support. Vasari’s activities would not have gone unnoticed by Bernardo. He stopped work on his manuscript before January 1547. Did he intend, with this cartoon, to express his bitter feelings about the course of events?

NOTES
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