Artemid. onir. 3,59 (p. 230, 9-12 Pack): κάνναβις δὲ ὑπερεπιτείνει τὰ σημαινόμενα ὑπὸ τῆς λευκέας καὶ τοῦ λίνου καὶ [τὰς] βασάνους (§-Ṣadāidu = 'hardships, trials' versio Arabica p. 424, 12 Fahd) ὑπερβαλλούσας τινὰς σημαίνει : λυθεῖσα μέντοι πάντων (ita LV, 'vox corrupta' Hercher; \S -Ṣiddatu Ar. 424,13 Fahd; τῶν ἀλγῶν H. Erbse¹) ἀπαλλάσσει κτλ. The subject is the meaning in dreams of hemp as contrasted with sparta-grass and flax.

Observe, first, that the Arab translator gives us in place of πάντων the same word which he has used earlier (in the plural) to render βασάνους. Secondly, Elisabeth Schmitt notes two cases in which δidda (singular) stands for βάσανου: 1,70 (76,6 P. = 140,4 F.) and 1,81 (98,20 P. = 182,5 F.)², but she does not list ἄλγος as a component of Artemidorus' vocabulary³. Thirdly, it is improbable on stylistic grounds that the corruption lies in πάντων. Compare 1,81 (98, 20-21 P.): πάσης γὰρ ἀπαλλάττει φροντίδος καὶ παντὸς δέους ὁ ὅπνος. 2,37 (168, 18 P.): <math>πάντων δὲ τῶν δεινῶν ... ἀπαλλάττουσι. 3,7 (207, 9-11 P.): πάσης γὰρ λύπης καὶ φροντίδος ὑπερβαλλούσης ἀπαλλαγῆναι προαγορεύει τὸ ὄναρ.

These three considerations strongly favor the emendation $\pi d\nu \tau \omega \nu < \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ $\beta a\sigma \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \nu >$, on the assumption that Ar.'s Greek exemplar omitted the first word and the LV-hyparchetype omitted the next two. This is consistent with the stemmatic relation of Ar. to LV⁴, and other examples of such adjacent omissions could be collected from Fahd's critical apparatus.

Ann Arbor (Mich.)

ROGER A. PACK

WüJbb N.F. 2, 1976, 231 f. In his third note on Artemidorus Erbse says that the sentence now (wrongly) bracketed in 4,30 (264, 7-9 P.) appears in L but not in V. This repeats an error of Hercher's, as the present writer has found by reexamining his photographs of V.

² Lexikalische Untersuchungen zur arabischen Übersetzung von Artemidors Traumbuch, Wiesbaden 1970, 286.

³ Erbse seems right in restoring $\&\lambda\gamma\eta$ in 3,28 (216, 11 P.) (see his first note); it has disappeared from Ar. (393. 7-8 F.). Though the verb $\&\lambda\gamma\epsilon\bar{w}$ appears five times in Artemidorus, the translator never relates it to &idda (Schmitt, op. cit. 262).

⁴ Pack, TAPhA 98, 1967, 313-326.